Structure of planar self-affine tilings

Tai-Man Tang Xiangtan University

(Joint work with Tao Jiang and Sze-Man Ngai.)

1. Background

 $A \in M(2, \mathbb{Z})$ expanding. \mathcal{D} a complete set of coset representatives of $\mathbb{Z}^2/A\mathbb{Z}^2$. $T = T(A, \mathcal{D})$ satisfies $T = \bigcup_{d \in \mathcal{D}} A^{-1}(T + d)$.

Theorem A. (Bandt-Gelbrich 94, Bandt-Wang 01) In a planar lattice tiling by a disklike self affine tile T, T either has

(a) 6 edge neighbors, or

(b) 8 neighbors with 4 vertex neighbors and 4 edge neighbors. Under suitable connectivity conditions, the converse is true.

Theorem B. (Leung-Lau 07) Let $T = T(A, \mathcal{D})$ with $A \in M(2, \mathbb{Z})$ expanding, and $\mathcal{D} = \{0, v, \dots, (|\det A| - 1)v\}$ consecutive collinear, where $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, v, Av independent. Let $f(x) = x^2 + px + q$ be the characteristic polynomial of A. Then

(a) T is dislike if and only if $2|p| \le |q+2|$.

(b) If T is disklike, T is a 'square tile' if and only if p = 0.

(The special case of tilings by fundamental domains of CNS: Akiyama-Thuswaldner 04.)

Question. What is the structure of the tiling generated by a non disklike T? Three aspects:

(a) the number and position of its neighbors;

(b) the way T intersects with its neighbors;

(c) describe these in terms of the characteristic polynomial of A.

(Special case: for non-disklike T with \mathcal{D} consecutive collinear.)



FIGURE 1. The relevant regions.

2. SIMPLIFICATIONS

Lemma 1. $T(A, \mathcal{D})$ as above and the tiling it generates is affine equivalent to $T(C, \mathcal{E})$ and its tiling, where

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -q & -p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{E} = \{d_i = (i, 0)^t, i = 0, \dots, |q| - 1\}.$$

Lemma 2. Let $T = T(A, \mathcal{D}), \tilde{T} = T(-A, \mathcal{D})$. Then the tilings they generate are affine equivalent.

Can assume:

4

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -q & -p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{D} = \{ d_i = (i, 0)^t, i = 0, \dots, |q| - 1 \}.$$

4 cases. Let ν_1, ν_2 be the eigenvalues of A^{-1} .

1.
$$p^2 > 4q, q > 0, p < 0. \iff 1 > \nu_1 > \nu_2 > 0.$$

2.
$$p^2 > 4q, q < 0, p > 0. (\Leftrightarrow 1 > \nu_1 > 0 > \nu_2 > -1.)$$

- **3**. $p^2 < 4q$. Complex eigenvalues. $A = [0, 1; -3, \pm 3], [0, 1; -5, \pm 4], [0, 1; -7, \pm 5].$
- 4. $p^2 = 4q$. $1 > \nu_1 = \nu_2 > 0$, one independent eigenvector. (2 independent eigenvectors \Rightarrow disklike). $A = [0, 1; -4, \pm 4], [0, 1; -9, \pm 6].$

Cases 1 and 4 can be considered simultaneously.

Definitions

(a) $T^{(m,n)} = T + (m,n)^t$. (b) $T^{(m,n)}_{i_1 \cdots i_\ell}, i_j \in \{0, \dots, |q|-1\}$ are the ℓ -level pieces of $T^{(m,n)}$. (c) $T^{(m,n)} = T + (m,n)^t$ is in the k-th layer if (m,n) = (k,0) + l(-p,q).

Two tiles are in the same layer if their first level pieces are aligned along the same line of direction $A^{-1}d_1$.

(d) T and T' are vertex neighbors if $T \cap T'$ is finite;

(e) Cantor neighbors if $T \cap T'$ is a totally disconnected perfect set, possibly adjoining a countable set, resulting in a totally disconnected closed set;

(f) edge neighbors if $T \cap T'$ contains a compact connected set of more than one point.

3. Results

Theorem 1. Let $T = T(A, \mathcal{D}), A = [0, 1; -q, -p], q > 0,$ $p < 0, \mathcal{D} = \{d_i = (i, 0)^t, i = 0, \dots, q - 1\}$. Let $K = \left|\frac{q - 1}{n + q + 1}\right|.$

Then

(a) T has 4K + 2 neighbors, 2 in each of the 2K + 1 layers.

(b) For $k = 1, \ldots K$, the neighbors in the k-th layer are $T^{(k,0)-k(-p,q)}$ and $T^{(k,0)-(k-1)(-p,q)}$. Those in the -k-th layer are $T^{-(k,0)+k(-p,q)}$ and $T^{-(k,0)+(k-1)(-p,q)}$. The ones in the 0-th layer are $T^{\pm(-p,q)}$.

(c) If K = (q-1)/(p+q+1), the K, -K-th layer neighbors are vertex neighbors. If K < (q-1)/(p+q+1), they are Cantor neighbors.

(d) For k = 2, ..., K - 1, the k-type intersection is the union of the miniatures of k-type and k+1-type intersections. The neighbors in these layers are Cantor neighbors.

(e) The neighbors in the -1, 0, 1-st layers are edge neighbors.

Remark: Together with the next two theorems,

(a) there are no neighbors with countable intersection;

(b) these are all the possible neighbor types.

6



FIGURE 2. Layers of neighbors.

(a) Layers of neighbors.



FIGURE 3. The magnified neighbors.

(b) magnified neighbors.

Theorem 2. Let
$$T = T(A, \mathcal{D}), A = [0, 1; -q, -p], q < 0,$$

 $p > 0, \mathcal{D} = \{d_i = (i, 0)^t, i = 0, \dots, q - 1\}.$ Let
 $K = \left\lfloor \frac{|q| - 1}{|q| - p - 1} \right\rfloor.$

Then

(a) T has 4K + 2 neighbors, 2 in each of the 2K + 1 layers.

(b) For $k = 1, \ldots K$, the neighbors in the *-k*-th layer are $T^{(-k,0)-k(p,-q)}$ and $T^{-(k,0)-(k-1)(p,-q)}$. The ones in the *k*-th layers are $T^{(k,0)+k(p,-q)}$ and $T^{(k,0)+(k-1)(p,-q)}$. The ones in the *0*-th layer are $T^{\pm(p,-q)}$.

(c) If K = (|q|-1)/(|q|-p-1), the K, -K-th layer neighbors are vertex neighbors. If K < (|q|-1)/(|q|-p-1), they are Cantor neighbors.

(d) For k = 2, ..., K-1, the *-k*-type intersection is the union of the miniatures of *-k*-type and *-(k+1)*-type intersections. The neighbors in these layers are Cantor neighbors.

(e) The neighbors in the -1, 0, 1-st layers are edge neighbors.



FIGURE 4. A tile in this flip-over case.



FIGURE 5. The tile with A = [0, 1; -3, 3]

Theorem 3. Let $T = T(A, \mathcal{D}), A = [0, 1; -3, \pm 3], [0, 1; -5, \pm 4], [0, 1; -7, \pm 5], \mathcal{D} = \{d_i = (i, 0)^t, i = 0, \dots, |\det A| - 1\}.$ Then

- (a) T has 10 neighbors, 2 in each of 5 layers.
- (b) There are 2 vertex neighbors in each of the $\mathcal{2}$, $-\mathcal{2}$ -nd layers.



FIGURE 6. The neighbors of the exceptional tile.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

Step 1.

Lemma. (a) $T(A, \mathcal{D})$ is contained in the bounding parallelogram P with vertices

$$p_{0} = (0,0)$$

$$p_{1} = \left(\frac{2q(q-1)}{(p^{2}+p\sqrt{p^{2}-4q}-2q)(p+q+1)}, \frac{-(p+\sqrt{p^{2}-4q})q(q-1)}{(p^{2}+p\sqrt{p^{2}-4q}-2q)(p+q+1)}\right)$$

$$p_{2} = (q-1)(A-I)^{-1}d_{1} = \left(\frac{(-p-1)(q-1)}{p+q+1}, \frac{q(q-1)}{p+q+1}\right)$$

$$p_{3} = p_{2} - p_{1}.$$

(b) $T(A,\mathcal{D})$ is contained in the bounding hexagon H with vertices

$$p_{0} = (0,0)$$

$$p_{4} = A^{-1}p_{1}$$

$$p_{5} = A^{-1}p_{2} = p_{2} - p_{7} = (q-1)(A-I)^{-1}d_{1} - (q-1)A^{-1}d_{1}$$

$$p_{2} = (q-1)(A-I)^{-1}d_{1}$$

$$p_{6} = p_{2} - p_{4} = (q-1)(A-I)^{-1}d_{1} - A^{-1}p_{1}$$

$$p_{7} = (q-1)A^{-1}d_{1}.$$
We in the same half of the factor is the element in the factor of T

H is the convex hull of the first level approximation of T, $\mathcal{F}^1(P) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{q-1} P_i$.



FIGURE 7. The bounding parallelogram and hexagon for A = [0, 1; -6, 5].

Reason:

- From the approximations $\mathcal{F}^k(\{0\})$ of T, T is in the acute cone in the 1st quadrant bounded by the directions of $A^{-1}d_1$ and v_1 , where $A^{-1}v_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$.
- Center of symmetry of T: $z = \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(A-I)^{-1}d_1$ (Duda 07). Hence T is inside the parallelogram.
- The bounding hexagon H is the convex hull of the 1-level approximation $\mathcal{F}^1(P)$ of T.

Remark $0 \in T \Rightarrow$ the tip $p_2 = (q-1)(A-I)^{-1}d_1$ is in T. So are its iterated images.



FIGURE 8. Number of layers: A = [0, 1; -6, 5].

Step 2.

•

Claim. There are at most 2K + 1 layers that contain a neighbor of T.



FIGURE 9. Number of layers: A = [0, 1; -5, 5].

.



FIGURE 10. (a) number of 2nd layer neighbors is at most 2. (b) same for 1st layer neighbors.

Step 3.

Claim. There are at most 2 neighbors of T in each of the layers.

Reason: Use bounding parallelograms and bounding hexagons to separate the non-neighbors from T.

Step 4.

Claim. For k = 1, ..., K, the tiles $T^{(k,0)-k(-p,q)}$ and $T^{(k,0)-(k-1)(-p,q)}$ are the k-layer neighbors of T. The 0-layer neighbors are $T^{(-p,q)}$ and $T^{(p,-q)}$.

Reason: Use radix expansions to show that the indicated tiles has common points with T.

18



FIGURE 11. Vertex neighbors. A = [0, 1; -5, 5].

Step 5.

Claim. If $K = \frac{q-1}{p+q+1}$, the *K*, *-K*-layer neighbors are vertex neighbors.

Reason: Calculate. The tip of $T^{(K,0)-K(-p,q)}$ is 0, and hence is a vertex neighbor. Neighbors in the same layer are of the same type. By symmetry, also for the *-K*-layer.



FIGURE 12. The intersection of the 1st level approximations.

Claim. If $K < \frac{q-1}{p+q+1}$, the K, -K-layer neighbors are Cantor neighbors.

Reason: Apply A^{-1} to the above picture to see what's happening at the 2nd level.

Use induction.



FIGURE 13. The intersection of the 2nd level approximations.



FIGURE 14. Zoom in.

•



FIGURE 15. A = [0, 1; -5, 5]. The 3rd layer neighbor is a Cantor neighbor.

Step 6.

Claim. For k = 2, ..., K - 1, the k-type intersection is the union of the miniatures of k-type and k+1-type intersections. The neighbors in these layers are Cantor neighbors.

Reason: The next level in the region of k-type intersection can be seen by applying A^{-1} to the picture.



FIGURE 16. Zoom.

•



FIGURE 17. The magnified 1st level parallelograms of T and its 2nd layer neighbor $T^{(-8,-10)}$.

Step 7.

Claim. For k = -1, 0, 1, the k-th layer neighbors are edge neighbors.

Reason:

- Union of totally disconnected closed sets is totally disconnected \Rightarrow some of the neighbors in the 0, 1, -1-st layers are edge neighbors.
- Neighbors in the 0-th layer and 1, -1-st layers are of the same type.

QED

Proof of Theorem 3.

• Find bounding polygons for the tiles. Through trial and error find P such that $f_i(P) \subset P$.

5

- To see that there are at most 5 layers of neighbors, at most 2 in each layer, use bounding polygons to separate the non-neighbors.
- Use radix expansion to show that there are exactly 2 neighbors in each of the 5 layers.
- To see that the 2, -2nd-layers are vertex neighbors, repeatedly magnify the ℓ -level approximations of T and $T^{(2,0)-2(|p|,q)}$. The intersection of the magnified diagrams remains unchanged. So the actual intersection is a point.



FIGURE 18. A bounding polygon of the exceptional tile.



FIGURE 19. 'Vertex neighbor' proof.