FMM based solution of electrostatic and magnetostatic field problems on a PC-cluster

> André Buchau Wolfgang Hafla Friedemann Groh Wolfgang M. Rucker



Outline

- Introduction
- Vectorization
- Multithreading
- Multiprocessing
- Numerical examples
- Conclusions



Numerical formulation

- Electrostatic field problems
- Boundary element method
- Indirect formulation based on charges
- Galerkin method
- Second order boundary elements
- Iterative solver GMRES with Jacobi preconditioner
- Fast multipole method



Introduction

Direct BEM formulation

- Electrostatics
- Steady current flow fields
- Green's theorem

$$c(\mathbf{r})u(\mathbf{r}) = \oint \frac{\partial u(\mathbf{r}')}{\partial n'} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} dA' - \oint u(\mathbf{r}') \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} dA'$$

- Dirichlet boundary conditions
- Neumann boundary conditions



Introduction

Indirect BEM formulation

- Electrostatics
- Magnetostatics
- Charge densities

$$u(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int_A \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{r'})}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r'}|} \, \mathrm{d} A'$$

- Dirichlet boundary conditions
- Neumann boundary conditions



Initial situation

- BEM with compressed matrix
- Very high compression rates (90 % to 99 %)
- Typical problem size: 10000 to 100000 unknowns
- Typical memory requirements: 100 MByte to 1 GByte
- Computing time for linear problems: up to a few hours
- Computing time for nonlinear problems: up to a few days
- Aim of parallelization
- Reduction of computing time



Properties

- Parallel execution of multiple instructions on a single CPU
- Hardware and compiler dependent
- Recommended for dense data structures





Multipole transformations

- Classical multipole-to-local transformation
- Dense transformation matrix
- Processor optimized numerical libraries

•
$$O(L^4)$$

 $L_n^m = \sum_{k=0}^{L} \sum_{l=-k}^{k} \frac{M_k^l j^{|m-l|-|m|-|l|} A_k^l A_n^m Y_{k+n}^{l-m}(\mu, \nu)}{(-1)^k \rho^{k+n+1} A_{n+k}^{l-m}}$
 $\{L\} = [T_{M2L}]\{M\}$



Multipole transformations

- Modified multipole-to-local transformation
- Sparse transformation matrices

• $O(L^3)$ $[T] = [z_{inv}][y_{inv}][M2L_z][y][z]$

$$M_{n}^{m} = M_{n}^{m} e^{jm\beta}$$

$$M_{n}^{m'} = \sum_{m=-n}^{-1} R(n,m,m',\alpha) (-1)^{m} (M_{n}^{m})^{*} + \sum_{m=0}^{n} R(n,m,m',\alpha) M_{n}^{m}$$

$$L_{n}^{m} = \sum_{k=m}^{L} M_{k}^{m} \frac{Y_{k+n}^{0} (0,0) (-1)^{k+m} (n+k)!}{\rho^{k+n+1} \sqrt{(k-m)!(k+m)!(n-m)!(n+m)!}}$$



Vectorization

Summary

- Fast for dense operations
- Sparse operators are faster
- Use all special properties of the operators
- Reduce number of sub-operations



Multithreading

Properties

- Easy to implement
- Only time consuming parts are parallelized
- Dynamic load distribution during runtime
- Shared memory access





Multipole transformations

• Operations of the octree cubes can be computed independent of each other





Properties

- Whole program runs in parallel
- Deterministic algorithm for load distribution
- Synchronization between processes





Multipole transformations

• Data transfer between processes is necessary





University of Stuttgart

Numerical examples

Hardware





Coated sphere

- 9892 second order quadrilateral elements
- 29680 unknowns
- 66 linear iteration steps
- 280 MByte
- Homogeneous mesh





Coated sphere

• Potential at a radial line





Coated sphere

• Computing times

	Serial	OpenMP	OpenMP + MPI	
Processes	1	1	2	
Threads	1	2	2*2	
Matrix assembly	2931 s	1466		
Reduction		50 %		
Solution linear equation system	3851 s	2387 s	1268 s	
Reduction		38 %	67 % (38 % OpenMP, 47 % MPI)	







University of Stuttgart

- 9529 second order quadrilateral elements
- 28855 unknowns
- 178 linear iteration steps
- 305 MByte
- Problem oriented mesh







• Computing times

	Serial	OpenMP	OpenMP + MPI	
Processes	1	1	2	
Threads	1	2	2*2	
Solution linear equation system	6730 s	4194 s	3360 s	2548 s
Reduction		38 %	50 % (19 % MPI)	62 % (39 % MPI)
Octree level			4	7



Conclusions

- Compressed BEM matrices (fast multipole method)
- Vectorization, multithreading, multiprocessing
- Reduction of computing time
- Easy-to-implement approach
- Limits of numerical algorithms in combination with parallelization methods

