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## Operator Equation

## E.g. Boundary Integral Equations

(Exterior) boundary value problem of second order:
e.g. Laplace, Stokes, Maxwell equation etc.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{A} u=f \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma=\partial \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \\
\mathcal{A}: H^{t}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{-t}(\Gamma), \quad(\mathcal{A} u)(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\Gamma} k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{y}) d \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Decay property of the kernels of boundary integral operators

$$
\left|\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\alpha} \partial_{\mathbf{y}}^{\beta} k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\right| \lesssim\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{-(2+2 t+|\alpha|+|\beta|)}
$$

## Examples for the Laplacian:

$\square$ single layer operator: $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{V}, t=-\frac{1}{2}, f$ Dirichlet data

$$
(\mathcal{V} u)(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|} u(\mathbf{y}) d \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
$$

$\square$ double layer operator: $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{K} \pm \frac{1}{2}, t=0, f$ Dirichlet data

$$
(\mathcal{K} u)(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\left\langle\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{y}}\right\rangle}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{3}} u(\mathbf{y}) d \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
$$

$\square$ hypersingular operator: $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{W}, t=\frac{1}{2}$, f Neumann data

$$
(\mathcal{W} u)(\mathbf{x})=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{x}}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\left\langle\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{y}}\right\rangle}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{3}} u(\mathbf{y}) d \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
$$

Best N-Term Approximation:

Give $u$, assume $u_{\Lambda}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} u_{\lambda} \psi_{\lambda}$ with $\sharp \mathcal{I}=N$

$$
\inf _{\sharp \mathcal{I}=N}\left\|u-u_{\Lambda}\right\| \lesssim N^{-s}
$$

- 

Ultimate Goal :
Compute an approximate solution $u_{\text {approx }}$ of $A u=f$, s.t

$$
\left\|u-u_{\text {approx }}\right\| \lesssim N^{-s} \text { within Complexity } \sim N
$$

## Fast Methods for Integral operators

Adaptive kernel approximation:



- Fast Multipole Method (Greengard, Rokhlin,...)

】 Panel Clustering and H-matrices (Hackbusch-Nowak,...)
$\square$ Wavelet Galerkin Scheme
(Beylkin-Coifman-Rokhlin, Dahmen-Prößdorf-Schneider,...)

single-scale basis

$\rightsquigarrow$ Linear system:

$$
\mathbf{A}_{\psi} \mathbf{u}_{\psi}=\mathbf{f}_{\psi}
$$

## Biorthogonal Wavelet Bases

Multiscale hierarchy: $\quad V_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{j, k}: k \in \Delta_{j}\right\}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(V_{-l} \subset V_{-l+1} \subset \ldots\right) V_{0} \subset V_{1} \subset \ldots \subset V_{j} \subset V_{j+1} \subset \ldots \subset L^{2}(\Gamma) \\
\quad \longleftarrow(\text { Coarsening, Tausch, White) } \quad \text { Refinement } \longrightarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

- 

Multiscale decomposition and wavelets:
$\square$ Decomposition:

$$
V_{j+1}=V_{j} \oplus W_{j}, \quad V_{J}=\bigoplus_{j=-1}^{J-1} W_{j}, \quad W_{-1}:=V_{0}
$$

- Wavelets:

$$
W_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\psi_{j, k}: k \in \nabla_{j}:=\Delta_{j+1} \backslash \Delta_{j}\right\}
$$

】 Compact supports:

$$
\operatorname{diam} \operatorname{supp} \psi_{j, k} \sim 2^{-j}
$$

- Normalization:

$$
\left\|\psi_{j, k}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \sim 1
$$

$\square$ Biorthogonality: $\left\langle\psi_{j, k}, \tilde{\psi}_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\delta_{(j, k),\left(j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)}$

$$
\tilde{V}_{0} \subset \tilde{V}_{1} \subset \ldots \subset \tilde{V}_{j} \subset \tilde{V}_{j+1} \subset \ldots \subset L^{2}(\Gamma)
$$

$\square$ Regularity and Stability:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma:=\sup \left\{s \in \mathbb{R}: \psi_{j, k} \in H^{s}(\Gamma)\right\}>+t \\
& \tilde{\gamma}:=\sup \left\{s \in \mathbb{R}: \tilde{\psi}_{j, k} \in H^{s}(\Gamma)\right\}>-t
\end{aligned}
$$

- Cancellation Property: ( $\tilde{d}$ vanishing moments)

$$
\left|\left\langle\psi_{j, k}, f\right\rangle\right| \lesssim 2^{-j(\tilde{d}+1)}|f|_{W^{\tilde{d}, \infty}\left(\operatorname{supp} \psi_{j, k}\right)}
$$



## Preconditioning

Theorem (Norm equivalences):

1. Sobolev spaces

$$
\left.\|u\|_{s}^{2} \sim \sum_{\lambda} \mid\left(u, \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda}\right)_{\Gamma}\right)\left.\right|^{2} 2^{2|\lambda| s}
$$

- 

2. Besov spaces

$$
\|u\|_{B_{p, p}^{s}}^{p} \sim \sum_{\lambda}\left|\left\langle u, \tilde{\psi}_{\lambda}\right\rangle\right|^{p} \quad, \quad s=n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

】 Diagonal scaling: (Dahmen-Kunoth, Schneider)

The condition number of the diagonally scaled system matrix is uniformly bounded if $\tilde{\gamma}>-t$.

## Adaptive wavelet scheme

Normalisation $\|\left.\psi_{\lambda}\right|_{t} \sim 1 \rightarrow$ norm equivalence

$$
\|u\| \sim\|\mathbf{u}\|_{l_{2}}
$$

- 

Best N-Term Approximation:
Rearranging $\mathbf{u}$ by $\mathbf{u}^{*}=\left(u_{k}^{*}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, i.e. $\left|u_{k}^{*}\right| \leq\left|u_{k-1}^{*}\right|$, provides a quasi-norm for weak $l^{\tau}$-spaces

$$
\|\mathbf{u}\|_{l_{\tau}^{w}(\mathcal{J})}:=\sup _{k>0}\left(k^{1 / \tau}\left|u_{k}^{*}\right|\right) .
$$

- 

$$
\left\|u-u_{\Lambda}\right\|^{2} \sim \sum_{\lambda \notin \mathcal{I}}\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{2} \lesssim N^{-s}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\tau_{\tau}^{w}(\mathcal{J})} \quad, \quad \frac{1}{\tau}=\frac{1}{2}+s
$$

## Tree approximation

The local residuals are given by

$$
\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{2}:=\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{2}+\sum_{\mu \prec \lambda}\left|u_{\mu}\right|^{2}
$$

For $\eta>0$

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\eta}=\mathcal{T}_{\eta}(\mathbf{u}):=\left\{\lambda \in \mathcal{J}:\left|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}\right|>\eta\right\}
$$

is a tree.

structure of adaptive wavelet tree approximation

We introduce the tree weak spaces

$$
t_{\tau}^{w}={ }_{t} l_{\tau}^{w}(\mathcal{J})=\left\{\mathbf{u} \in l_{2}(\mathcal{J}):\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \mathcal{J}}=: \widetilde{\mathbf{u}} \in l_{\tau}^{w}\right\}
$$

- 


## Tree layers

The tree $\mathcal{T}(\epsilon, \mathbf{u})$ satisfies

$$
\left\|\mathbf{u}-\left.\mathbf{u}\right|_{\mathcal{T}(\epsilon, \mathbf{u})}\right\| \leq \epsilon
$$

We define

$$
\mathcal{T}_{j}:=\mathcal{T}\left(\epsilon \frac{2^{j s}}{j+1}, \mathbf{u}\right)
$$

and tree layers

$$
\Delta_{j}:=\mathcal{T}_{j} \backslash \mathcal{T}_{j+1}, j=0, \ldots, J=J(\epsilon)
$$

These layers play a similar role as the levels $\mathbf{u}_{j}:=\left.\mathbf{u}\right|_{\Delta_{j}}, \quad \mathbf{u}_{\epsilon}=\sum_{l=0}^{J} \mathbf{u}_{l}, \|$

## Compressible matrices

Let $u=\sum_{\lambda} u_{\lambda} \psi_{\lambda} \in H^{t}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}}=\left(\mathcal{A} \psi_{\lambda}, \psi_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{\Gamma}$

$$
\mathcal{A}: H^{t} \rightarrow\left(H^{t}\right)^{\prime} \text { corresponds to } \quad \mathbf{A}: l_{2} \rightarrow l_{2}
$$

Compressed matrices

$$
\mathbf{A}_{j}: l^{2}(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow l^{2}(\mathcal{J}), n n z_{\text {row }} \mathbf{A}_{j} \lesssim \frac{2^{j}}{(j+1)^{\alpha}}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{A}_{j}\right\| \lesssim \frac{2^{-j s}}{j+1} .
$$

I

Suppose $\mathbf{u} \in{ }_{t} l_{\tau}^{w}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon}$ is the best tree $N$-term approximation of $\mathbf{u}$ satisfying $\left\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon}\right\| \leq \epsilon$. We approximate $\mathbf{A u}_{\epsilon}$ by $\mathbf{w}_{J}$,

$$
\mathbf{w}_{J}:=\sum_{j=0}^{J} \mathbf{A}_{j} \mathbf{u}_{j}
$$

then the computational cost is $\lesssim \epsilon^{-1 / s}=N=N(\epsilon)$ and the accuracy is

$$
\left\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}-\mathbf{w}_{J}\right\| \lesssim \epsilon
$$

Prediction set: $\mathcal{T}_{\text {new }}:=\operatorname{supp}_{J}$ is a tree $\sharp \mathcal{T}_{0} \sim \sharp \mathcal{T}_{\text {new }}$.
Iterative scheme:

$$
\mathbf{u}^{n+1}=\mathbf{u}^{n}-\omega \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{u}^{n}-\mathbf{f}^{n}\right)
$$

## A-priori Matrix Compression

Estimate: $\left|\left(\mathcal{A} \psi_{j, k}, \psi_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}\right| \leq c \frac{2^{\left(j+j^{\prime}\right)(\tilde{d}+n / 2)}}{\operatorname{dist}\left(\operatorname{supp} \psi_{j, k}, \operatorname{supp} \psi_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)^{n+2 t+2 \tilde{d}}}$

1. Compression:
(Dahmen-Prößdorf-
Schneider,
von Petersdorff-Schwab)


$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathcal{A} \psi_{j, k}, \psi_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}:=0 \\
\text { if } \quad \operatorname{dist}\left(\operatorname{supp} \psi_{j, k}, \operatorname{supp} \psi_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)>\mathcal{B}_{j, j^{\prime}} \\
\mathcal{B}_{j, j^{\prime}}=a \max \left\{2^{-\min \left\{j, j^{\prime}\right\}}, 2^{\frac{2 J(\delta-t)-\left(j+j^{\prime}\right)(\delta+\tilde{d})}{2(\tilde{d}+t)}}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where
2. Compression: (Schneider)


$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathcal{A} \psi_{j, k}, \psi_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}:=0 \\
\text { if } \operatorname{dist}\left(\operatorname{supp} \psi_{j, k}, \operatorname{supp}^{\prime} \psi_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)>\mathcal{B}_{j, j^{\prime}}^{\prime} \\
\text { where } \quad \mathcal{B}_{j, j^{\prime}}^{\prime}=a^{\prime} \max \left\{2^{-\max \left\{j, j^{\prime}\right\}}, 2^{\frac{2 J\left(\delta^{\prime}-t\right)-\left(j+j^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}-\max \left\{j, j^{\prime}\right\} \tilde{d}\right.}{d+2 t}}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{O}\left(N_{J}\right)$ relevant matrix coefficients

$$
\left(a, a^{\prime}>1, d<\delta, \delta^{\prime}<\tilde{d}+2 t\right)
$$

## Error Analysis:

Theorem : The solution of the compressed wavelet scheme convergences with optimal order

$$
\left\|u-u_{J}^{\epsilon}\right\|_{2 t-d} \lesssim 2^{-2 J(d-t)}\|u\|_{d}
$$



## Complexity:

Theorem: If the computation of a relevant matrix coefficient $\left(\mathcal{A} \psi_{j, k}, \psi_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}$ requires

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(\left[J-\frac{j+j^{\prime}}{2}\right]^{\alpha}\right), \quad \alpha \geq 0
$$

operations, the complexity of assembling the compressed system matrix scales linearly.

A-posteriori matrix compression:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Define } \quad\left(\mathcal{A} \psi_{j, k}, \psi_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}:=0 \\
\text { if }\left|\left(\mathcal{A} \psi_{j, k}, \psi_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}\right|<\varepsilon_{j, j^{\prime}} \\
\text { where } \quad \varepsilon_{j, j^{\prime}} \sim \min \left\{2^{-\left|j-j^{\prime}\right|}, 2^{-\left(2 J-j-j^{\prime}\right) \frac{\delta-t}{d+t}}\right\} 2^{-2 J\left(d^{\prime}-t\right)+\left(j+j^{\prime}\right)} \phi^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Modified scheme of Cohen, Dahmen, de Vore:

- Choose : $\mathbf{u}^{0}=\mathbf{u}_{0}^{0}$
- For $k=1, \ldots, K$ do
$\mathbf{u}_{k+1}^{n+1}=\mathbf{u}_{k}^{n}-\omega \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{u}_{k}^{n}-\mathbf{f}^{n}\right)$
- Fast Operator Multiplication: perform $\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_{k}^{n}$ by above scheme
- Error Control: proceed until $\epsilon_{n+1} \leq 0.5 \epsilon_{n}$
- Coarsening: approximate $u_{K}^{n}$ by its best N -tree approximation $u^{n}$ : $\left\|u^{n}-u_{K}^{n}\right\| \leq \epsilon_{n}\left(\sim N_{n}^{-s}\right)$
- Continue up to desired accuracy

Result: Let $\epsilon_{n} \sim N^{-s}$, then the complexity to compute a solution $u^{n}$ with $\left\|u^{n}-u\right\| \leq \epsilon$ is proportional to $N \sim \epsilon^{-1 / s}$.

## Numerical Results I nonadaptive

Problem: Dirichlet problem in a crankshaft Single layer operator

$$
(\mathcal{V} g)(\mathbf{x}):=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|} g(\mathbf{y}) d \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
$$

Fredholm's integral equation of the first kind

$$
\mathcal{V} g=f \quad \text { on } \Gamma \quad \longrightarrow \quad u=\mathcal{V} g \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$



| unknowns |  | piecewise constant wavelets $\psi_{\text {optimized }}^{(1,3)}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $J$ | $N_{J}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{J}\right\\|_{\infty}$ | contr. | cpu-time <br> (in sec.) | a-priori <br> compression <br> (nnz in \%) | a-posteriori <br> compression <br> (nnz in \%) |
| 1 | 568 | 11 | - | 2 | 27 | 20 |
| 2 | 2272 | 1.0 | 11 | 9 | 8.7 | 6.7 |
| 3 | 9088 | $2.5 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 4.1 | 76 | 3.5 | 1.9 |
| 4 | 36352 | $2.9 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 8.4 | 727 | 1.1 | 0.44 |
| 5 | 145408 | $5.3 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 5.5 | 3897 <br> 1.1 h | 0.30 | 0.10 |


| unknowns |  | piecewise bilinear wavelets $\psi_{\text {optimized }}^{(2,4)}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $J$ | $N_{J}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{J}\right\\|_{\infty}$ | contr. | cpu-time <br> (in sec.) | a-priori <br> compression <br> (nnz in \%) | a-posteriori <br> compression <br> (nnz in \%) |
| 1 | 1278 | 3.0 | - | 8 | 100 | 99 |
| 2 | 3550 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 36 | 21 | 17 |
| 3 | 11502 | $6.7 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 19 | 470 | 7.8 | 4.4 |
| 4 | 41038 | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 41 | 3975 | 2.7 | 1.3 |

## Distribution of the cpu-time

- Dirichlet problem in a crankshaft
- indirect formulation using the single layer operator
- performed on a Linux PC with 1 GB RAM
$\square$ Piecewise constant wavelets $\left(N_{J}=145408\right)$
cpu-time in percent



## Numerical Results VI



Problem: Dirichlet problem in a gear wheel
Seek $u \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle u=0 & \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \\
u=f & \text { on } \Gamma:=\partial \Omega, f \in C^{1}(\Gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Single layer operator

$$
(\mathcal{V} g)(\mathbf{x}):=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|} g(\mathbf{y}) d \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
$$

Fredholm's integral equation of the first kind

$$
\mathcal{V} g=f \quad \text { on } \Gamma \quad \longrightarrow \quad u=\mathcal{V} g \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

| unknowns |  | adaptive scheme |  |  | nonadaptive scheme |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $J$ | $\operatorname{dim} V_{J}$ | $\operatorname{dim} \widehat{V}_{J} / \operatorname{dim} V_{J}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{J}\right\\|_{\infty}$ | cpu-time | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{J}\right\\|_{\infty}$ | cpu-time |
| 1 | 1160 | $100 \%$ | $4.6 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 9 | $4.7 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 8 |
| 2 | 4640 | $100 \%$ | $1.8 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 258 | $1.9 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 41 |
| 3 | 18560 | 27 | $3.0 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 421 | $3.0 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 488 |
| 4 | 74240 | 7.7 | $1.3 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 828 | $4.9 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 4627 |
| 5 | 296960 | 3.1 | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 2332 | - | - |
| 6 | 1187840 | 1.2 | $5.3 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 6902 | - | - |

Numerical Results VII adaptiv

Dirichlet problem in a crankshaft solved by the indirect formulation using the single layer operator

| unknowns |  | piecewise constant wavelets |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $J$ | $\operatorname{dim} V_{J}$ | $\operatorname{dim} \widehat{V}_{J} / \operatorname{dim} V_{J}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{J}\right\\|_{\infty}$ |  | cpu-time |  |
| 1 | 568 | $100 \%$ | 11 | $(11)$ | 2 | $(2)$ |
| 2 | 2272 | $99 \%$ | 1.0 | $(1.0)$ | 16 | $(9)$ |
| 3 | 9088 | $26 \%$ | $2.8 \mathrm{e}-1$ | $(2.5 \mathrm{e}-1)$ | 55 | $(76)$ |
| 4 | 36352 | 7.2 | $1.8 \mathrm{e}-2$ | $(2.9 \mathrm{e}-2)$ | 138 | $(727)$ |
| 5 | 145408 | 3.0 | $5.2 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $(5.3 \mathrm{e}-3)$ | 456 | $(3897)$ |
| 6 | 581632 | 1.4 | $2.1 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $(-)$ | 1607 | $(-)$ |
| 7 | 2326528 | 0.70 | $1.5 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $(-)$ | 5630 | $(-)$ |


| unknowns |  | piecewise bilinear wavelets |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $J$ | $\operatorname{dim} V_{J}$ | $\operatorname{dim} \widehat{V}_{J} / \operatorname{dim} V_{J}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{J}\right\\|_{\infty}$ |  | cpu-time |  |
| 1 | 1278 | $100 \%$ | 3.0 | $(3.0)$ | 8 | $(8)$ |
| 2 | 3550 | $100 \%$ | 1.3 | $(1.3)$ | 38 | $(36)$ |
| 3 | 11502 | 32 | $6.3 \mathrm{e}-2$ | $(6.7 \mathrm{e}-2)$ | 142 | $(470)$ |
| 4 | 41038 | 11 | $3.8 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $(1.7 \mathrm{e}-3)$ | 539 | $(3975)$ |
| 5 | 154638 | 5.1 | $5.4 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $(-)$ | 3091 | $(-)$ |
| 6 | 599950 | 2.8 | $6.3 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $(-)$ | 21749 | $(-)$ |



## Quadrature

$\square$ The quadrature is reduced to element-element interactions
$\square$ Tensor product Gauß-Legendre quadrature rules
$\square$ Precision of quadrature $\left(d^{\prime}>d\right)$

$$
\varepsilon_{j, j^{\prime}} \sim \min \left\{2^{-\left|j-j^{\prime}\right|}, 2^{-\left(2 J-j-j^{\prime}\right) \frac{2 \delta-t}{2 \bar{d}+t}}\right\} 2^{-2 J\left(d^{\prime}-t\right)+\left(j+j^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime}}
$$

- Direct quadrature of two elements if

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\Gamma_{i, j, k}, \Gamma_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right) \geq s>\frac{2^{-\min \left\{j, j^{\prime}\right\}}}{4 r}
$$

$\square$ Quadrature of two elements on the same level:
use the Duffy trick for identical elements and for elements which have a common edge or vertex

- Adaptive $h p$-quadrature scheme

$\square$ Per coefficient $\mathcal{O}\left(\left[J-\frac{i+j^{\prime}}{2}\right]^{4}\right)$ function calls
$\square$ The complexity of computing the system matrix is $\mathcal{O}\left(N_{J}\right)$


## Adaptivity II

Modification Since setting up matrix coefficients is much more expensive than solving the compressed system. We solve the equation on the next finer layer.
-
The tree $\mathcal{T}$ corresponds to the space $\widehat{V}_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\psi_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \mathcal{T}\left(\epsilon_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j}\right)\right\}$
Goal: Find a sequence of spaces

$$
V_{j_{0}}=\widehat{V}_{j_{0}} \subseteq \widehat{V}_{j_{0}+1} \subseteq \widehat{V}_{j_{0}+2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \widehat{V}_{J} \subseteq V_{J}, \quad \widehat{V}_{j} \subseteq V_{j}
$$

such that $\widehat{u}_{j}$ provides the same accuracy as $u_{j}$.

Let $\widehat{V}_{j}$ denote an arbitrary $m$-graded trial space and $\widehat{V}_{j, \boxplus}$ arises by uniform refinement. We assume that $\widehat{u}_{j} \in \widehat{V}_{j}$ and $\widehat{u}_{j, \boxplus} \in \widehat{V}_{j, \boxplus}$

Problem: Find a trial space $\widehat{V}_{j} \subseteq \widehat{V}_{j+1} \subseteq \widehat{V}_{j, \boxplus}$ such that

$$
\left\|\widehat{u}_{j, \boxplus}-\widehat{u}_{j+1}\right\|_{s} \leq \epsilon\left\|\widehat{u}_{j, \boxplus}-\widehat{u}_{j}\right\|_{s} .
$$

Strategy to find $\widehat{V}_{j} \subseteq \widehat{V}_{j+1} \subseteq \widehat{V}_{j, \boxplus}:$

## Numerical Results II

Problem: Dirichlet problem in a gear wheel
Double layer operator

$$
(\mathcal{K} g)(\mathbf{x}):=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\left\langle\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{y}}\right\rangle}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{3}} g(\mathbf{y}) d \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
$$

Fredholm's integral equation of the second kind

$$
\left(\mathcal{K}-\frac{1}{2}\right) g=f \quad \text { on } \Gamma \quad \longrightarrow \quad u=\mathcal{K} g \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$




|  | knowns | wavelets $\psi_{\text {optimized }}^{(1,3)}$ |  |  | single-scale basis $\phi^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $J$ | $N_{J}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{J}\right\\|_{\infty}$ | contr. | cpu-time <br> (in sec.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cpu-time } \\ & \text { (in sec.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 2800 | 1.3 | - | 10 | 20 |
| 2 | 11200 | $1.5 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 9.8 | 73 | 417 |
| 3 | 44800 | $5.5 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 2.2 | 664 | 6672 |
| 4 | 179200 | 7.6e-2 | 4.5 | $\underset{1.4 \mathrm{~h}}{5014}$ | $\underset{30 \mathrm{~h}}{106752}$ |

$\square$ define an element wise error portion

$\square$ sort error portions by their modulus and refine $\widehat{V}_{j}$ successively

Algorithm:
initialization: $\widehat{V}_{j_{0}}:=V_{j_{0}}$
for $j:=j_{0}$ to $J-2$ do begin
compute the system matrix for $\widehat{V}_{j, \boxplus}$
compute the solutions $\widehat{u}_{j}$ and $\widehat{u}_{j, \text { 田 }}$
determine $\widehat{V}_{j+1}$ with $\left\|\widehat{u}_{j, \boxplus}-\widehat{u}_{j+1}\right\|_{s} \leq \epsilon\left\|\widehat{u}_{j, \boxplus}-\widehat{u}_{j}\right\|_{s}$
end
compute the system matrix for $\widehat{V}_{J-1, \boxplus}$
compute the final solution $\widehat{u}_{J}:=\widehat{u}_{J-1, \boxplus}$

## Further Results:

】 Coupling FEM\& BEM with Harbrecht, Gatica et al.

- Inverse Problems with Harbrecht and Pereverzev
- Wavelet Approximation for Nonlinear Operators (PDE's) with Dahmen and Xu
$\square$ Least square methods with Dahmen and Kunoth
$\square$ Preconditioner for p -methods and weigthed norms with Beuchler and Schwab
$\square$ Ab initio methods for many particle quantum mechanics with H.J Flad, Hackbusch et al.

- 





## Domain Decomposition

Parametric representation:
$\square \Gamma=\bigcup_{i=1}^{M} \Gamma_{i}, \quad \Gamma_{i}=\gamma_{i}(\square), \quad i=1, \ldots, M$
$\square \Gamma_{i} \cap \Gamma_{i^{\prime}}, i \neq i^{\prime}$, is either empty or a lower dimensional face
$\square$ canonical inner product: $(u, v)_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}=\int_{\Gamma} u(\mathbf{x}) v(\mathbf{x}) d \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$
$\square$ modified inner product: $\langle u, v\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{M}\left(u \circ \gamma_{i}, v \circ \gamma_{i}\right)_{L^{2}(\square)}$

Parametric surface patch :


Examples:



