

Truncations of Haar distributed matrices and bivariate Brownian bridge

C. Donati-Martin

Vienne, April 2011

Joint work with Alain Rouault (Versailles)

G. Chapuy (2007) : σ uniform on S_n . Define for $p, q \leq n$

$$X_{p,q}^{(n)} = \#\{1 \leq i \leq p, \sigma(i) \leq q\}.$$

A suitable normalisation of the sequence of two-parameters processes $X_{[ns],[nt]}^{(n)}$ converge in distribution to the bivariate Brownian bridge.

Note that

$$X_{p,q}^{(n)} = \text{Tr}(\Sigma_{p,q} \Sigma_{p,q}^*)$$

where $\Sigma_{p,q}$ is the truncated matrix of size $p \times q$ of Σ , the permutation matrix associated with σ .

Similar result when Σ replaced by a Haar distributed matrix ?

Main result: Let U be a Haar unitary, resp. orthogonal, matrix in $\mathbb{U}(n)$, resp. in $\mathbb{O}(n)$. We consider, for $p \leq n$ and $q \leq n$, the upper-left $p \times q$ submatrix $V_{p,q}$.

Set

$$T_{p,q} = \text{Tr}(V_{p,q}V_{p,q}^*) = \sum_{i \leq p, j \leq q} |U_{i,j}|^2. \quad (1)$$

and

$$Y_{p,q}^{(n)} = T_{p,q} - \mathbb{E}T_{p,q}.$$

We define a sequence of two-parameter processes $W^{(n)}$ by

$$W^{(n)} := \left(Y_{\lfloor ns \rfloor, \lfloor nt \rfloor}^{(n)}, s, t \in [0, 1] \right).$$

$W^{(n)} \in D([0, 1]^2)$ the set of functions on $[0, 1]^2$ which are right continuous and with limits in all orthants, endowed with the Skorohod topology.

Theorem 1 *The process $W^{(n)}$ converges in distribution in $D([0, 1]^2)$ to a tied-down Brownian bridge $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}}W^{(\infty)}$ where $W^{(\infty)}$ is a centered continuous Gaussian process on $[0, 1]^2$ of covariance*

$$\mathbb{E}[W^{(\infty)}(s, t)W^{(\infty)}(s', t')] = (s \wedge s' - ss')(t \wedge t' - tt'),$$

$\beta = 2$ in the unitary case and $\beta = 1$ in the orthogonal case.

Some related works:

- d'Aristotile, Diaconis and Newman :

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor ns \rfloor} U_{ii} , s \in [0, 1] \right\}_n \xrightarrow{law} MB(\mathbb{C})$$

- Silverstein: q fixed

$$\left\{ n^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor ns \rfloor} |U_{iq}|^2 - s \right) , s \in [0, 1] \right\}_n \xrightarrow{law} BB$$

The covariance function (unitary case)

From the invariance of the Haar measure, we have:

$$E|U_{i,j}|^2 = \frac{1}{n}, \quad \mathbb{E}|U_{i,j}|^4 = \frac{2}{n(n+1)}$$

For $j \neq k$,

$$E(|U_{i,j}|^2|U_{i,k}|^2) = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}$$

and if $i \neq k, j \neq \ell$,

$$E(|U_{i,j}|^2|U_{k,\ell}|^2) = \frac{1}{n^2 - 1}.$$

From these relations,

$$E(T_{p,q}) = \frac{pq}{n}.$$

and

$$\text{Var}(T_{p,q}) = pq \frac{n^2 - n(p+q) + pq}{n^2(n^2 - 1)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} s(1-s)t(1-t)$$

if $p/n \rightarrow s, q/n \rightarrow t$.

Proof of Theorem 1:

- tightness of the distributions of $W^{(n)}$ in $D([0, 1]^2)$: need to estimate moments of increments of the process $W^{(n)}$ over rectangles.

- convergence of the finite dimensional distributions : for $(s_i, t_i)_{i \leq k} \in [0, 1]^2$, prove that

$$(W_{s_i, t_i}^{(n)}, i \leq k) \xrightarrow{(law)} \text{Gaussian vector,}$$

or equivalently for $(a_i)_{i \leq k} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$X^{(n)} := \sum_{i=1}^k a_i W_{s_i, t_i}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{(law)} N(0, \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j \Gamma((s_i, t_i); (s_j, t_j)))$$

To prove the convergence of $X^{(n)}$, show that $\kappa_r(X^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0$ for $r \geq 3$ where κ_r denotes the cumulant of order r .

Weingarten functions (Collins)

a) *Unitary case* : for any choice of indices i_l, j_m in $\{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(U_{i_1 j_1} \cdots U_{i_k j_k} \bar{U}_{i_{\bar{1}} j_{\bar{1}}} \cdots \bar{U}_{i_{\bar{k}} j_{\bar{k}}} \right) = \sum_{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}^U} \delta_{\mathbf{i}}^{p_1} \delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{p_2} \text{Wg}^{\mathbb{U}(n)}(p_1, p_2)$$

where \mathcal{M}_{2k}^U is the set of pairings of $[2k] = \{1, \dots, k, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{k}\}$ pairing one element of $[k]$ and one element of $[\bar{k}]$.

$\text{Wg}^{\mathbb{U}(n)}$ is the Weingarten matrix, the pseudo inverse of a Gram matrix.

b) *Orthogonal case*:

$$\mathbb{E} \left(O_{i_1 j_1} \cdots O_{i_k j_k} O_{i_{\bar{1}} j_{\bar{1}}} \cdots O_{i_{\bar{k}} j_{\bar{k}}} \right) = \sum_{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}} \delta_{\mathbf{i}}^{p_1} \delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{p_2} \text{Wg}^{\mathbb{O}(n)}(p_1, p_2)$$

where \mathcal{M}_{2k} is the set of pairings of $[2k] = \{1, \dots, k, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{k}\}$, $\text{Wg}^{\mathbb{O}(n)}$ is the orthogonal Weingarten matrix.

Another formulation: unitary case

$$\mathbb{E} \left(U_{i_1 j_1} \cdots U_{i_k j_k} \bar{U}_{i_{\bar{1}} j_{\bar{1}}} \cdots \bar{U}_{i_{\bar{k}} j_{\bar{k}}} \right) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_k} \tilde{\delta}_i^\alpha \tilde{\delta}_j^\beta \text{Wg}(n, \beta \alpha^{-1})$$

where $\tilde{\delta}_i^\alpha = 1$ if $i(s) = i(\overline{\alpha(s)})$ for every $s \leq k$ and 0 otherwise.
In particular, if $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_k$,

$$\text{Wg}(n, \pi) = \mathbb{E}(U_{11} \cdots U_{kk} \bar{U}_{1\pi(1)} \cdots \bar{U}_{k\pi(k)}).$$

\mathcal{S}_k is the set of permutations of $[k]$.

Convergence of the finite dimensional distributions

Note that $T_{p,q} = \text{Tr}(D_1 U D_2 U^*)$ where $D_1 = \text{diag}(I_p, 0_{n-p})$, $D_2 = \text{diag}(I_q, 0_{n-q})$.

The asymptotic vanishing cumulants of $X^{(n)} := \sum_{i=1}^k a_i W_{s_i, t_i}^{(n)}$ follows from:

Proposition 1 *Unitary case (Mingo, Sniady, Speicher)*

Let $D = (D_1, \dots, D_k)$ and $\bar{D} = (D_{\bar{1}}, \dots, D_{\bar{k}})$ be two families of deterministic matrices of size n . We set, for $1 \leq i \leq r$,

$$X_i = \text{Tr}(D_i U D_{\bar{i}} U^*).$$

Then,

$$\kappa_r(X_1, \dots, X_r) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_r} \sum_A C_{\beta\alpha^{-1}, A} \text{Tr}_\alpha(\bar{D}) \text{Tr}_{\beta^{-1}}(D) \quad (2)$$

where in the second sum, $A \in \mathcal{P}(r)$ is such that

$$\beta\alpha^{-1} \leq A \text{ and } A \vee \beta \vee \alpha = 1_r, \quad (3)$$

and $C_{\sigma, A}$ are the relative cumulants of the unitary Weingarten function.

Moreover, if the sequence $\{D, \bar{D}\}_n$ has a limit distribution, then for $r \geq 3$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_r(X_1, \dots, X_r) = 0.$$

Asymptotics:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} C_{\sigma, A} = O(n^{-2r - \#(\sigma) + 2\#(A)}) \\ \text{Tr}_{\alpha}(\bar{D}) = O(n^{\#(\alpha)}), \text{Tr}_{\beta^{-1}}(D) = O(n^{\#(\beta)}) \\ \beta\alpha^{-1} \leq A \text{ and } A \vee \beta \vee \alpha = 1_r \end{array} \right.$$

$$\implies \kappa_r = O(n^{2-r})$$

From the proposition, we easily get

$$\kappa_r(X^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0, \quad r \geq 3.$$

The orthogonal case: Description of \mathcal{M}_{2k}

For $g \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}$, we define

$$\eta(g) = \prod_{i=1}^k (g(i) \ g(\bar{i})) \in \mathcal{M}_{2k}.$$

$$H_k = \{g \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}; g\gamma = \gamma g\} \text{ où } \gamma = \prod_{i=1}^k (i\bar{i})$$

$$\eta(g) = \eta(g') \iff \exists h \in H, g = g'h.$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{2k} \sim \mathcal{S}_{2k}/H_k$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left(O_{i_1 j_1} \cdots O_{i_k j_k} O_{i_{\bar{1}} j_{\bar{1}}} \cdots O_{i_{\bar{k}} j_{\bar{k}}} \right) = \frac{1}{|H_k|^2} \sum_{g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{2k}} \delta_{\mathbf{i}}^{\eta(g_1)} \delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\eta(g_2)} \text{Wg}(g_1^{-1} g_2)$$

where Wg is invariant on the $H_k \backslash \mathcal{S}_{2k} / H_k$.

Parametrisation of \mathcal{S}_{2k}/H_k :

For $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k) \in \{-1, 1\}^k$, we define τ_ϵ by

$$\tau_\epsilon = \prod_{i, \epsilon_i = -1} (i\bar{i}) \in H_k.$$

For $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_k$, t_π est défini par:

$$t_\pi(i) = i; t_\pi(\bar{i}) = \overline{\pi(i)}.$$

Each class of \mathcal{S}_{2k}/H_k contains exactly one permutation

$$g_{\epsilon, \pi} = \tau_\epsilon t_\pi$$

for a particular pair (ϵ, π) .

Proposition 2 *Let $D = (D_1, \dots, D_k)$ and $\bar{D} = (D_{\bar{1}}, \dots, D_{\bar{k}})$ be two families of deterministic and **symmetric** matrices of size n . We set, for $1 \leq i \leq r$,*

$$X_i = \text{Tr}(D_i O D_{\bar{i}} O^*).$$

Then,

$$\kappa_r(X_1, \dots, X_r) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_r, \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^r} \lambda_{\alpha, \beta, r} \sum_A C_{\sigma, A} \text{Tr}_\alpha(\bar{D}) \text{Tr}_{\beta^{-1}}(D)$$

where

- $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_r$ is a function of α, β, ϵ satisfying $t_{\alpha^{-1}} \tau_\epsilon t_\beta \sim t_\sigma$ in $H_k \backslash S_{2k} / H_k$
- in the second sum, $A \in \mathcal{P}(r)$ is such that

$$\sigma \leq A \text{ and } A \vee \beta \vee \alpha = 1_r, \tag{4}$$

- $C_{\sigma, A}$ are the relative cumulants of the orthogonal Weingarten function.

Asymptotics

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} C_{\sigma,A} = O(n^{-2r-\#(\sigma)+2\#(A)}) \\ \text{Tr}_{\alpha}(\bar{D}) = O(n^{\#(\alpha)}), \text{Tr}_{\beta-1}(D) = O(n^{\#(\beta)}) \\ t_{\alpha-1}\tau_{\epsilon}t_{\beta} = \tau_{\epsilon'}t_{\sigma}h, h \in H_k \\ \sigma \leq A \text{ and } A \vee \beta \vee \alpha = 1_r \end{array} \right.$$

$$\implies \kappa_r = O(n^{-1})$$

Lemma 1 For $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(r)$ we have

$$\#(A) + \#(B) \leq r + \#(A \vee B).$$

Moreover, if there exists a block A_i of A and B_j of B such that $\#(A_i \cap B_j) = l$, then,

$$\#(A) + \#(B) \leq r - l + 1 + \#(A \vee B).$$

Therefore,

$$\#(\alpha) + \#(\beta) \leq r + \#(\alpha \vee \beta), \tag{5}$$

$$\#(A) + \#(\alpha \vee \beta) \leq r + 1, \tag{6}$$

$$\#(A) \leq \#(\sigma). \tag{7}$$

It is enough to study the cases (worst cases):

- $\#(\alpha) + \#(\beta) = r + \#(\alpha \vee \beta)$
- $\#(\alpha) + \#(\beta) = r - 1 + \#(\alpha \vee \beta)$

For example, if i is a fixed point of α and β , then i is a fixed point of σ and in this case (since $A \vee \alpha \vee \beta = 1_r$),

$$\#(A) < \#(\sigma).$$