

Perturbations of manifolds and spectral convergence

Olaf Post

Mathematik (Fachbereich 4), Universität Trier, Germany
joint work with Colette Anné (Nantes, France) and Andrii Khrabustovskyi (Graz)

2019-03-01

Differential Operators on Graphs and Waveguides – Graz

- 1 Motivation: perturbed manifolds and convergence of Laplacians
- 2 Interlude: Generalised norm resolvent convergence
- 3 Fading Neumann obstacles
- 4 Fading Dirichlet obstacles
- 5 Homogenisation — the critical case

Motivation: perturbed manifolds and convergence of Laplacians

- X Riemannian manifold (or subset of \mathbb{R}^n or waveguide ...)
- “wild perturbations” (name by Rauch-Taylor [RT75]):
 - remove obstacles B_ε , e.g. many small balls, $X_\varepsilon := X \setminus B_\varepsilon$
 - add many small handles, resulting manifold X_ε
(neither subset nor superset of X !)
- **Question:** Convergence of (Neumann/Dirichlet) Laplacian on X_ε ? To what limit?
- Here mostly **free** limit: Laplacian on X
(we call obstacles B_ε with $\Delta_{X_\varepsilon}^{(\text{Neu/Dir})} \rightarrow \Delta_X$ **fading**)
- **Another question:** Now to define **norm** resolvent convergence if spaces change?

Interlude: What is a good generalisation of norm resolvent convergence?

$\Delta_\varepsilon \geq 0$ in Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_ε for all $\varepsilon \geq 0$

Definition (Generalised norm resolvent convergence, P:06, P:12)

$\Delta_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\text{gnrs}} \Delta_0$: \Leftrightarrow there exist $J = J_\varepsilon: \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ bdd. and $\delta_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\|(\text{id}_0 - J^* J)R_0\| \leq \delta_\varepsilon, \quad \|(\text{id}_\varepsilon - JJ^*)R_\varepsilon\| \leq \delta_\varepsilon, \quad (1)$$

$$\|R_\varepsilon J - JR_0\| \leq \delta_\varepsilon. \quad (2)$$

If (1)–(2) hold for some J , we call $\Delta_\varepsilon, \Delta_0$ δ_ε -quasi-unitary equivalent.

Generalisation of **standard norm resolvent convergence**

- $\delta_\varepsilon = 0$ for (1): J unitary; w.l.o.g. $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$, $J = \text{id}$.
Then (2) is $\|R_\varepsilon - R_0\| \rightarrow 0$

Interlude: What is a good generalisation of norm resolvent convergence?

$\Delta_\varepsilon \geq 0$ in Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_ε for all $\varepsilon \geq 0$

Definition (Generalised norm resolvent convergence, P:06, P:12)

$\Delta_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\text{gnrs}} \Delta_0$: \Leftrightarrow there exist $J = J_\varepsilon: \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ bdd. and $\delta_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\|(\text{id}_0 - J^* J)R_0\| \leq \delta_\varepsilon, \quad \|(\text{id}_\varepsilon - JJ^*)R_\varepsilon\| \leq \delta_\varepsilon, \quad (1)$$

$$\|R_\varepsilon J - JR_0\| \leq \delta_\varepsilon. \quad (2)$$

If (1)–(2) hold for some J , we call $\Delta_\varepsilon, \Delta_0$ δ_ε -quasi-unitary equivalent.

Generalisation of **unitary equivalence**

- $\delta_\varepsilon = 0$ for (1)–(2): J unitary and $\Delta_\varepsilon, \Delta_0$ unitarily equivalent

Consequences of generalised norm resolvent convergence

Definition (Generalised norm resolvent convergence, P:06, P:12)

$\Delta_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\text{gnrs}} \Delta_0$: \Leftrightarrow there exist $J: \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ bdd. and $\delta_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that
 (1) $\|(\text{id}_0 - J^*J)R_0\| \leq \delta_\varepsilon$, $\|(\text{id}_\varepsilon - JJ^*)R_\varepsilon\| \leq \delta_\varepsilon$, (2) $\|R_\varepsilon J - JR_0\| \leq \delta_\varepsilon$.

Theorem (P:06, P:12)

If $\Delta_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\text{gnrs}} \Delta_0$, we have e.g.

- $\|\varphi(\Delta_\varepsilon) - J\varphi(\Delta_0)J^*\| \leq C_\varphi \delta_\varepsilon$ (e.g. $\varphi_t(\lambda) = e^{-t\lambda}$, $\varphi = \mathbb{1}_I$)
- $\sigma(\Delta_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \sigma(\Delta_0)$ on compact intervals [also for *discrete* and *essential spectrum*], convergence of eigenfunctions (even in energy norm)

In particular: no spectral pollution, no spurious eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_0 \in \sigma(\Delta_0) \iff \exists(\lambda_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon: \lambda_\varepsilon \in \sigma(\Delta_\varepsilon), \lambda_\varepsilon \rightarrow \lambda_0$$

Cannot have generalised norm resolvent convergence for compact spaces approximating a non-compact one (as essential spectra converge)

Fading Neumann obstacles

General assumption

X complete Riemannian manifold with Laplacian $\Delta_0 := \Delta_X$ of bounded geometry (injectivity radius $\iota > 0$, Ricci curvature bounded from below).

Consequences:

- \rightsquigarrow Small balls look Euclidean!
- $\exists C_{\text{ell}} > 0 \forall f \in H^2(X): \|f\|_{H^2(X)} \leq C_{\text{ell}} \|(\Delta_X + 1)f\|_{L_2(X)}$

Definition (Neumann fading obstacles)

B_ε are **Neumann fading obstacles** iff $B_\varepsilon \subset X$, $\delta_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\|f\|_{L_2(B_\varepsilon)} \leq \delta_\varepsilon \|f\|_{H^1(X)}, \quad (4)$$

$$\exists E_\varepsilon: H^1(X_\varepsilon) \rightarrow H^1(X), E_\varepsilon u|_{X_\varepsilon} = u: \|E_\varepsilon\|_{H^1 \rightarrow H^1} \leq C_{\text{ext}} \quad (5)$$

- (4) means: eigenfunctions **non-concentrating** on B_ε
- (5): $X_\varepsilon := X \setminus B_\varepsilon$ **strongly connected** (in homogenisation theory)

Neumann fading obstacles II

Theorem (Anné-P:18)

If $B_\varepsilon \subset X$ are Neumann fading obstacles then $\Delta_{X_\varepsilon}^{\text{Neu}} \xrightarrow{\text{gnrs}} \Delta_X$.

Flavour of proof:

- **Hilbert spaces:** $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = L_2(X_\varepsilon)$, $\mathcal{H}_0 := L_2(X)$
- **Energy forms:** $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) = \int_{X_\varepsilon} |du|^2$, $\text{dom } \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon = H^1(X_\varepsilon)$,
(\rightsquigarrow Neumann Laplacian on X_ε)
 $\mathcal{E}_0(f) = \int_X |du|^2$, $\text{dom } \mathcal{E}_0 = H^1(X)$
- **Identification operators:** $J: L_2(X_0) \rightarrow L_2(X_\varepsilon)$, $Jf := f|_{X_\varepsilon}$,
hence $J^*u = \bar{u} := u \oplus 0$.

Then: $u = JJ^*u$ and $f - J^*Jf = f|_{B_\varepsilon} \oplus 0$,

Hence:

$$\|f - J^*Jf\|^2 = \|f\|_{L_2(B_\varepsilon)}^2 \stackrel{(4)}{\leq} \delta_\varepsilon^2 \|f\|_{H^1(X)}^2 = \delta_\varepsilon^2 \|(\Delta_X + 1)^{1/2} f\|_{L_2(X)}^2,$$

(non-concentrating on B_ε) hence (1) fulfilled with δ_ε

Neumann fading obstacles III

Example:

For each $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\eta_\varepsilon > 0$ and $I_\varepsilon \subset X$ be an η_ε -separated set, i.e.,

$$x, y \in I_\varepsilon, \quad x \neq y \implies d(x, y) \geq 2\eta_\varepsilon$$

then $B_\varepsilon := B_{\eta_\varepsilon}(I_\varepsilon) = \bigcup_{x \in I_\varepsilon} B_{\eta_\varepsilon}(x)$ is a disjoint union of small balls.

We assume that

$$0 < \varepsilon \ll \eta_\varepsilon \ll 1, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \eta_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

(e.g. $\eta_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$).

Proposition (Anné-P:18)

B_ε are Neumann fading obstacles with $\delta_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon/\eta_\varepsilon)$ ($n \geq 3$).

Corollary (Anné-P:18)

If B_ε are disjoint balls as above then $\Delta_{X_\varepsilon}^{\text{Neu}} \xrightarrow{\text{gnrs}} \Delta_X$.

Fading Dirichlet obstacles

Definition (Dirichlet fading obstacles)

B_ε are **Dirichlet fading obstacles** iff $B_\varepsilon \subset X$, $\delta_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\exists \chi_\varepsilon: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$,
 $B_\varepsilon^+ := \{x \in X \mid \chi_\varepsilon(x) = 1\}$:

$$\|f\|_{L_2(B_\varepsilon^+)} \leq \delta_\varepsilon \|f\|_{H^1(X)}, \quad (6)$$

$$H^2(X) \rightarrow L_2(T^*(B_\varepsilon^+)), \quad f \mapsto fd\chi_\varepsilon|_{B_\varepsilon^+} \quad (7)$$

Theorem ([Anné-P:18])

Assume $B_\varepsilon \subset X$ are Dirichlet fading obstacles then Then $\Delta_{X_\varepsilon}^{\text{Dir}} \xrightarrow{\text{gnrs}} \Delta_X$.

Corollary ([Anné-P:18])

Assume $B_\varepsilon := B_{\eta_\varepsilon}(I_\varepsilon) = \bigcup_{x \in I_\varepsilon} B_{\eta_\varepsilon}(x)$ is ε^α -separated, $\alpha \in (0, (m-2)/m)$,
 then $\Delta_{X_\varepsilon}^{\text{Dir}} \xrightarrow{\text{gnrs}} \Delta_X$.

Meaning of the fading conditions in Neu/Dir case

Assume X is compact then by the η_ε -separation the number of balls $N_\varepsilon = |I_\varepsilon|$ is finite.

Moreover if $\eta_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^\alpha$, then $\text{vol } B_{\eta_\varepsilon} \sim \varepsilon^{\alpha m}$ and $N_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\alpha m} \lesssim 1$, hence

$$\text{(number of balls)} \quad N_\varepsilon \lesssim \varepsilon^{-\alpha m}.$$

When do the obstacles fade away ... ?

- **Neumann:** $0 < \alpha < 1$: number of balls less than ε^{-m} , or

$$N_\varepsilon \varepsilon^m \cong \varepsilon^{(1-\alpha)m} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{(volume of obstacles).}$$

- **Dirichlet ($m \geq 3$):** $0 < \alpha < \frac{m-2}{m}$: number of balls less than $\varepsilon^{-(m-2)}$, or

$$N_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{m-2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{(capacity of obstacles).}$$

Homogenisation — the critical case

Consider the case $\alpha = (m - 2)/m$:





Let $X_\varepsilon = X \setminus B_\varepsilon$, B_ε union of balls (little obstacles) $D_\varepsilon = \varepsilon D$ of radius ε in a grid of length $\eta_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^\alpha$

Let $q = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}(D_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^{\alpha m}}$ exist.

Theorem (Khrabustovskiy-Post:18)

We have $\Delta_{X_\varepsilon}^{\text{Dir}} \xrightarrow{\text{gnrs}} \Delta_X + q$.

Typical results only include **strong resolvent convergence**.

-  C. Anné and O. Post, **Wildly perturbed manifolds: norm resolvent and spectral convergence**, arXiv:1802.01124 (2018).
-  A. Khrabustovskyi and O. Post, **Operator estimates for the crushed ice problem**, Asymptot. Anal. **110** (2018), 137–161.
-  O. Post and J. Simmer, **Approximation of fractals by discrete graphs: norm resolvent and spectral convergence**, Integral Equations Operator Theory **90** (2018), 90:68.
-  J. Rauch and M. Taylor, **Potential and scattering theory on wildly perturbed domains**, J. Funct. Anal. **18** (1975), 27–59.