

### Exercise sheet 3

Exercises for the exercise session on 5 November 2019

**Problem 3.1.** Let  $n \geq k \geq 1$  be integers.

(a) Prove that

$$\binom{n}{k}^k \leq \binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^k}{k!} < \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k.$$

(b) For any constant  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , show

$$\binom{n}{\alpha n} = 2^{H(\alpha)n + O(\log_2 n)},$$

where  $H: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$H(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1-x) \log_2(1-x).$$

Prove that the same formula is still true if  $\alpha$  is not constant, but satisfies

$$\alpha = \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \alpha = \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

**Problem 3.2.** (a) Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. Prove that  $1 + x \leq \exp(x)$ . Furthermore, prove that  $1 + x \geq \exp\left(x - \frac{x^2}{2}\right)$  is true if and only if  $x \geq 0$ .

(b) Let integers  $n \geq k \geq 1$  be given. Use ((a)) to show that the falling factorial  $(n)_k := \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$  satisfies

$$n^k \exp\left(-\frac{k(k-1)}{2(n-k+1)}\right) \leq (n)_k \leq n^k \exp\left(-\frac{k(k-1)}{2n}\right).$$

**Problem 3.3.** Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  be events in a probability space such that

- $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are independent;
- $A_2$  and  $A_3$  are independent;
- $A_1 \cap A_2$  and  $A_3$  are independent.

Prove that  $A_1$  and  $A_2 \cap A_3$  are independent as well. Deduce that one may assume that the dependency graph  $D$  in the Lovász Local Lemma has the following properties.

- (i) Every vertex  $i$  of  $D$  is either isolated (i.e. there are no edges involving  $i$ ) or has at least one outgoing edge (i.e. an edge  $(i, j)$ ).

- (ii) Either  $D$  is edgeless or contains a directed cycle (this cycle might consist of only two edges  $(i, j)$  and  $(j, i)$ ).

**Problem 3.4.** Let  $n \geq 2$  be fixed. For the following probability spaces and sets of ‘bad’ events  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , prove that the Lovász Local Lemma *cannot* be applied (regardless of the choice of the dependency graph  $D$ ).

- (a) Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all sequences  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  with  $s_i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$  such that  $s_1 + \dots + s_n$  is even. Choose an element from  $\Omega$  uniformly at random. (I.e. we are considering the outcome of throwing a fair die  $n$  times, conditioned on the event that the sum of values is even.) Denote by  $A_i$  the event that  $s_i$  is odd.
- (b) Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all permutations of  $[n]$ . We choose a permutation  $\sigma$  uniformly at random and denote, for each  $i \in [n]$ , by  $A_i$  the event that  $\sigma(i) = i$ .

**Problem 3.5.** Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be events in a probability space and let  $D = ([n], E)$  be a directed graph. We say that  $D$  is a *negative dependency graph* for  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  if the following holds.

For every  $J \subseteq [n]$  and  $i \in [n] \setminus J$ , if  $(i, j) \notin E$  for all  $j \in J$  and  $\mathbb{P} \left[ \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{A_j} \right] > 0$ , then  $\mathbb{P} \left[ A_i \mid \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{A_j} \right] \leq \mathbb{P}(A_i)$ .

Replacing ‘dependency graph’ by ‘negative dependency graph’ in the statement of the Lovász Local Lemma results in the so-called *Lopsided Lovász Local Lemma*.

- (a) Sketch how the proof of the Lovász Local Lemma from the lecture generalises to a proof of the Lopsided Lovász Local Lemma.
- (b) Follow the arguments sketched below to prove in that Problem 3.4(b), the edgeless graph on  $[n]$  is a negative dependency graph.

It suffices to show (why?) that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{A_j} \mid A_i \right] \leq \mathbb{P} \left[ \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{A_j} \right]$$

for all  $J \subset [n]$  and  $i \in [n] \setminus J$  such that  $\mathbb{P}[A_i] > 0$ . Show that this is equivalent to

$$n \left| A_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{A_j} \right| \leq \left| \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{A_j} \right|.$$

To prove this inequality, for each permutation  $\sigma \in A_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{A_j}$ , define  $n$  permutations  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{A_j}$  and prove that  $\sigma_k \neq \tau_l$  as soon as  $k \neq l$  or  $\sigma \neq \tau$ .