Fast Boundary Element Methods in Industrial Applications Söllerhaus, 15.–18. Oktober 2003

Die Multipol–Randelementmethode in industriellen Anwendungen

<u>G. Of</u>, O. Steinbach, W. L. Wendland

Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Numerische Simulation, Universität Stuttgart

Outline:

- 1. Mixed boundary value problem of potential theory and linear elastostatics
- 2. Galerkin boundary integral equation formulation
- 3. Realization of the boundary integral operators by the Fast Multipole Method
- 4. Numerical examples and industrial applications



Mixed boundary value problem

Laplace operator:

$$-\Delta u(x) = 0 \qquad \text{for } x \in \Omega,$$
$$u(x) = g_D(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in \Gamma_D,$$
$$t(x) := (T_x u)(x) = (\partial_n u)(x) = g_N(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in \Gamma_N.$$

linear elastostatics:

$$-\operatorname{div} (\sigma(u)) = 0 \qquad \text{for } x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3,$$
$$u_i(x) = g_{D,i}(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in \Gamma_{D,i}, i = 1, \dots, 3,$$
$$t_i(x) := (T_x u)_i(x) = (\sigma(u)n(x))_i = g_{N,i}(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in \Gamma_{N,i}, i = 1, \dots, 3.$$

The stress tensor $\sigma(u)$ is related to the strain tensor e(u) by **Hooke's law**

$$\sigma(u) = \frac{E\nu}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \left(\text{tr } e(u)I + \frac{E}{(1+\nu)}e(u) \right).$$

E is the Young modulus and $\nu \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ is the Poisson ratio. The strain tensor is defined by

$$e(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u^{\top} + \nabla u)$$



Boundary integral formulation

Representation formula:

$$u(x) = \int_{\Gamma} [U^*(x,y)]^{\top} t(y) ds_y - \int_{\Gamma} [T^*_y U^*(x,y)]^{\top} u(y) ds_y \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega.$$

Calderon projector for the Cauchy data u(x) and t(x) on the boundary Γ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I - K & V \\ D & \frac{1}{2}I + K' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ t \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$

Boundary integral operators:

$$(Vt)(x) = \int_{\Gamma} [U^*(x,y)]^{\top} t(y) ds_y, \quad (Ku)(x) = \oiint_{\Gamma} [T^*_y U^*(x,y)]^{\top} u(y) ds_y,$$

$$(K't)(x) = \oiint_{\Gamma} [T_x U^*(x,y)]^{\top} t(y) ds_y, \quad (Du)(x) = -T_x \int_{\Gamma} [T^*_y U^*(x,y)]^{\top} u(y) ds_y.$$

Fundamental solution:

$$U^*(x-y) = \frac{1}{4\pi|x-y|}, \qquad U^*_{kl}(x-y) = \frac{1+\nu}{8\pi E(1-\nu)} \left[(3-4\nu)\frac{\delta_{kl}}{|x-y|} + \frac{(x_k-y_k)(x_l-y_l)}{|x-y|^3} \right].$$



Symmetric variational boundary integral formulation

Symmetric boundary integral formulation (Sirtori '79, Costabel '87):

$$(V\widetilde{t})(x) - (K\widetilde{u})(x) = (\frac{1}{2}I + K)\widetilde{g}_D(x) - (V\widetilde{g}_N)(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma_D,$$
$$(K'\widetilde{t})(x) + (D\widetilde{u})(x) = (\frac{1}{2}I - K')\widetilde{g}_N(x) - (D\widetilde{g}_D)(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma_N.$$

Galerkin discretization with piecewise constant (φ_l) and piecewise linear (ψ_i) ansatz and test functions leads to a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_h & -K_h \\ K'_h & D_h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\widetilde{t}}_h \\ \underline{\widetilde{u}}_h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{f}_N \\ \underline{f}_D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Single Galerkin blocks for k, l = 1, ..., m and $i, j = 1, ..., \tilde{m}$

$$V_h[l,k] = \langle V\varphi_k,\varphi_l \rangle_{L_2(\Gamma_D)}, \qquad K_h[l,i] = \langle K\psi_i,\varphi_l \rangle_{L_2(\Gamma_D)}, K'_h[j,k] = \langle K'\varphi_k,\psi_j \rangle_{L_2(\Gamma_N)}, \qquad D_h[j,i] = \langle D\psi_i,\psi_j \rangle_{L_2(\Gamma_N)}.$$



Symmetric realization of the single layer potential

Observation: The fundamental solution $(U_{kl}^*)_{l,k=1..3}$ of linear elastostatics can be written as

$$U_{kl}^*(x-y) = \frac{1+\nu}{2E(1-\nu)} \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[(3-4\nu)\frac{\delta_{kl}}{|x-y|} - \frac{1}{2}x_l\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\frac{1}{|x-y|} - \frac{1}{2}y_l\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\frac{1}{|x-y|} - \frac{1}{2}y_l\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\frac{1}{|x-y|} - \frac{1}{2}y_k\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\frac{1}{|x-y|} \right]$$

Lemma 1. For $x \neq y$, the single layer potential V^E can be written as

$$\begin{split} \left(V^E t\right)_k (x) &= \frac{(1+\nu)}{2E(1-\nu)} \left[\left(3-4\nu\right) \left(V^\Delta t_k\right) (x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^3 \left(x_l \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l}\right) \left(V^\Delta t_l\right) (x) \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{l=1}^3 y_l t_l(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \frac{1}{4\pi |x-y|} ds_y - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} y_k \sum_{l=1}^3 t_l(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} \frac{1}{4\pi |x-y|} ds_y \right]. \end{split}$$

This form guarantees the symmetry of the farfield part of the Galerkin matrix.



Double layer potential

Theorem 1 (Kupradze, 1979). The double layer potential K^E of linear elastostatics can be written as

$$\left(K^{E}u\right)(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} u(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{y}} \frac{1}{|x-y|} ds_{y} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \left(\mathcal{M}u\right)(y) ds_{y} + 2\mu \left(V^{E}\left(\mathcal{M}u\right)\right)(x),$$

with

$$\mu = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}, \qquad \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n_2\partial_1 - n_1\partial_2 & n_3\partial_1 - n_1\partial_3 \\ n_1\partial_2 - n_2\partial_1 & 0 & n_3\partial_2 - n_2\partial_3 \\ n_3\partial_1 - n_3\partial_1 & n_2\partial_3 - n_3\partial_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$(K^{E}u)(x) = (K^{\Delta}u)(x) - (V^{\Delta}(\mathcal{M}u))(x) + 2\mu (V^{E}(\mathcal{M}u))(x)$$

The bilinear form of **adjoint double layer potential** is realized accordingly.



Hypersingular operator

Theorem 2 (Houde Han (1994), Kupradze (1979)). The bilinear form of the hypersingular operator D^E in linear elastostatics can be written in the form

$$\langle D^E u, v \rangle_{L_2(\Gamma)} = \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \left(\sum_{k=1}^3 \left(\mathcal{M}_{k+2,k+1} v \right) (x) \cdot \left(\mathcal{M}_{k+2,k+1} u \right) (y) \right) ds_y ds_x + \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\mathcal{M} v \right)^{\top} (x) \left(\frac{\mu}{2\pi} \frac{I}{|x-y|} - 4\mu^2 U^*(x,y) \right) \left(\mathcal{M} u \right) (y) ds_y ds_x + \mu \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \left(\mathcal{M}_{k,j} v_i \right) (x) \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \left(\mathcal{M}_{k,i} u_j \right) (y) ds_y ds_x.$$

All the boundary integral operators in linear elastostatics respectively their bilinear forms are **reduced to** those of **the Laplacian**.

Together with integration by parts for bilinear form of the hypersingular operator of the Laplacian, it is **sufficient** to deal with the **single and double layer potential** of the Laplacian.



Fast Multipole Method for potential theory

$$(V^{\Delta}t)(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|x-y|} t(y) ds_y \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma$$

In the farfield computation by **numerical integration**:

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\tau_k} t(y) \frac{1}{|x-y|} ds_y \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} \underbrace{\Delta_k \omega_{k,i} t(y_{k,i})}_{=q_{k,i}} \frac{1}{|x-y_{k,i}|}.$$

multipole expansion for |x| > |y|

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{q_j}{|x - y_j|} \approx \Phi_p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{p} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{M} q_j |y_j|^n Y_n^{-m}(\hat{y}_j) \frac{Y_n^m(\hat{x})}{|x|^{n+1}}$$

and local expansion for |x| < |y|

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{q_j}{|x - y_j|} \approx \Phi_p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{p} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{M} q_j \frac{Y_n^{-m}(\hat{y}_j)}{|y_j|^{n+1}} Y_n^m(\hat{x}) |x|^n$$

with **spherical harmonics** for $m \ge 0$

$$Y_n^{\pm m}(\hat{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!}} (-1)^m \frac{d^m}{d\hat{x}_3^m} P_n(\hat{x}_3)(\hat{x}_1 \pm i\hat{x}_2)^m.$$



Post model

Dividing in **nearfield** and **farfield** by a hierarchical structure.





Properties of the FMM approximation

With an appropriate choice of the parameters of the multipole approximation:

- positive definiteness of the approximated matrices \widetilde{V}_h and \widetilde{D}_h
- same (optimal) convergence rate as in the standard approach
- All the boundary integral operators in linear elastostatics are **reduced to** those of **the Laplacian**: Single and double layer potential are sufficient.
- **regularization** of boundary integral operators \Rightarrow **less effort** on integration
- **symmetric implementation** of boundary integral operators
- Fast boundary element method with $\mathcal{O}(N \log^2 N)$ demand of time and memory, applicable to **complex problems of industrial interest**
- **preconditioning** by boundary integral operators and using hierarchical strategies



Example: foam

(H. Andrä, ITWM Kaiserslautern)



N	generation	solving	It
28952	0.7 h	7.3 h	246



Example: part of a machine

(W. Volk, M. Wagner, S. Wittig, BMW)





Example: Capacitance

(M. Kaltenbacher, Universität Erlangen)



minimal distance distance between the fingers: 10^{-8} .





Example: spraying

(R. Sonnenschein, Daimler Chrysler, Dornier)



112146 boundary elements.



Needles and adaptivity







mesh ratio \approx 1454,5.

Field evaluation

in 570930 points or better interactive on demand. \implies Fast Multipole Methode





Thickness of the wall

0.8 mm, size of the wall about 1 m. data range: $-2.128\cdot 10^5\ldots 5.857\cdot 10^8$





Domain Decomposition





Domain Decomposition

- automatic domain decomposition
- preconditioners
- BETI
- parallel solvers

