On relationships between shift radix systems and canonical number systems

Horst Brunotte (joint work with Shigeki Akiyama, Attila Pethő, and Jörg M. Thuswaldner)

Graz, April 16, 2007

(partially supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF), Project S9610)

Shift radix systems

Let
$$\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \ (d \ge 1)$$
 and
 $\tau_{\mathbf{r}} : \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}^d \\ (a_1, \dots, a_d) \longmapsto (a_2, \dots, a_d, -\lfloor r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_d a_d \rfloor) \end{cases}$

The mapping $\tau_{\mathbf{r}}$ is called a *shift radix system* (SRS) if for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we can find some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\tau_{\mathbf{r}}^n(\mathbf{a}) = (0, \dots, 0)$.

We are interested in the following sets:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{D}_d^0 &=& \left\{ \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^d \, : \, \tau_{\mathbf{r}} \text{ is a shift radix system} \right\} & \text{ and} \\ \\ \mathcal{D}_d &=& \left\{ \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^d \, : \, (\tau_{\mathbf{r}}^k(\mathbf{a}))_{k \geq 0} \text{ is ultimately periodic for all } \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\}. \end{array}$$

Relationships of \mathcal{D}_d and \mathcal{D}_d^0

 \mathcal{D}_d is related to the *Schur-Cohn region*:

 $\mathcal{E}_d = \{ (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : X^d + r_d X^{d-1} + \dots + r_2 X + r_1 \text{ has only roots} \\ y \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |y| < 1 \}$

$$\blacktriangleright \quad \mathcal{E}_d \subseteq \mathcal{D}_d \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{E}_d}$$

•
$$\operatorname{int}(\mathcal{D}_d) = \mathcal{E}_d$$

- \mathcal{D}^0_d is related to *number systems*:
 - β -expansions with finiteness property (F)
 - canonical number systems

Shift radix systems and β -expansions

Theorem (Hollander (1996)) Let d > 1 and $\beta > 1$ be a Pisot number with minimal polynomial $X^d - b_1 X^{d-1} - \cdots - b_{d-1} X - b_d$. Set $r_i := b_i \beta^{-1} + b_{i+1} \beta^{-2} + \dots + b_d \beta^{j-d-1}$ (2 < i < d). (Note: $X^{d} - b_1 X^{d-1} - b_2 X^{d-2} - \cdots - b_d$ $= (X - \beta)(X^{d-1} + r_2X^{d-2} + \dots + r_d))$ Then $(r_d, \ldots, r_2) \in \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^0$ if and only if $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{\beta}\right] \cap [0,\infty)$

coincides with the set of nonnegative real numbers having finite greedy expansion with respect to β .

Canonical number systems

Let $P = p_d X^d + \cdots + p_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ with $p_0 \neq 0$, $p_d = 1$, and define $T_P : \mathbb{Z}[X] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ by

$$T_{P}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i}X^{i}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i+1}X^{i} - \lfloor \frac{a_{0}}{p_{0}} \rfloor \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_{i+1}X^{i}.$$

Definition (Kátai , Szabó (1975), Kátai , Kovács (1980), Kovács (1981), Gilbert (1981), Pethő (1991))

P is called a *CNS polynomial* if for each $A \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_P^k(A) = 0$. In this case the pair $(\alpha, \{0, \ldots, |P(0)| - 1\})$ is called a *canonical number system (CNS)* where α is a root of *P*. Set

$$\mathcal{C}_d^0 = \{(p_0,\ldots,p_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : X^d + p_{d-1}X^{d-1} + \cdots + p_0 \text{ CNS polynomial}\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_d = \{ (p_0, \dots, p_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : p_0 \neq 0 \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{T}_{X^d + p_{d-1}X^{d-1} + \dots + p_0} \text{ has only finite orbits} \}.$$

Shift radix systems and canonical number systems

We have the following relations for $p_0, \ldots, p_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, p_0 \neq 0$ (Akiyama, Borbély, B., Pethő, Thuswaldner (2005)):

•
$$(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}) \in C_d^0$$
 if and only if
 $(\frac{1}{p_0}, \frac{p_{d-1}}{p_0}, \dots, \frac{p_1}{p_0}) \in D_d^0$

•
$$(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}) \in C_d$$
 if and only if
 $(\frac{1}{p_0}, \frac{p_{d-1}}{p_0}, \dots, \frac{p_1}{p_0}) \in D_d$

Structure of the sets C_d^0 , C_d , D_d^0 and D_d

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C}_{1}^{0} = \{p_{0} \in \mathbb{Z} : p_{0} \geq 2\} & (\text{Grünwald (1885)}) \\ \\ \mathcal{C}_{1} = \{p_{0} \in \mathbb{Z} : |p_{0}| \geq 1\}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{1} = [-1, 1], \quad \mathcal{D}_{1}^{0} = [0, 1) \\ \\ (\text{Akiyama, Borbély, B., Pethő, Thuswaldner (2005)}) \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2^0 = \{(p_0, p_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \, : \, -1 \leq p_1 \leq p_0 \geq 2\}$$

(Kátai, Szabó (1975), Kátai, Kovács (1981), Gilbert (1981), Grossman (1985), ...)

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{(p_0, p_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -p_0 \le p_1 \le p_0 + 1, p_0 \ge 2\}$$

Structure of the sets C_d^0 , C_d , D_d^0 and D_d

Partial results for the sets $\mathcal{D}_d, \mathcal{D}_d^0 \quad (d \ge 2)$ and $\mathcal{C}_d, \mathcal{C}_d^0 \quad (d \ge 3)$ are known:

- d=2 : Gilbert (1981), Akiyama et al. (2006), Surer (2006), ...
- d=3 : Scheicher, Thuswaldner (2004), Akiyama et al. (2006),...
- $d \ge 3$: Kovács (1981), Kovács, Pethő (1983, 1991), Akiyama, Pethő (2002), Scheicher, Thuswaldner (2004), Pethő (2004), Akiyama, Rao (2004), Akiyama et al. (2004, 2006),...

But:

$$\mathcal{D}_2^0 = ?, \qquad \mathcal{D}_2 = ?$$

Structure of the sets $\mathcal{C}_2^0, \ \mathcal{C}_2, \ \mathcal{D}_1^0$ and \mathcal{D}_1

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{(p_0, p_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -p_0 \le p_1 \le p_0 + 1, p_0 \ge 2\}, \qquad \mathcal{D}_1 = [-1, 1]$$

hence

$$\left\{\frac{p_1}{p_0} \ : \ (p_0,p_1) \in \mathcal{C}_2\right\} \text{ "approximates" } [-1,1] = \overline{\mathcal{D}_1} \quad \text{ for } p_0 \to \infty.$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2^0 = \{(p_0, p_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -1 \le p_1 \le p_0 \ge 2\}, \qquad \mathcal{D}_1^0 = [0, 1)$$

hence

$$\left\{\frac{p_1}{p_0} \,:\, (p_0,p_1)\in \mathcal{C}_2^{\,0}\right\} \text{ "approximates" } [0,1]=\overline{\mathcal{D}_1^{\,0}} \quad \text{ for } p_0\to\infty.$$



Approximation of SRS by CNS

In the following let $d \ge 2$.

For the approximation we use

• suitable sets: For
$$M \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$$
 let

$$\mathcal{C}_d^0(M) = \left\{ \left(\frac{p_{d-1}}{M}, \dots, \frac{p_1}{M}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : (M, p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_d^0 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_d(M) = \left\{ \left(\frac{p_{d-1}}{M}, \dots, \frac{p_1}{M} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : (M, p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_d \right\}.$$

a notion of limit of sets:

Convergence of sets

Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of subsets of a topological space Z.

- A point z ∈ Z belongs to the (topological) lower limit <u>Lim_{n→∞}</u> A_n if every neighborhood of z intersects all A_n for n sufficiently large.
- A point $z \in Z$ belongs to the *(topological) upper limit* $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} A_n$ if every neighborhood of z intersects A_n for infinitely many n.
- ▶ The set A is said to be the *(topological) limit* of $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if $A = \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} A_n = \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} A_n$. We write

$$A=\lim_{n\to\infty}A_n$$

Analogously: $\lim_{x\to x_0} A_x$ for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $(A_x)_{x\in I}$ a collection of subsets of a topological space.

Approximation of the closure of \mathcal{D}_d

Theorem

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\mathcal{C}_d(M)=\overline{\mathcal{D}_{d-1}}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we need the following "cuts" of \mathcal{D}_d and \mathcal{D}_d^0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_d(x) &= \left\{ (r_2, \dots, r_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : (x, r_2, \dots, r_d) \in \mathcal{D}_d \right\}, \\ \mathcal{D}_d^0(x) &= \left\{ (r_2, \dots, r_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : (x, r_2, \dots, r_d) \in \mathcal{D}_d^0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

$$\lim_{x\to 0}\mathcal{D}_d(x)=\overline{\mathcal{D}_{d-1}}$$

Lebesgue measure of \mathcal{D}_d^0 and \mathcal{D}_d

Theorem (Akiyama, Borbély, B., Pethő, Thuswaldner (2005)) \mathcal{D}_d and \mathcal{D}_d^0 are Lebesgue measurable, and $\lambda_d(\mathcal{D}_d) = \lambda_d(\mathcal{E}_d)$.

Theorem

(i)
$$\lim_{x\to 0} \lambda_{d-1} (\mathcal{D}_d(x) \triangle \mathcal{D}_{d-1}) = 0.$$

(ii) $\lim_{x\to 0} \lambda_{d-1} (\mathcal{D}_d^0(x) \triangle \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^0) = 0.$
(iii) For $M \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ set

$$\mathcal{W}_d^0(M) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{C}_d^0(M)} \left\{ \mathbf{x}'\in\mathbb{R}^{d-1} : ||\mathbf{x}'-\mathbf{x}||_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2M} \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \lambda_{d-1}(\mathcal{W}^0_d(M)\triangle \overline{\mathcal{D}^0_{d-1}}) = 0.$$

Lebesgue measure of \mathcal{D}_d^0 and \mathcal{D}_d

For
$$M \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$$
 we set
 $N^0(d, M) = |\{(p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} : (M, p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_d^0\}|,$
 $N(d, M) = |\{(p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} : (M, p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_d\}|.$

We are interested in the frequencies for $\mathcal{C}^0_d(M)$ and $\mathcal{C}_d(M)$

$$rac{N^0(d,M)}{M^{d-1}}$$
 and $rac{N(d,M)}{M^{d-1}}$

for $M \to \infty$.

Remark

 $N^{0}(2, M) = |\{p_{1} \in \mathbb{Z} : X^{2} + p_{1}X + M \text{ CNS polynomial}\}| = M + 2,$ hence

$$\lim_{M o\infty}rac{N^0(2,M)}{M}=1=\lambda_1\left([0,1)
ight)=\lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_1^0).$$



The behavior of $N^0(3, M)/M^2$ for $2 \le M \le 464$.

Apparently $N^0(3,M)/M^2
ightarrow 1.766\ldots \simeq \lambda_2(\mathcal{D}_2^0)$

Lebesgue measure of \mathcal{D}_d^0 and \mathcal{D}_d

Theorem (i) $\lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{N^0(d,M)}{M^{d-1}} = \lambda_{d-1} \left(\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^0 \right)$

(ii)

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\frac{N(d,M)}{M^{d-1}}=\lambda_{d-1}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d-1}\right)$$

Shift radix systems and canonical number systems

Open questions:

Is it true that

$$\lim_{x\to 0} \mathcal{D}^0_d(x) = \overline{\mathcal{D}^0_{d-1}} \quad ?$$

Can we estimate the number of Pisot numbers of a given trace having property (F) by shift radix systems?