## Localization for Anderson Models on Tree Graphs

Selim Sukhtaiev (Rice University)

TU Graz, February 28, 2019

Schrödinger equation

$$egin{aligned} & \mathbf{i}\partial_t arphi(t,x) = -\Delta arphi(t,x) + V_\omega(x) arphi(t,x); \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \Gamma, \ arphi(0,x) = arphi_0(x); \ x \in \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

• E.g.  $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $\Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$  discrete or metric graph

Unitary time evolution

$$\varphi(t) = e^{-\mathbf{i}tH_{\omega}}\varphi_0$$

### Goal: Prove Dynamical Localization (in some energy regions)

Solution  $\varphi(t, \cdot)$  is localized whenever initial state  $\varphi_0(\cdot)$  is localized, almost surely

The spectral localization (i.e. p.p. spectrum&exponentially decaying eigenfuncitons) is a prerequisite.

# Anderson–Bernoulli model on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and on a tree



$$\langle arphi, f(H) arphi 
angle_{\ell^2(\mathcal{V})} = \int_{\operatorname{spec}(H)} f(E) d\mu_{arphi}(E)$$

Spectral measure

۲

$$d\mu_{arphi}=d\mu_{arphi, {\sf ac}}+d\mu_{arphi, {\sf sc}}+d\mu_{arphi, {\sf pp}}$$

• 
$$\sigma_{\bullet}(H) := \sigma\{H \upharpoonright_{\ell^2(\mathcal{V})_{\bullet}}\}, \bullet \in \{\text{ac, sc, pp}\}.$$

Given an initial state  $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathcal{V})$  we have

- $\mu_{arphi}$  is absolutely continuous then the particle "travels freely"
- $\mu_{arphi}$  is pure point then the "dynamic is confined" to a compact set
- $\mu_{\varphi}$  is singular continuous the particle "escapes" compact sets in some time-average sense

## Phase diagram for Anderson model on $\mathbb{Z}^d$

- d = 1: Localization for arbitrary strength of disorder (proved)
- d = 2: Localization for arbitrary strength of disorder (conjecture)
- d > 2: Localization near spectral edges (proved) & extended states for weak disorder (conj.)



# Phase diagram for Anderson model on the discrete Bethe lattice



$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{H}_{\omega,\lambda} := -\Delta + \lambda V_{\omega}, \ \lambda \text{-strength of disorder} \\ & \sigma(\mathcal{H}_{\omega,\lambda}) = [-2\sqrt{K}, 2\sqrt{K}] + \lambda \operatorname{supp}(\mu), a.e. \end{split}$$

## Random continuum models



- $b(v) = \omega_1(|v|), \quad \ell_e = \omega_2(gen(e)), \quad q(v) = \omega_3(|v|).$
- $\omega_{1,2,3}$  are sequences of i.i.d. random variables with **arbitrary** non-trivial distributions
- $\mathbb{H}_{\omega}$  denotes the Laplace operator on a random realization of the tree subject to random  $\delta$ -type vertex conditions.
- In particular, we consider Random branching model (RBM), Random length model (RLM), and Random Kirchhoff model (RKM).

## **Random discrete models**



• 
$$b(v) = \omega_1(|v|), \quad p(u,v) = \omega_2((u,v)), \quad q(v) = \omega_3(|v|).$$

•  $\omega_{1,2,3}$  are sequences of i.i.d. random variables with **arbitrary** non-trivial distributions

$$[\mathbb{J}_{\omega}f](u)=-\sum_{v\sim_{\omega}u}p_{\omega}(u,v)f(v),\quad f\in\ell^2(\mathcal{V}),\,\,v\in\mathcal{V},$$

or

$$[\mathbb{J}_{\omega}f](u)=\sum_{v\sim\omega u}(q_{\omega}(u)f(u)-f(v)), \quad f\in\ell^2(\mathcal{V}), \ v\in\mathcal{V}.$$

### Theorem, [Damanik–Fillman–S, 2019]

There exists a discrete set  $\mathfrak{D}\subseteq\mathbb{R}$  such that the following two assertions hold.

 (i) The operator 𝔢<sub>ω</sub> exhibits Anderson localization at all energies outside of 𝔅. That is, almost surely, 𝔄<sub>ω</sub> has pure point spectrum and any eigenfunction of 𝔄<sub>ω</sub> corresponding to an energy *E* ∈ ℝ \ 𝔅 enjoys an exponential decay estimate of the form

$$|f(x)| \leq \frac{Ce^{-\lambda|x|}}{\sqrt{w_o(|x|)}}$$

with C > 0 and  $\lambda > 0$ , where  $w_o(|x|)$  denotes the number of vertices in the generation of x, i.e.,  $w_o(|x|) = \#\{y \in \mathcal{V} : gen(y) = gen(x)\}$ .

(ii) For every compact interval  $I \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$  there exists a set  $\Omega^* \subset \Omega$  with  $\mu(\Omega^*) = 1$  such that for every p > 0 and every compact set  $\mathcal{K} \subset \Gamma_{b_{\omega},\ell_{\omega}}$  one has

$$\sup_{t>0} \left\| |X|^p \chi_I(\mathbb{H}_{\omega}) e^{-it\mathbb{H}_{\omega}} \chi_{\mathcal{K}} \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_{b_{\omega},\ell_{\omega}})} < \infty, \ \omega \in \Omega^*,$$

where  $\chi_I(\mathbb{H}_{\omega})$  is the spectral projection corresponding to *I*.

### Theorem, [Damanik–Fillman–S, 2019]

There exists a set  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$  of cardinality at most one such that the following assertions hold.

(i) The operator  $\mathbb{J}_{\omega}$  exhibits Anderson localization at all energies outside of  $\mathcal{D}$ . That is, almost surely,  $\mathbb{J}_{\omega}$  has pure point spectrum and any eigenfunction of  $\mathbb{J}_{\omega}$  corresponding to an energy  $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{D}$  enjoys an exponential decay estimate of the form

$$|f(x)| \leq \frac{Ce^{-\lambda|x|}}{\sqrt{w_o(|x|)}}, x \in \mathcal{V},$$

where  $C, \lambda > 0$  are constants.

# Dynamical and spectral localization for discrete trees, contd.

(ii) For every compact interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus D$  there exist  $\Omega^* \subset \Omega$  with  $\mu(\Omega^*) = 1$  and  $\theta > 0$  such that for every  $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $|x| \ge |y|$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^*$  one has

$$\sup_{t>0} |\langle \delta_x, \chi_I(\mathbb{J}_{\omega})e^{-it\mathbb{J}_{\omega}}\delta_y \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathcal{V})}| \leq \frac{Ce^{-\theta \operatorname{dist}(x,y)}}{\sqrt{w_y(|x|-|y|)}}$$

for some  $C = C(y, \omega, \theta) > 0$ . In particular, for all  $y \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^*$ , R > 0 one has \_\_\_\_\_

$$\sum_{|x|\geq R} \sup_{t>0} |\langle \delta_x, \chi_I(\mathbb{J}_\omega) e^{-it\mathbb{J}_\omega} \delta_y \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathcal{V})}| \leq \gamma e^{-\kappa R},$$

for some  $\kappa = \kappa(y) > 0$  and  $\gamma = \gamma(y) > 0$ .

#### Naimark–Solomyak decomposition of metric trees

• Naimark–Solomyak decomposition

$$\mathbb{H}_{\omega} = \mathbb{H}(b_{\omega}, \ell_{\omega}, q_{\omega}) = \bigoplus_{\varkappa=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{m(\varkappa)} H(T^{\varkappa}b_{\omega}, T^{\varkappa}\ell_{\omega}, T^{\varkappa}q_{\omega}),$$

•  $H_{\omega} = H(T^{\varkappa}b_{\omega}, T^{\varkappa}\ell_{\omega}, T^{\varkappa}q_{\omega})$  is the Laplace operator on the half-line  $(t_{\kappa}, +\infty)$  subject to Dirichlet condition at  $t_{\kappa}$  and

$$egin{cases} \sqrt{b_j}f(t_j^-)=f(t_j^+), & j\geqarkappa\ f'(t_j^-)+q_jf(t_j^-)=\sqrt{b_j}f'(t_j^+) & j\geqarkappa, \end{cases}$$

### Theorem, [Damanik–Fillman–S, 2019]

There exists a discrete set  $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that for every compact interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$ and every p > 0, there exists  $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$  with  $\mu(\widetilde{\Omega}) = 1$  such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \left\| |X|^p \chi_I(H_\omega) e^{-itH_\omega} \psi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} < \infty, \ \omega \in \widetilde{\Omega},$$

whenever 
$$\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$$
 and  $\psi(x) \underset{x \to \infty}{=} \mathcal{O}(e^{-\log^{22+\varepsilon} x}), \varepsilon > 0.$ 

۲

$$\mathbb{J}(b,p,q)\sim igoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} igoplus_{k=1}^{m(n)} J(T^n b,T^n p,T^n q), \ J(b,p,q) \coloneqq iggl( egin{array}{c} (b_0 p_0+p_{-1})q_0 & \sqrt{b_0}p_0 & 0 \ \sqrt{b_0}p_0 & (b_1 p_1+p_0)q_1 & \sqrt{b_1}p_1 & \ddots \ 0 & \sqrt{b_1}p_1 & \ddots & \ddots \ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ \end{array} 
ight)$$

### Theorem, [Damanik–Fillman–S, 2019]

The operator  $J_{\omega}$  exhibits Anderson localization at all energies outside of a set  $\mathcal{D}$  of cardinality at most one.

## Strategy of the Proof

• Lyapunov exponent. The cocycle is defined by

$$M_n^E(\omega): \begin{bmatrix} u(0^+)\\ u'(0^+) \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} u(t_n^+)\\ u'(t_n^+) \end{bmatrix}$$

where -u'' = Eu and u satisfies the vertex conditions defining dom $(H_{\omega})$ . This is a product of SL $(2, \mathbb{R})$  random matrices. Lyapunov exponent for  $E \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$L(E) = \lim_{n o \infty} rac{1}{n} \log \|M_n^E(\omega)\|; \ \mu - ext{a.e.} \ \omega$$

this limit exists and it is deterministic due to ergodicity.

L(E) > 0 for E ∈ ℝ \ D(not easy!). Thus there is an exponentially decaying solution (by the Osceledets Theorem).

### Anderson localizer's dream

For  $\mu$ - a.e.  $\omega$  one has

$$L(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|M_n^E(\omega)\|; \text{ for all } E \in \mathbb{R}$$

Selim Sukhtaiev (Rice)

### Anderson localizer's dream

For  $\mu$ - a.e.  $\omega$  one has

$$L(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|M_n^E(\omega)\|$$
; for all  $E \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Gorodetski–Kleptsyn: For  $\mu$ –a.e.  $\omega$  there exists *E* from a dense  $G_{\delta}$  subset of the almost–sure spectrum such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\|M_n^{\mathsf{E}}(\omega)\|<\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\|M_n^{\mathsf{E}}(\omega)\|$$

Anderson localizer's dream revised

For  $\mu$ - a.e.  $\omega$  one has

$$L(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|M_n^E(\omega)\|; \text{ for all generalized eigenvalues } E$$

## Claim, [Damanik–Fillman–S, 2019]

The revised Anderson localizer's dream holds and it implies spectral localization. Furthermore, the dynamical localization holds.

Selim Sukhtaiev (Rice)

- Fürstenberg's Theorem for L(E) > 0
- Elimination of double resonances (originally by Bourgain–Schlag for the doubling map model)
- Avalanche principle (due to Goldstein-Schlag)
- Semi-uniformly localized eigenfunctions
- Asymptotic formula for the number of centers of localizaiton in [0, L] as  $L \to \infty$
- Naimark-Solomyak/Breuer decomposition reversed