

Different Sized Haar-Unitaries arising from Random Matrix Models

Carlos Vargas Obieta¹

¹Fachrichtung Mathematik
Universität des Saarlandes

Vienna, 24.02.2011

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Rectangular Approach
- 3 Band Matrix Approach

A Random Matrix Model

Consider the following Random Matrix Model:

$$\Phi^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^k H_i U_i T_i U_i^* H_i^*,$$

where k is a fixed integer and:

- The U_i 's are $N_i^{(N)} \times N_i^{(N)}$ Haar-distributed unitary matrices, drawn independently from $\mathcal{U}(N_i^{(N)})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- The H_i 's are rectangular $N \times N_i^{(N)}$ deterministic matrices.
- The T_i 's are non-negative diagonal $N_k^{(N)} \times N_k^{(N)}$ deterministic matrices.

A Random Matrix Model

Consider the following Random Matrix Model:

$$\Phi^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^k H_i U_i T_i U_i^* H_i^*,$$

where k is a fixed integer and:

- The U_i 's are $N_i^{(N)} \times N_i^{(N)}$ Haar-distributed unitary matrices, drawn independently from $\mathcal{U}(N_i^{(N)})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- The H_i 's are rectangular $N \times N_i^{(N)}$ deterministic matrices.
- The T_i 's are non-negative diagonal $N_k^{(N)} \times N_k^{(N)}$ deterministic matrices.

A Random Matrix Model

Consider the following Random Matrix Model:

$$\Phi^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^k H_i U_i T_i U_i^* H_i^*,$$

where k is a fixed integer and:

- The U_i 's are $N_i^{(N)} \times N_i^{(N)}$ Haar-distributed unitary matrices, drawn independently from $\mathcal{U}(N_i^{(N)})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- The H_i 's are rectangular $N \times N_i^{(N)}$ deterministic matrices.
- The T_i 's are non-negative diagonal $N_k^{(N)} \times N_k^{(N)}$ deterministic matrices.

A Random Matrix Model

Consider the following Random Matrix Model:

$$\Phi^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^k H_i U_i T_i U_i^* H_i^*,$$

where k is a fixed integer and:

- The U_i 's are $N_i^{(N)} \times N_i^{(N)}$ Haar-distributed unitary matrices, drawn independently from $\mathcal{U}(N_i^{(N)})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- The H_i 's are rectangular $N \times N_i^{(N)}$ deterministic matrices.
- The T_i 's are non-negative diagonal $N_k^{(N)} \times N_k^{(N)}$ deterministic matrices.

A Random Matrix Model

- $(N_1^{(N)})_N, \dots, (N_k^{(N)})_N$ are sequences of numbers such that

$$\frac{N_i^{(N)}}{N} \rightarrow c_i \in (0, 1),$$

$$c_1 < \dots < c_k.$$

- The collection of deterministic matrices

$$(H_1, \dots, H_k, T_1, \dots, T_k) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{* - dist} (h_1, \dots, h_k, t_1, \dots, t_k),$$

with respect to the normalized expected trace $\mathbb{E} \circ \text{tr}_N$.

PROBLEM: Describe the asymptotic distribution of $\Phi^{(N)}$.

A Random Matrix Model

- $(N_1^{(N)})_N, \dots, (N_k^{(N)})_N$ are sequences of numbers such that

$$\frac{N_i^{(N)}}{N} \rightarrow c_i \in (0, 1),$$

$$c_1 < \dots < c_k.$$

- The collection of deterministic matrices

$$(H_1, \dots, H_k, T_1, \dots, T_k) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{* - dist} (h_1, \dots, h_k, t_1, \dots, t_k),$$

with respect to the normalized expected trace $\mathbb{E} \circ \text{tr}_N$.

PROBLEM: Describe the asymptotic distribution of $\Phi^{(N)}$.

A Random Matrix Model

- $(N_1^{(N)})_N, \dots, (N_k^{(N)})_N$ are sequences of numbers such that

$$\frac{N_i^{(N)}}{N} \rightarrow c_i \in (0, 1),$$

$$c_1 < \dots < c_k.$$

- The collection of deterministic matrices

$$(H_1, \dots, H_k, T_1, \dots, T_k) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{* - dist} (h_1, \dots, h_k, t_1, \dots, t_k),$$

with respect to the normalized expected trace $\mathbb{E} \circ \text{tr}_N$.

PROBLEM: Describe the asymptotic distribution of $\Phi^{(N)}$.

A Random Matrix Model

SOLUTION: [Coulliet, Debbah, Hoydis 2010]:

The (implicit) system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}_i(z) &= \operatorname{tr}_N T_i (e_i(z) T_i + (1 - e_i(z) \bar{e}_i(z)) I_{N_k}) \\ e_i(z) &= \operatorname{tr}_N H_i H_i^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \bar{e}_j(z) H_j H_j^* - z I_N \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $(\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_k)$ in the class of k -tuples of Stieltjes transforms of positive measures.

A Random Matrix Model

SOLUTION: [Coulliet, Debbah, Hoydis 2010]:

The (implicit) system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{e}_i(z) &= \operatorname{tr}_N T_i (e_i(z) T_i + (1 - e_i(z) \bar{e}_i(z)) I_{N_k}) \\ e_i(z) &= \operatorname{tr}_N H_i H_i^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \bar{e}_j(z) H_j H_j^* - z I_N \right)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $(\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_k)$ in the class of k -tuples of Stieltjes transforms of positive measures.

A Random Matrix Model

SOLUTION: [Coulliet, Debbah, Hoydis 2010]:

The (implicit) system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}_i(z) &= \operatorname{tr}_N T_i (e_i(z) T_i + (1 - e_i(z) \bar{e}_i(z)) I_{N_k}) \\ e_i(z) &= \operatorname{tr}_N H_i H_i^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \bar{e}_j(z) H_j H_j^* - z I_N \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $(\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_k)$ in the class of k -tuples of Stieltjes transforms of positive measures.

A Random Matrix Model

Then the function

$$\bar{m}(z) := \text{tr}_N \left(\sum \bar{e}_i(z) H_i H_i^* - zI_N \right)^{-1}$$

is a **deterministic equivalent** equivalent for the Stieltjes Transform $m(z)$ of $\Phi^{(N)}$.

This means that

$$|\bar{m}(z) - m(z)| \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\overset{\text{a.s.}}{\rightarrow}} 0.$$

A Random Matrix Model

Then the function

$$\bar{m}(z) := \text{tr}_N \left(\sum \bar{e}_i(z) H_i H_i^* - zI_N \right)^{-1}$$

is a **deterministic equivalent** equivalent for the Stieltjes Transform $m(z)$ of $\Phi^{(N)}$.

This means that

$$|\bar{m}(z) - m(z)| \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\overset{a.s.}{\rightarrow}} 0.$$

Question

- Can we tackle this problem using free probability?

"Answer"

MAYBE:

- The case when all the matrices have the same size can be treated.
- There is a "free-probabilistic" object that produces the equations of the deterministic equivalent
- Different sized unitaries are not free ($k \geq 3$), however
- they have nice operator valued distributions w.r.t a conditional expectation.

"Answer"

MAYBE:

- The case when all the matrices have the same size can be treated.
- There is a "free-probabilistic" object that produces the equations of the deterministic equivalent
- Different sized unitaries are not free ($k \geq 3$), however
- they have nice operator valued distributions w.r.t a conditional expectation.

"Answer"

MAYBE:

- The case when all the matrices have the same size can be treated.
- There is a "free-probabilistic" object that produces the equations of the deterministic equivalent
- Different sized unitaries are not free ($k \geq 3$), however
- they have nice operator valued distributions w.r.t a conditional expectation.

"Answer"

MAYBE:

- The case when all the matrices have the same size can be treated.
- There is a "free-probabilistic" object that produces the equations of the deterministic equivalent
- Different sized unitaries are not free ($k \geq 3$), however
- they have nice operator valued distributions w.r.t a conditional expectation.

"Answer"

MAYBE:

- The case when all the matrices have the same size can be treated.
- There is a "free-probabilistic" object that produces the equations of the deterministic equivalent
- Different sized unitaries are not free ($k \geq 3$), however
- they have nice operator valued distributions w.r.t a conditional expectation.

Previous Related Work

- A. Nica, R-diagonal pairs arising as Free Off diagonal compressions (1996)
- A. Nica, D. Shlyakhtenko R. Speicher: R-cyclic families of matrices in free probability (2002)
- F. Benaych-Georges: Rectangular Random Matrices, Related Convolution (2009)

Previous Related Work

- A. Nica, R-diagonal pairs arising as Free Off diagonal compressions (1996)
- A. Nica, D. Shlyakhtenko R. Speicher: R-cyclic families of matrices in free probability (2002)
- F. Benaych-Georges: Rectangular Random Matrices, Related Convolution (2009)

Previous Related Work

- A. Nica, R-diagonal pairs arising as Free Off diagonal compressions (1996)
- A. Nica, D. Shlyakhtenko R. Speicher: R-cyclic families of matrices in free probability (2002)
- F. Benaych-Georges: Rectangular Random Matrices, Related Convolution (2009)

Haar-Unitaries and R-diagonal Elements

Let (\mathcal{A}, τ) be a tracial W^* -probability space.

Definition

An element $u \in \mathcal{A}$ is called Haar-Unitary, if $uu^* = 1 = u^*u$ and for every $k \neq 0$

$$\tau(u^k) = 0.$$

Definition (Nica, Speicher 97)

An element $r \in \mathcal{A}$ is called R-Diagonal, if every non-alternating $*$ -cumulant on r vanishes. That is, for $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{1, *\}^n$,

$$\kappa_n(r^{\varepsilon_1}, r^{\varepsilon_2}, \dots, r^{\varepsilon_n}) = 0,$$

unless $n = 2k$ and $\varepsilon_1 \neq \varepsilon_2 \neq \dots \neq \varepsilon_n$.

Haar-Unitaries and R-diagonal Elements

Let (\mathcal{A}, τ) be a tracial W^* -probability space.

Definition

An element $u \in \mathcal{A}$ is called Haar-Unitary, if $uu^* = 1 = u^*u$ and for every $k \neq 0$

$$\tau(u^k) = 0.$$

Definition (Nica, Speicher 97)

An element $r \in \mathcal{A}$ is called R-Diagonal, if every non-alternating $*$ -cumulant on r vanishes. That is, for $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{1, *\}^n$,

$$\kappa_n(r^{\varepsilon_1}, r^{\varepsilon_2}, \dots, r^{\varepsilon_n}) = 0,$$

unless $n = 2k$ and $\varepsilon_1 \neq \varepsilon_2 \neq \dots \neq \varepsilon_n$.

Haar-Unitaries and R-diagonal Elements

Let (\mathcal{A}, τ) be a tracial W^* -probability space.

Definition

An element $u \in \mathcal{A}$ is called Haar-Unitary, if $uu^* = 1 = u^*u$ and for every $k \neq 0$

$$\tau(u^k) = 0.$$

Definition (Nica, Speicher 97)

An element $r \in \mathcal{A}$ is called R-Diagonal, if every non-alternating $*$ -cumulant on r vanishes. That is, for $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{1, *\}^n$,

$$\kappa_n(r^{\varepsilon_1}, r^{\varepsilon_2}, \dots, r^{\varepsilon_n}) = 0,$$

unless $n = 2k$ and $\varepsilon_1 \neq \varepsilon_2 \neq \dots \neq \varepsilon_n$.

Basic Definitions in Op. Val. FP

Haar-Unitaries of Different size

Let (\mathcal{A}, τ) be a tracial W^* -probability space. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that

- p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} are pairwise orthogonal projections, s.t. $\tau(p_i) > 0$, $p_1 + \dots + p_{k+1} = 1$. We set $q_i = p_1 + \dots + p_i$.
- $a_i \perp (1 - q_i)$, a_i is free from $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, p_1, \dots, p_i$ in the compressed space $(q_i \mathcal{A} q_i, \tau(q_i)^{-1} \tau|_{q_i \mathcal{A} q_i}) =: (\mathcal{A}_i, \tau_i)$

Theorem

a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} are free with amalgamation over $\langle p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \rangle =: \mathcal{B}$.

Haar-Unitaries of Different size

Let (\mathcal{A}, τ) be a tracial W^* -probability space. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that

- p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} are pairwise orthogonal projections, s.t. $\tau(p_i) > 0$, $p_1 + \dots + p_{k+1} = 1$. We set $q_i = p_1 + \dots + p_i$.
- $a_i \perp (1 - q_i)$, a_i is free from $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, p_1, \dots, p_i$ in the compressed space $(q_i \mathcal{A} q_i, \tau(q_i)^{-1} \tau|_{q_i \mathcal{A} q_i}) =: (\mathcal{A}_i, \tau_i)$

Theorem

a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} are free with amalgamation over $\langle p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \rangle =: \mathcal{B}$.

Haar-Unitaries of Different size

Let (\mathcal{A}, τ) be a tracial W^* -probability space. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that

- p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} are pairwise orthogonal projections, s.t. $\tau(p_i) > 0$, $p_1 + \dots + p_{k+1} = 1$. We set $q_i = p_1 + \dots + p_i$.
- $a_i \perp (1 - q_i)$, a_i is free from $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, p_1, \dots, p_i$ in the compressed space $(q_i \mathcal{A} q_i, \tau(q_i)^{-1} \tau|_{q_i \mathcal{A} q_i}) =: (\mathcal{A}_i, \tau_i)$

Theorem

a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} are free with amalgamation over $\langle p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \rangle =: \mathcal{B}$.

Haar-Unitaries of Different size

Let (\mathcal{A}, τ) be a tracial W^* -probability space. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that

- p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} are pairwise orthogonal projections, s.t. $\tau(p_i) > 0$, $p_1 + \dots + p_{k+1} = 1$. We set $q_i = p_1 + \dots + p_i$.
- $a_i \perp (1 - q_i)$, a_i is free from $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, p_1, \dots, p_i$ in the compressed space $(q_i \mathcal{A} q_i, \tau(q_i)^{-1} \tau|_{q_i \mathcal{A} q_i}) =: (\mathcal{A}_i, \tau_i)$

Theorem

a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} are free with amalgamation over $\langle p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \rangle =: \mathcal{B}$.

Haar-Unitaries of Different size

Our elements $\tilde{t}_i := u_i t_i u_i^*$, $\tilde{h}_i := U h_i v_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k \in \mathcal{A}$ fit into the framework of the previous theorem and we obtain:

- 1). $\tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_k, \tilde{h}_1^* \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k^* \tilde{h}_k, \langle \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{h}_k \tilde{h}_k^* \rangle$ are free with amalgamation over \mathcal{B} .
- 2). The \tilde{h}_i 's are \mathcal{B} -valued R-diagonal elements.

Haar-Unitaries of Different size

Our elements $\tilde{t}_i := u_i t_i u_i^*$, $\tilde{h}_i := U h_i v_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k \in \mathcal{A}$ fit into the framework of the previous theorem and we obtain:

- 1). $\tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_k, \tilde{h}_1^* \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k^* \tilde{h}_k, \langle \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{h}_k \tilde{h}_k^* \rangle$ are free with amalgamation over \mathcal{B} .
- 2). The \tilde{h}_i 's are \mathcal{B} -valued R-diagonal elements.

Haar-Unitaries of Different size

Our elements $\tilde{t}_i := u_i t_i u_i^*$, $\tilde{h}_i := U h_i v_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k \in \mathcal{A}$ fit into the framework of the previous theorem and we obtain:

- 1). $\tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_k, \tilde{h}_1^* \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k^* \tilde{h}_k, \langle \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{h}_k \tilde{h}_k^* \rangle$ are free with amalgamation over \mathcal{B} .
- 2). The \tilde{h}_i 's are \mathcal{B} -valued R-diagonal elements.

One Possibility

We would wish that actually $\langle \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k \rangle, \langle \tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_k \rangle$ are free with amalgamation over \mathcal{B}

If so, by setting $\mathcal{D} := \langle \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k, p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \rangle$ a straight-forward use of the Characterization of Freeness in different operator-valued levels by Nica, Shlyakhtenko and Speicher gives that

$$\tilde{h}_1 \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k \tilde{t}_k \tilde{h}_k$$

are \mathcal{D} -free, moreover

$$\kappa_n^{\mathcal{D}} \left(\tilde{h}_i \tilde{t}_i \tilde{h}_i^* \right) = \left(\frac{\tau(h_i h_i^*)}{c_i} \right)^{n-1} \kappa_n^{\mathbb{C}}(t_i, \dots, t_i) h_i h_i^*.$$

One Possibility

We would wish that actually $\langle \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k \rangle, \langle \tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_k \rangle$ are free with amalgamation over \mathcal{B}

If so, by setting $\mathcal{D} := \langle \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k, p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \rangle$ a straight-forward use of the Characterization of Freeness in different operator-valued levels by Nica, Shlyakhtenko and Speicher gives that

$$\tilde{h}_1 \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k \tilde{t}_k \tilde{h}_k$$

are \mathcal{D} -free, moreover

$$\kappa_n^{\mathcal{D}} \left(\tilde{h}_i \tilde{t}_i \tilde{h}_i^* \right) = \left(\frac{\tau(h_i h_i^*)}{c_i} \right)^{n-1} \kappa_n^{\mathcal{C}}(t_i, \dots, t_i) h_i h_i^*.$$

One Possibility

We would wish that actually $\langle \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k \rangle, \langle \tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_k \rangle$ are free with amalgamation over \mathcal{B}

If so, by setting $\mathcal{D} := \langle \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k, p_1, \dots, p_{k+1} \rangle$ a straight-forward use of the Characterization of Freeness in different operator-valued levels by Nica, Shlyakhtenko and Speicher gives that

$$\tilde{h}_1 \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k \tilde{t}_k \tilde{h}_k$$

are \mathcal{D} -free, moreover

$$\kappa_n^{\mathcal{D}} \left(\tilde{h}_i \tilde{t}_i \tilde{h}_i^* \right) = \left(\frac{\tau(h_i h_i^*)}{c_i} \right)^{n-1} \kappa_n^{\mathbb{C}}(t_i, \dots, t_i) h_i h_i^*.$$

Conclusion

After some algebraic manipulation and the use of the Operator Valued Subordination Formula, we would obtain

$$G_{\Phi}^{\mathcal{D}}(z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{R}_{t_i}^{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{\tau(h_i h_i^*) G_{\Phi}^{\mathcal{D}}(z)}{c_i} \right) h_i h_i^* \right)^{-1},$$

Finally, by applying τ we obtain an equation for the Scalar Cauchy transform. (the solution $(e_1(z), \dots, e_k(z))$ from Debbah et al. would just be

$$e_i(z) = \mathcal{R}_{t_i}^{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{\tau(h_i h_i^*) G_{\Phi}^{\mathcal{D}}(z)}{c_i} \right).$$

Conclusion

After some algebraic manipulation and the use of the Operator Valued Subordination Formula, we would obtain

$$G_{\Phi}^{\mathcal{D}}(z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{R}_{t_i}^{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{\tau(h_i h_i^*) G_{\Phi}^{\mathcal{D}}(z)}{c_i} \right) h_i h_i^* \right)^{-1},$$

Finally, by applying τ we obtain an equation for the Scalar Cauchy transform. (the solution $(e_1(z), \dots, e_k(z))$ from Debbah et al. would just be

$$e_i(z) = \mathcal{R}_{t_i}^{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{\tau(h_i h_i^*) G_{\Phi}^{\mathcal{D}}(z)}{c_i} \right).$$

Block Matrices

Reduction of the problem to the block matrix case

Nested Free Compressions

We recall the calculation of compressed cumulants

Theorem (Nica, Speicher)

Let $a, p \in \mathcal{A}$ be free elements, such that p is a projection with $\tau(p) > 0$. Let κ^p be the free cumulants in the compressed space $(p\mathcal{A}p, \tau(p)^{-1}\tau|_{p\mathcal{A}p})$. Then, for $\pi \in NC(n)$

$$\kappa_{\pi}^{(p)}(pap, \dots, pap) = \kappa_{\pi}(a, \dots, a) \tau(p)^{n-|\pi|}.$$

As a nice corollary one can show that if $a, b, p \in \mathcal{A}$ are free, then pap, pbp are free in $(p\mathcal{A}p, \tau(p)^{-1}\tau|_{p\mathcal{A}p})$.

Nested Free Compressions

We recall the calculation of compressed cumulants

Theorem (Nica, Speicher)

Let $a, p \in \mathcal{A}$ be free elements, such that p is a projection with $\tau(p) > 0$. Let κ^p be the free cumulants in the compressed space $(p\mathcal{A}p, \tau(p)^{-1}\tau|_{p\mathcal{A}p})$. Then, for $\pi \in NC(n)$

$$\kappa_{\pi}^{(p)}(pap, \dots, pap) = \kappa_{\pi}(a, \dots, a) \tau(p)^{n-|\pi|}.$$

As a nice corollary one can show that if $a, b, p \in \mathcal{A}$ are free, then pap, pbp are free in $(p\mathcal{A}p, \tau(p)^{-1}\tau|_{p\mathcal{A}p})$.

Nested Free Compressions

We recall the calculation of compressed cumulants

Theorem (Nica, Speicher)

Let $a, p \in \mathcal{A}$ be free elements, such that p is a projection with $\tau(p) > 0$. Let κ^p be the free cumulants in the compressed space $(p\mathcal{A}p, \tau(p)^{-1} \tau|_{p\mathcal{A}p})$. Then, for $\pi \in NC(n)$

$$\kappa_{\pi}^{(p)}(pap, \dots, pap) = \kappa_{\pi}(a, \dots, a) \tau(p)^{n-|\pi|}.$$

As a nice corollary one can show that if $a, b, p \in \mathcal{A}$ are free, then pap, pbp are free in $(p\mathcal{A}p, \tau(p) \tau|_{p\mathcal{A}p})$.

Nested Free Compressions

We can generalize this a bit, namely. If $a, \langle b, p, q \rangle$ are free, $p \leq q$ are projections and b, p are free in $q \mathcal{A} q$, then pap, pbp are free in $p \mathcal{A} p$.

We should analyze the same for other matrix units, $e_{1j} a e_{j1}, e_{1k} b e_{1l}$.
 (Note that $e_{j1} e_{1k} = e_{jk}$).

Nested Free Compressions

We can generalize this a bit, namely. If $a, \langle b, p, q \rangle$ are free, $p \leq q$ are projections and b, p are free in $q \mathcal{A} q$, then pap, pbp are free in $p \mathcal{A} p$.

We should analyze the same for other matrix units, $e_{1j} a e_{j1}, e_{1k} b e_{l1}$.
 (Note that $e_{j1} e_{1k} = e_{jk}$).

Freeness of small blocks

As a consequence of the previous observation, and a bit of work can show that the upper left blocks of our matrices are free.

By the unitary invariance of our matrices, this suggest that actually the algebras generated by the small blocks should be free.

Such a result would lead to the same conclusion for the asymptotics of the Cauchy Transform of our matrix Φ .

Freeness of small blocks

As a consequence of the previous observation, and a bit of work can show that the upper left blocks of our matrices are free. By the unitary invariance of our matrices, this suggest that actually the algebras generated by the small blocks should be free. Such a result would lead to the same conclusion for the asymptotics of the Cauchy Transform of our matrix Φ .

Freeness of small blocks

As a consequence of the previous observation, and a bit of work can show that the upper left blocks of our matrices are free. By the unitary invariance of our matrices, this suggest that actually the algebras generated by the small blocks should be free. Such a result would lead to the same conclusion for the asymptotics of the Cauchy Transform of our matrix Φ .

Thanks!