Corollary: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and a t-copula $C_{\nu,R}^t$ with ν degrees of freedom and and correlation matrix R. Then we have

$$\lambda_U(X_1, X_2) = \lambda_L(X_1, X_2) = 2\bar{t}_{\nu+1} \left(\sqrt{\nu + 1} \frac{\sqrt{1 - R_{12}}}{\sqrt{1 + R_{12}}} \right).$$

Corollary: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and a t-copula $C^t_{\nu,R}$ with ν degrees of freedom and and correlation matrix R. Then we have $\lambda_U(X_1, X_2) = \lambda_L(X_1, X_2) = 2\bar{t}_{\nu+1} \left(\sqrt{\nu+1} \frac{\sqrt{1-R_{12}}}{\sqrt{1+R_{12}}}\right)$.

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and a Gaussian copula C_{ρ}^{Ga} , where ρ is the linear correlation coefficient of X_1 and X_2 . Then we have $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \rho$ und $\rho_{S}(X_1, X_2) = \frac{6}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\rho}{2}$.

Corollary: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and a t-copula $C_{\nu,R}^t$ with ν degrees of freedom and and correlation matrix R. Then we have

 $\lambda_U(X_1, X_2) = \lambda_L(X_1, X_2) = 2\bar{t}_{\nu+1} \left(\sqrt{\nu+1} \frac{\sqrt{1-R_{12}}}{\sqrt{1+R_{12}}} \right).$

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and a Gaussian copula C_{ρ}^{Ga} , where ρ is the linear correlation coefficient of X_1 and X_2 . Then we have $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \rho$ und $\rho_S(X_1, X_2) = \frac{6}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\rho}{2}$.

Theorem: Let $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ be an elliptically distributed random vector with continuous marginal distributions. Then the following holds $ho_ au(X_i,X_j)=rac{2}{\pi}rcsin R_{ij}, ext{ with } R_{ij}=rac{\Sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\Sigma_{ii}\Sigma_{ii}}} ext{ for } i,j=1,2,\ldots,d.$

Corollary: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and a t-copula $C_{\nu,R}^t$ with ν degrees of freedom and and correlation matrix R. Then we have $(X_1, X_2) = \lambda_1(X_1, X_2) = 2\bar{t}$

 $\lambda_U(X_1, X_2) = \lambda_L(X_1, X_2) = 2\bar{t}_{\nu+1} \left(\sqrt{\nu + 1} \frac{\sqrt{1 - R_{12}}}{\sqrt{1 + R_{12}}} \right).$

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and a Gaussian copula C_{ρ}^{Ga} , where ρ is the linear correlation coefficient of X_1 and X_2 . Then we have $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \rho$ und $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}(X_1, X_2) = \frac{6}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\rho}{2}$.

Theorem: Let $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ be an elliptically distributed random vector with continuous marginal distributions. Then the following holds $\rho_{\tau}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin R_{ij}$, with $R_{ij} = \frac{\Sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\Sigma_{ii}\Sigma_{ji}}}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, d$.

Corollary: Let $(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_d)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and an elliptical copula $C_{\mu, \Sigma, \psi}^E$. Then we have $\rho_{\tau}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin R_{ij}$, with $R_{ij} = \frac{\Sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\Sigma_{ii}\Sigma_{jj}}}$.

Corollary: Let $(X_1,X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and a t-copula $C^t_{\nu,R}$ with ν degrees of freedom and and correlation matrix R. Then we have $\lambda_U(X_1,X_2)=\lambda_L(X_1,X_2)=2\overline{t}_{\nu+1}\left(\sqrt{\nu+1}\frac{\sqrt{1-R_{12}}}{\sqrt{1+R_{12}}}\right)$.

Theorem: Let $(X_1,X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and a Gaussian copula C_{ρ}^{Ga} , where ρ is the linear correlation coefficient of X_1 and X_2 . Then we have $\rho_{\tau}(X_1,X_2)=\frac{2}{\pi}\arcsin\rho$ und $\rho_{S}(X_1,X_2)=\frac{6}{\pi}\arcsin\frac{\rho}{2}$.

Theorem: Let $X \sim E_d(\mu, \Sigma, \psi)$ be an elliptically distributed random vector with continuous marginal distributions. Then the following holds $\rho_{\tau}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin R_{ij}$, with $R_{ij} = \frac{\Sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\Sigma_{ii}\Sigma_{ji}}}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, d$.

Corollary: Let $(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_d)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and an elliptical copula $C_{\mu, \Sigma, \psi}^E$. Then we have $\rho_{\tau}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin R_{ij}$, with $R_{ij} = \frac{\Sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\Sigma_{ii}\Sigma_{jj}}}$.

See McNeil et al. (2005) for a proof of the three last results.

Disadvantages of elliptical copulas:

- no closed form representation in general,
- radial symmetry

Disadvantages of elliptical copulas:

- no closed form representation in general,
- radial symmetry

Definition: Let $\phi \colon [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$. The pseudo-inverse function $\phi^{[-1]} \colon [0,\infty] \to [0,1]$ of ϕ is defined by

$$\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \phi^{-1}(t) & 0 \le t \le \phi(0) \\ 0 & \phi(0) \le t \le \infty \end{array} \right.$$

Disadvantages of elliptical copulas:

- no closed form representation in general,
- radial symmetry

Definition: Let $\phi\colon [0,1]\to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$. The pseudo-inverse function $\phi^{[-1]}\colon [0,\infty]\to [0,1]$ of ϕ is defined by

$$\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \begin{cases} \phi^{-1}(t) & 0 \le t \le \phi(0) \\ 0 & \phi(0) \le t \le \infty \end{cases}$$

 $\phi^{[-1]}$ is continuous and monotone decreasing on $[0,\infty]$, strictly monotone decreasing on $[0,\phi(0)]$ and $\phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u))=u$ for $u\in[0,1]$ holds. Moreover

$$\phi(\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} t & 0 \leq t \leq \phi(0) \\ \phi(0) & \phi(0) \leq t \leq +\infty \end{array} \right.$$

Disadvantages of elliptical copulas:

- no closed form representation in general,
- radial symmetry

Definition: Let $\phi \colon [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$. The pseudo-inverse function $\phi^{[-1]} \colon [0,\infty] \to [0,1]$ of ϕ is defined by

$$\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \phi^{-1}(t) & 0 \leq t \leq \phi(0) \\ 0 & \phi(0) \leq t \leq \infty \end{array}
ight.$$

 $\phi^{[-1]}$ is continuous and monotone decreasing on $[0,\infty]$, strictly monotone decreasing on $[0,\phi(0)]$ and $\phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u))=u$ for $u\in[0,1]$ holds. Moreover

$$\phi(\phi^{[-1]}(t)) = \begin{cases} t & 0 \le t \le \phi(0) \\ \phi(0) & \phi(0) \le t \le +\infty \end{cases}$$

If
$$\phi(0) = +\infty$$
, then $\phi^{[-1]} = \phi^{-1}$.



Theorem: Let $\phi: [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with $C(u_1,u_2)=\phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1)+\phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex.

Theorem: Let $\phi \colon [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C \colon [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with $C(u_1,u_2)=\phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1)+\phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex. A copula C generated as above is called an *Archimedian copula* with generator ϕ .

Theorem: Let $\phi: [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1) = 0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with

 $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex.

A copula C generated as above is called an Archimedian copula with generator ϕ .

If
$$\phi(0) = +\infty$$
, then $\phi^{[-1]} = \phi^{-1}$ and $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$.

Theorem: Let $\phi: [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with $C(u_1,u_2)=\phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1)+\phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex.

A copula C generated as above is called an *Archimedian copula* with generator ϕ .

If $\phi(0) = +\infty$, then $\phi^{[-1]} = \phi^{-1}$ and $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof

Theorem: Let $\phi: [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1) = 0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with $C(u_1,u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$ is a copular iff ϕ is copyed.

 $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex.

A copula ${\cal C}$ generated as above is called an Archimedian copula with generator ϕ .

If $\phi(0) = +\infty$, then $\phi^{[-1]} = \phi^{-1}$ and $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof

Examples: Gumbel Copulas: $\phi(t) = (-\ln t)^{\theta}$, $\theta \ge 1$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $C_{\theta}^{Gu}(u_1,u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^{\theta} + (-\ln u_2)^{\theta}]^{1/\theta}\right)$ is the Gumbel copula with parameter θ .

Theorem: Let $\phi: [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with $C(u_1,u_2)=\phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1)+\phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex.

A copula C generated as above is called an *Archimedian copula* with generator ϕ .

If
$$\phi(0) = +\infty$$
, then $\phi^{[-1]} = \phi^{-1}$ and $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof

Examples: Gumbel Copulas: $\phi(t) = (-\ln t)^{\theta}$, $\theta \ge 1$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $C_{\theta}^{Gu}(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^{\theta} + (-\ln u_2)^{\theta}]^{1/\theta}\right)$ is the Gumbel copula with parameter θ .

For $\theta = 1$: $C_1^{Gu} = u_1 u_2$.

Theorem: Let $\phi: [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with

 $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex.

A copula ${\cal C}$ generated as above is called an Archimedian copula with generator ϕ .

If $\phi(0) = +\infty$, then $\phi^{[-1]} = \phi^{-1}$ and $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof

Examples: Gumbel Copulas: $\phi(t) = (-\ln t)^{\theta}$, $\theta \ge 1$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $C_{\theta}^{Gu}(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^{\theta} + (-\ln u_2)^{\theta}]^{1/\theta}\right)$ is the Gumbel copula with parameter θ .

For $\theta = 1$: $C_1^{Gu} = u_1 u_2$. $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} C_{\theta}^{Gu} = M(u_1, u_2) = \min\{u_1, u_2\}$.

Theorem: Let $\phi: [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with

 $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex.

A copula C generated as above is called an *Archimedian copula* with generator ϕ .

If $\phi(0) = +\infty$, then $\phi^{[-1]} = \phi^{-1}$ and $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof

Examples: Gumbel Copulas: $\phi(t) = (-\ln t)^{\theta}$, $\theta \ge 1$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $C_{\theta}^{Gu}(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^{\theta} + (-\ln u_2)^{\theta}]^{1/\theta}\right)$ is the Gumbel copula with parameter θ .

For $\theta = 1$: $C_1^{Gu} = u_1 u_2$. $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} C_{\theta}^{Gu} = M(u_1, u_2) = \min\{u_1, u_2\}$.

The Gumbel Copulas have an upper tail dependence.

Theorem: Let $\phi: [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with

 $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex.

A copula C generated as above is called an *Archimedian copula* with generator ϕ .

If
$$\phi(0) = +\infty$$
, then $\phi^{[-1]} = \phi^{-1}$ and $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof

Examples: Gumbel Copulas: $\phi(t) = (-\ln t)^{\theta}$, $\theta \ge 1$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $C_{\theta}^{Gu}(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^{\theta} + (-\ln u_2)^{\theta}]^{1/\theta}\right)$ is the Gumbel copula with parameter θ .

For $\theta = 1$: $C_1^{Gu} = u_1 u_2$. $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} C_{\theta}^{Gu} = M(u_1, u_2) = \min\{u_1, u_2\}$.

The Gumbel Copulas have an upper tail dependence.

Clayton Copulas: $\phi(t) = (t^{-\theta} - 1)/\theta$, $\theta > 0$. Then $C_{\theta}^{Cl}(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = (u_1^{-\theta} + u_2^{-\theta} - 1)^{-1/\theta}$ is the Clayton copula with parameter θ .

Theorem: Let $\phi: [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with

 $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex.

A copula ${\cal C}$ generated as above is called an *Archimedian copula* with generator ϕ .

If
$$\phi(0) = +\infty$$
, then $\phi^{[-1]} = \phi^{-1}$ and $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof

Examples: Gumbel Copulas: $\phi(t) = (-\ln t)^{\theta}$, $\theta \ge 1$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $C_{\theta}^{Gu}(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^{\theta} + (-\ln u_2)^{\theta}]^{1/\theta}\right)$ is the Gumbel copula with parameter θ .

For $\theta = 1$: $C_1^{Gu} = u_1 u_2$. $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} C_{\theta}^{Gu} = M(u_1, u_2) = \min\{u_1, u_2\}$.

The Gumbel Copulas have an upper tail dependence.

Clayton Copulas: $\phi(t) = (t^{-\theta} - 1)/\theta$, $\theta > 0$. Then

 $C_{\theta}^{CI}(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = (u_1^{-\theta} + u_2^{-\theta} - 1)^{-1/\theta}$ is the Clayton copula with parameter θ .

 $\lim_{\theta \to 0} C_{\theta}^{Cl} = u_1 u_2$ and $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} C_{\theta}^{Cl} = M = \min\{u_1, u_2\}.$

Theorem: Let $\phi \colon [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(1)=0$ and let $\phi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse function of ϕ . The function $C \colon [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, with

 $C(u_1,u_2)=\phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1)+\phi(u_2))$ is a copula iff ϕ is convex.

A copula C generated as above is called an *Archimedian copula* with generator ϕ .

If
$$\phi(0) = +\infty$$
, then $\phi^{[-1]} = \phi^{-1}$ and $C(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2))$.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof

Examples: Gumbel Copulas: $\phi(t) = (-\ln t)^{\theta}$, $\theta \ge 1$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $C_{\theta}^{Gu}(u_1, u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^{\theta} + (-\ln u_2)^{\theta}]^{1/\theta}\right)$ is the Gumbel copula with parameter θ .

For $\theta = 1$: $C_1^{Gu} = u_1 u_2$. $\lim_{\theta \to \infty} C_{\theta}^{Gu} = M(u_1, u_2) = \min\{u_1, u_2\}$.

The Gumbel Copulas have an upper tail dependence.

Clayton Copulas: $\phi(t) = (t^{-\theta} - 1)/\theta$, $\theta > 0$. Then

$$C_0^{CI}(u_1,u_2) = \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = (u_1^{-\theta} + u_2^{-\theta} - 1)^{-1/\theta}$$
 is the

Clayton copula with parameter θ .

 $\lim_{\theta\to 0} C_{\theta}^{Cl} = u_1 u_2$ and $\lim_{\theta\to \infty} C_{\theta}^{Cl} = M = \min\{u_1, u_2\}.$

The Clayton copulas have a lower tail dependence.



Example:

Let $\phi(t) = 1 - t$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \max\{1 - t, 0\}$ and $C_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) := \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \max\{u_1 + u_2 - 1, 0\} = W(u_1, u_2)$. Thus the Fréchet lower bound is an Archimedian copula.

Example:

Let $\phi(t) = 1 - t$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \max\{1 - t, 0\}$ and $C_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) := \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \max\{u_1 + u_2 - 1, 0\} = W(u_1, u_2)$. Thus the Fréchet lower bound is an Archimedian copula.

Theorem: Let $(X_1,X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and an Archimedian copula C generated by ϕ . Then $\rho_{\tau}(X_1,X_2)=1+4\int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)}dt$ holds.

Example:

Let $\phi(t) = 1 - t$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \max\{1 - t, 0\}$ and $C_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) := \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \max\{u_1 + u_2 - 1, 0\} = W(u_1, u_2)$. Thus the Fréchet lower bound is an Archimedian copula.

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and an Archimedian copula C generated by ϕ . Then $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = 1 + 4 \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)} dt$ holds.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof.

Example:

Let
$$\phi(t) = 1 - t$$
, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \max\{1 - t, 0\}$ and $C_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) := \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \max\{u_1 + u_2 - 1, 0\} = W(u_1, u_2)$. Thus the Fréchet lower bound is an Archimedian copula.

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and an Archimedian copula C generated by ϕ . Then $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = 1 + 4 \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)} dt$ holds.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof.

Example:

Let $\phi(t) = 1 - t$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \max\{1 - t, 0\}$ and $C_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) := \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \max\{u_1 + u_2 - 1, 0\} = W(u_1, u_2)$. Thus the Fréchet lower bound is an Archimedian copula.

Theorem: Let $(X_1,X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and an Archimedian copula C generated by ϕ . Then $\rho_{\tau}(X_1,X_2)=1+4\int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)}dt$ holds.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof.

Example Kendalls Tau for the Gumbel copula and the Clayton copula Gumbel: $\phi(t)=(\ln t)^{\theta},\ \theta\geq 1.$

Example:

Let $\phi(t) = 1 - t$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \max\{1 - t, 0\}$ and $C_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) := \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \max\{u_1 + u_2 - 1, 0\} = W(u_1, u_2)$. Thus the Fréchet lower bound is an Archimedian copula.

Theorem: Let $(X_1,X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and an Archimedian copula C generated by ϕ . Then $\rho_{\tau}(X_1,X_2)=1+4\int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)}dt$ holds.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof.

Gumbel:
$$\phi(t) = (\ln t)^{\theta}$$
, $\theta \ge 1$.

$$\rho_{\tau}(\theta) = 1 + 4 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)} dt = 1 - \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

Example:

Let $\phi(t) = 1 - t$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \max\{1 - t, 0\}$ and $C_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) := \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \max\{u_1 + u_2 - 1, 0\} = W(u_1, u_2)$. Thus the Fréchet lower bound is an Archimedian copula.

Theorem: Let $(X_1,X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and an Archimedian copula C generated by ϕ . Then $\rho_{\tau}(X_1,X_2)=1+4\int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)}dt$ holds.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof.

Gumbel:
$$\phi(t) = (\ln t)^{\theta}$$
, $\theta \ge 1$.

$$ho_{ au}(heta) = 1 + 4 \int_{0}^{1} rac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)} dt = 1 - rac{1}{ heta}.$$

Clayton:
$$\phi(t) = (t^{-\theta} - 1)/\theta$$
, $\theta > 0$.

Example:

Let
$$\phi(t) = 1 - t$$
, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $\phi^{[-1]}(t) = \max\{1 - t, 0\}$ and $C_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) := \phi^{[-1]}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2)) = \max\{u_1 + u_2 - 1, 0\} = W(u_1, u_2)$. Thus the Fréchet lower bound is an Archimedian copula.

Theorem: Let $(X_1, X_2)^T$ be a random vector with continuous marginal distributions and an Archimedian copula C generated by ϕ . Then $\rho_{\tau}(X_1, X_2) = 1 + 4 \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)} dt$ holds.

See Nelsen 1999 for a proof.

Gumbel:
$$\phi(t) = (\ln t)^{\theta}, \ \theta \ge 1.$$

$$\rho_{ au}(heta) = 1 + 4 \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)} dt = 1 - \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

Clayton:
$$\phi(t) = (t^{-\theta} - 1)/\theta$$
, $\theta > 0$.

$$\rho_{\tau}(\theta) = 1 + 4 \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{\phi'(t)} dt = \frac{\theta}{\theta + 2}.$$

Definition: A function $g:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ is called completely monotone iff all higher order derivatives of g exist and the following inequalities hold for $k\in \mathbb{N}_*$: $(-1)^k\left(\frac{d^k}{ds^k}g(s)\right)\Big|_{s=-t}\geq 0$, $\forall t\in (0,\infty)$.

Definition: A function $g:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ is called completely monotone iff all higher order derivatives of g exist and the following inequalities hold for $k\in\mathbb{N}_*$: $(-1)^k\left(\frac{d^k}{ds^k}g(s)\right)\Big|_{s=\pm}\geq 0$, $\forall t\in(0,\infty)$.

Theorem: (Kimberling 1974)

Let $\phi \colon [0,1] \to [0,\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(0) = \infty$ and $\phi(1) = 0$. The function $C \colon [0,1]^d \to [0,1]$, $C(u) := \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2) + \ldots + \phi(u_d))$ is a copula for all $d \ge 2$ iff ϕ^{-1} is completely monotone on $[0,\infty)$.

Definition: A function $g:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ is called completely monotone iff all higher order derivatives of g exist and the following inequalities hold for $k\in\mathbb{N}_*$: $(-1)^k\left(\frac{d^k}{ds^k}g(s)\right)\Big|_{s=t}\geq 0,\ \forall t\in(0,\infty).$

Theorem: (Kimberling 1974)

Let $\phi \colon [0,1] \to [0,\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(0) = \infty$ and $\phi(1) = 0$. The function $C \colon [0,1]^d \to [0,1]$, $C(u) := \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2) + \ldots + \phi(u_d))$ is a copula for all $d \ge 2$ iff ϕ^{-1} is completely monotone on $[0,\infty)$.

Lemma: A function $\psi \colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is completely monotone with $\psi(0)=1$ iff ψ is the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of some distribution function G on $[0,\infty)$, i.e. $\psi(s)=\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}dG(x)$, $s\geq 0$.

Multivariate Archimedian copulas

Definition: A function $g:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ is called completely monotone iff all higher order derivatives of g exist and the following inequalities hold for $k\in\mathbb{N}_*$: $(-1)^k\left(\frac{d^k}{ds^k}g(s)\right)\Big|_{s=-t}\geq 0$, $\forall t\in(0,\infty)$.

Theorem: (Kimberling 1974)

Let $\phi \colon [0,1] \to [0,\infty]$ be a continuous, strictly monotone decreasing function with $\phi(0) = \infty$ and $\phi(1) = 0$. The function $C \colon [0,1]^d \to [0,1]$, $C(u) := \phi^{-1}(\phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2) + \ldots + \phi(u_d))$ is a copula for all $d \ge 2$ iff ϕ^{-1} is completely monotone on $[0,\infty)$.

Lemma: A function $\psi \colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is completely monotone with $\psi(0) = 1$ iff ψ is the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of some distribution function G on $[0,\infty)$, i.e. $\psi(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dG(x)$, $s \ge 0$.

See McNeil et al. (2005) for a proof.

Theorem: Let G be a distribution function on $[0,\infty)$ such that G(0)=0. Let ψ be the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of G, i.e. $\psi(s)=\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}dG(x)$ for $s\geq 0$. Let X be a r.v. with distribution function G and let U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d be conditionally independent r.v. for $X=x, x\in \mathbb{R}^+$, with conditional distribution function $F_{U_k|X=x}(u)=\exp(-x\psi^{-1}(u))$ for $u\in [0,1]$.

Theorem: Let G be a distribution function on $[0,\infty)$ such that G(0)=0. Let ψ be the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of G, i.e. $\psi(s)=\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}dG(x)$ for $s\geq 0$. Let X be a r.v. with distribution function G and let U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d be conditionally independent r.v. for $X=x,\,x\in{\rm I\!R}^+$, with conditional distribution function $F_{U_k|X=x}(u)=\exp(-x\psi^{-1}(u))$ for $u\in[0,1]$.

$$Prob(U_1 \le u_1, U_2 \le u_2, \dots, U_d \le u_d) = \psi(\psi^{-1}(u_1) + \psi^{-1}(u_2) + \dots + \psi^{-1}(u_d))$$

and the distribution function of $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ is an Archimedian copula with generator ψ^{-1} .

Theorem: Let G be a distribution function on $[0,\infty)$ such that G(0)=0. Let ψ be the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of G, i.e. $\psi(s)=\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}dG(x)$ for $s\geq 0$. Let X be a r.v. with distribution function G and let U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d be conditionally independent r.v. for $X=x, x\in {\rm I\!R}^+$, with conditional distribution function $F_{U_k|X=x}(u)=\exp(-x\psi^{-1}(u))$ for $u\in [0,1]$.

Then

$$Prob(U_1 \leq u_1, U_2 \leq u_2, \dots, U_d \leq u_d) = \psi(\psi^{-1}(u_1) + \psi^{-1}(u_2) + \dots + \psi^{-1}(u_d))$$

and the distribution function of $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ is an Archimedian copula with generator ψ^{-1} .

Advantages and disadvantages of Archimedian copulas:

can model a broader class of dependencies

Theorem: Let G be a distribution function on $[0,\infty)$ such that G(0)=0. Let ψ be the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of G, i.e. $\psi(s)=\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}dG(x)$ for $s\geq 0$. Let X be a r.v. with distribution function G and let U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d be conditionally independent r.v. for $X=x,\,x\in{\rm I\!R}^+$, with conditional distribution function $F_{U_k|X=x}(u)=\exp(-x\psi^{-1}(u))$ for $u\in[0,1]$.

Then

$$Prob(U_1 \le u_1, U_2 \le u_2, \dots, U_d \le u_d) = \psi(\psi^{-1}(u_1) + \psi^{-1}(u_2) + \dots + \psi^{-1}(u_d))$$

and the distribution function of $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ is an Archimedian copula with generator ψ^{-1} .

Advantages and disadvantages of Archimedian copulas:

- can model a broader class of dependencies
- have a closed form representation

Theorem: Let G be a distribution function on $[0,\infty)$ such that G(0)=0. Let ψ be the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of G, i.e. $\psi(s)=\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}dG(x)$ for $s\geq 0$. Let X be a r.v. with distribution function G and let U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d be conditionally independent r.v. for $X=x,\,x\in{\rm I\!R}^+$, with conditional distribution function $F_{U_k|X=x}(u)=\exp(-x\psi^{-1}(u))$ for $u\in[0,1]$.

$$Prob(U_1 \leq u_1, U_2 \leq u_2, \dots, U_d \leq u_d) = \psi(\psi^{-1}(u_1) + \psi^{-1}(u_2) + \dots + \psi^{-1}(u_d))$$

and the distribution function of $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ is an Archimedian copula with generator ψ^{-1} .

Advantages and disadvantages of Archimedian copulas:

- can model a broader class of dependencies
- have a closed form representation
- depend on a small number of parameters in general

Theorem: Let G be a distribution function on $[0,\infty)$ such that G(0)=0. Let ψ be the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of G, i.e. $\psi(s)=\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}dG(x)$ for $s\geq 0$. Let X be a r.v. with distribution function G and let U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d be conditionally independent r.v. for $X=x,\,x\in {\rm I\!R}^+$, with conditional distribution function $F_{U_k|X=x}(u)=\exp(-x\psi^{-1}(u))$ for $u\in [0,1]$.

Then

$$Prob(U_1 \le u_1, U_2 \le u_2, \dots, U_d \le u_d) = \psi(\psi^{-1}(u_1) + \psi^{-1}(u_2) + \dots + \psi^{-1}(u_d))$$

and the distribution function of $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ is an Archimedian copula with generator ψ^{-1} .

Advantages and disadvantages of Archimedian copulas:

- can model a broader class of dependencies
- have a closed form representation
- depend on a small number of parameters in general
- ► the generator function needs to fulfill quite restrictive technical assumptions



Observe: Consider a symmetric positive definite matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and its Cholesky factorization $AA^T = R$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. If $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$ are independent, then $\mu + AZ \sim N_d(\mu, R)$.

Observe: Consider a symmetric positive definite matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and its Cholesky factorization $AA^T = R$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. If $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ are independent, then $\mu + AZ \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mu, R)$.

Algorithm: for the generation of a random vector $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ whose distribution function is the copula C_R^{Ga} , R positive definite with all ones on the main diagonal.

▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.

Observe: Consider a symmetric positive definite matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and its Cholesky factorization $AA^T = R$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. If $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ are independent, then $\mu + AZ \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mu, R)$.

- ▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.
- Simulate d independent standard normally distributed r.v. $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$

Observe: Consider a symmetric positive definite matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and its Cholesky factorization $AA^T = R$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. If $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ are independent, then $\mu + AZ \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mu, R)$.

- ▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.
- Simulate d independent standard normally distributed r.v. $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$
- ightharpoonup Set X := AZ

Observe: Consider a symmetric positive definite matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and its Cholesky factorization $AA^T = R$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. If $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ are independent, then $\mu + AZ \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mu, R)$.

- ▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.
- Simulate d independent standard normally distributed r.v. $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$
- ightharpoonup Set X := AZ
- ▶ Set $U_k := \phi(X_k)$ for k = 1, 2, ..., d, where ϕ is the standard normal distribution function.

Observe: Consider a symmetric positive definite matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and its Cholesky factorization $AA^T = R$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. If $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ are independent, then $\mu + AZ \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mu, R)$.

- ▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.
- Simulate d independent standard normally distributed r.v. $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$
- ightharpoonup Set X := AZ
- ▶ Set $U_k := \phi(X_k)$ for k = 1, 2, ..., d, where ϕ is the standard normal distribution function.
- Output $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$; U has distribution function C_R^{Ga} .

Algorithm: for the generation of a random vector $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ whose distribution function is the copula $C_{\nu,R}^t$, R positive definite with all ones on the main diagonal, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.

- ▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.
- Simulate d independent standard normally distributed r.v. $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$

- ▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.
- Simulate d independent standard normally distributed r.v. $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$
- ▶ Simulate a r.v. $S \sim \chi^2_{\nu}$ independent from von Z_1, \ldots, Z_d .

- ▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.
- Simulate d independent standard normally distributed r.v. $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$
- ▶ Simulate a r.v. $S \sim \chi^2_{\nu}$ independent from von Z_1, \ldots, Z_d .
- ightharpoonup Set Y := AZ

- ▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.
- Simulate d independent standard normally distributed r.v. $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$
- lacksquare Simulate a r.v. $S \sim \chi^2_{
 u}$ independent from von Z_1, \ldots, Z_d .
- ightharpoonup Set Y := AZ
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Set } X := \frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{\sqrt{S}} Y$

- ▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.
- Simulate d independent standard normally distributed r.v. $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$
- lacksquare Simulate a r.v. $S\sim\chi^2_
 u$ independent from von Z_1,\ldots,Z_d .
- ightharpoonup Set Y := AZ
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Set } X := \frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{\sqrt{S}} Y$
- ▶ Set $U_k = t_{\nu}(X_k)$ for k = 1, 2, ..., d, where t_{ν} is the distribution function of a standard t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom.

- ▶ Compute the Cholesly factorization of R: $R = AA^T$.
- Simulate d independent standard normally distributed r.v. $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_d \sim N(0, 1)$
- lacksquare Simulate a r.v. $S \sim \chi^2_{
 u}$ independent from von Z_1, \ldots, Z_d .
- ightharpoonup Set Y := AZ
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Set } X := \frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{\sqrt{S}} Y$
- Set $U_k = t_{\nu}(X_k)$ for k = 1, 2, ..., d, where t_{ν} is the distribution function of a standard t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom.
- Output $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$; $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ has distribution function $C_{\nu,R}^t$.

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ with a given Archimedian copula as cumulative distribution function

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U=(U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d)$ with a given Archimedian copula as cumulative distribution function Input: The dimension $d\in\mathbb{N}$, the Archimedian Copula $C(u)=\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1)+\varphi(u_2)+\ldots+\varphi(u_d))$ specified in terms of its generator φ .

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U=(U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d)$ with a given Archimedian copula as cumulative distribution function Input: The dimension $d\in\mathbb{N}$, the Archimedian Copula $C(u)=\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1)+\varphi(u_2)+\ldots+\varphi(u_d))$ specified in terms of its generator φ .

▶ Simulate a variable X with distribution function G, such that the Laplace-Stieltjes transform ψ of G is the inverse function of the generator φ of the input copula, $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$.

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U=(U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d)$ with a given Archimedian copula as cumulative distribution function Input: The dimension $d\in\mathbb{N}$, the Archimedian Copula $C(u)=\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1)+\varphi(u_2)+\ldots+\varphi(u_d))$ specified in terms of its generator φ .

- ▶ Simulate a variable X with distribution function G, such that the Laplace-Stieltjes transform ψ of G is the inverse function of the generator φ of the input copula, $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$.
- ► Simulate d i.i.d. r.v. V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_d uniformly distributed on [0, 1].

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U=(U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d)$ with a given Archimedian copula as cumulative distribution function Input: The dimension $d\in\mathbb{N}$, the Archimedian Copula $C(u)=\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1)+\varphi(u_2)+\ldots+\varphi(u_d))$ specified in terms of its generator φ .

- ▶ Simulate a variable X with distribution function G, such that the Laplace-Stieltjes transform ψ of G is the inverse function of the generator φ of the input copula, $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$.
- ▶ Simulate d i.i.d. r.v. $V_1, V_2, ..., V_d$ uniformly distributed on [0, 1].
- ▶ Set $U = (\psi(-\ln(V_1)/X), \psi(-\ln(V_2)/X), \dots, \psi(-\ln(V_d)/X))$. The distribution function of U is C.

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U=(U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d)$ with a given Archimedian copula as cumulative distribution function Input: The dimension $d\in\mathbb{N}$, the Archimedian Copula $C(u)=\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1)+\varphi(u_2)+\ldots+\varphi(u_d))$ specified in terms of its generator φ .

- Simulate a variable X with distribution function G, such that the Laplace-Stieltjes transform ψ of G is the inverse function of the generator φ of the input copula, $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$.
- ▶ Simulate d i.i.d. r.v. $V_1, V_2, ..., V_d$ uniformly distributed on [0, 1].
- ▶ Set $U = (\psi(-\ln(V_1)/X), \psi(-\ln(V_2)/X), \dots, \psi(-\ln(V_d)/X))$. The distribution function of U is C.

Output: U

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U=(U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d)$ with a given Archimedian copula as cumulative distribution function Input: The dimension $d\in\mathbb{N}$, the Archimedian Copula $C(u)=\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1)+\varphi(u_2)+\ldots+\varphi(u_d))$ specified in terms of its generator φ .

- Simulate a variable X with distribution function G, such that the Laplace-Stieltjes transform ψ of G is the inverse function of the generator φ of the input copula, $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$.
- ▶ Simulate d i.i.d. r.v. $V_1, V_2, ..., V_d$ uniformly distributed on [0, 1].
- Set $U = (\psi(-\ln(V_1)/X), \psi(-\ln(V_2)/X), \dots, \psi(-\ln(V_d)/X))$. The distribution function of U is C.

Output: U

The generator $\varphi(t)=(t^{-\theta}-1)/\theta$, $\theta>0$ yields the Clayton copula C_{θ}^{Cl} .

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U=(U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d)$ with a given Archimedian copula as cumulative distribution function Input: The dimension $d\in\mathbb{N}$, the Archimedian Copula $C(u)=\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1)+\varphi(u_2)+\ldots+\varphi(u_d))$ specified in terms of its generator φ .

- ▶ Simulate a variable X with distribution function G, such that the Laplace-Stieltjes transform ψ of G is the inverse function of the generator φ of the input copula, $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$.
- ▶ Simulate d i.i.d. r.v. $V_1, V_2, ..., V_d$ uniformly distributed on [0, 1].
- Set $U = (\psi(-\ln(V_1)/X), \psi(-\ln(V_2)/X), \dots, \psi(-\ln(V_d)/X))$. The distribution function of U is C.

Output: U

The generator $\varphi(t)=(t^{-\theta}-1)/\theta$, $\theta>0$ yields the Clayton copula C_{θ}^{Cl} . Alternatively also $\tilde{\varphi}(t)=t^{-\theta}-1$ is a generator of the Clayton copula.

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U=(U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_d)$ with a given Archimedian copula as cumulative distribution function Input: The dimension $d\in\mathbb{N}$, the Archimedian Copula $C(u)=\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1)+\varphi(u_2)+\ldots+\varphi(u_d))$ specified in terms of its generator φ .

- ▶ Simulate a variable X with distribution function G, such that the Laplace-Stieltjes transform ψ of G is the inverse function of the generator φ of the input copula, $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$.
- ▶ Simulate d i.i.d. r.v. $V_1, V_2, ..., V_d$ uniformly distributed on [0, 1].
- Set $U = (\psi(-\ln(V_1)/X), \psi(-\ln(V_2)/X), \dots, \psi(-\ln(V_d)/X))$. The distribution function of U is C.

Output: U

The generator $\varphi(t)=(t^{-\theta}-1)/\theta$, $\theta>0$ yields the Clayton copula C_{θ}^{Cl} . Alternatively also $\tilde{\varphi}(t)=t^{-\theta}-1$ is a generator of the Clayton copula.

For
$$X \sim Gamma(1/\theta, 1)$$
 with d.f. $f_X(x) = (x^{1/\theta - 1}e^{-x})/\Gamma(1/\theta)$ we have: $E(e^{-sX}) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/\theta)} x^{1/\theta - 1} e^{-x} dx = (s+1)^{-1/\theta} = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(s)$.

Simulation of the Clayton copula ($\theta > 0$)

Simulation of the Clayton copula ($\theta > 0$)

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ with the Clayton C_{θ}^{Cl} copula as distribution function.

Simulation of the Clayton copula ($\theta > 0$)

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ with the Clayton C_{θ}^{Cl} copula as distribution function.

Input: The dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the parameter $\theta > 0$.

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ with the Clayton C_{θ}^{Cl} copula as distribution function.

Input: The dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the parameter $\theta > 0$.

▶ Simulate $X \sim Gamma(1/\theta, 1)$.

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ with the Clayton C_{θ}^{Cl} copula as distribution function.

Input: The dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the parameter $\theta > 0$.

- ▶ Simulate $X \sim Gamma(1/\theta, 1)$.

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ with the Clayton C_{θ}^{Cl} copula as distribution function.

Input: The dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the parameter $\theta > 0$.

- ▶ Simulate $X \sim Gamma(1/\theta, 1)$.
- ▶ Simulate *d* i.i.d. r.v. $V_1, V_2, ..., V_d$ uniformly distributed on [0, 1].

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ with the Clayton C_{θ}^{Cl} copula as distribution function.

Input: The dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the parameter $\theta > 0$.

- ▶ Simulate $X \sim Gamma(1/\theta, 1)$.
- Set $\psi(s) := (s+1)^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}$ for $s \ge 0$.
- ▶ Simulate *d* i.i.d. r.v. $V_1, V_2, ..., V_d$ uniformly distributed on [0, 1].
- The distribution function of

$$U = (\psi(-\ln(V_1)/X), \psi(-\ln(V_2)/X), \dots, \psi(-\ln(V_d)/X))$$

is the Clayton copula C_{θ}^{CI} .

A generic algorithm to generate a random vector $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d)$ with the Clayton C_{θ}^{Cl} copula as distribution function.

Input: The dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the parameter $\theta > 0$.

- ▶ Simulate $X \sim Gamma(1/\theta, 1)$.
- ▶ Simulate *d* i.i.d. r.v. $V_1, V_2, ..., V_d$ uniformly distributed on [0, 1].
- The distribution function of

$$U = (\psi(-\ln(V_1)/X), \psi(-\ln(V_2)/X), \dots, \psi(-\ln(V_d)/X))$$

is the Clayton copula C_{θ}^{CI} .

Output: U

Simulation of the Gumbel copula ($\theta \geq 1$)

Simulation of the Gumbel copula ($\theta \ge 1$)

Let X be a positive stable r.v., $X \sim St(1/\theta,1,\gamma,0)$ with $\gamma = (\cos(\pi/(2\theta)))^{\theta} > 0$ (and $\alpha = \frac{1}{\theta}$, $\beta = 1$, $\delta = 0$)

Simulation of the Gumbel copula ($\theta \ge 1$)

Let X be a positive stable r.v., $X \sim St(1/\theta,1,\gamma,0)$ with $\gamma = (\cos(\pi/(2\theta)))^{\theta} > 0$ (and $\alpha = \frac{1}{\theta}$, $\beta = 1$, $\delta = 0$)

The Laplace-Stieltjes transform of F_X is the generator $\varphi(t) = \exp(-t^{1/\theta})$ of the Gumbel copula C_A^{Gu} .

Simulation of the Gumbel copula ($\theta \geq 1$)

Let X be a positive stable r.v., $X \sim St(1/\theta, 1, \gamma, 0)$ with $\gamma = (\cos(\pi/(2\theta)))^{\theta} > 0$ (and $\alpha = \frac{1}{\theta}$, $\beta = 1$, $\delta = 0$)

The Laplace-Stieltjes transform of F_X is the generator $\varphi(t) = \exp(-t^{1/\theta})$ of the Gumbel copula C_{θ}^{Gu} .

The simulation of $Z \sim ST(\alpha, \beta, 1, 0)$ is not straightforward (see Nolan 2002).

Simulation of the Gumbel copula ($\theta \ge 1$)

Let X be a positive stable r.v., $X \sim St(1/\theta,1,\gamma,0)$ with $\gamma = (\cos(\pi/(2\theta)))^{\theta} > 0$ (and $\alpha = \frac{1}{\theta}$, $\beta = 1$, $\delta = 0$)

The Laplace-Stieltjes transform of F_X is the generator $\varphi(t) = \exp(-t^{1/\theta})$ of the Gumbel copula C_{θ}^{Gu} .

The simulation of $Z \sim ST(\alpha, \beta, 1, 0)$ is not straightforward (see Nolan 2002).

For $\alpha \neq 1$ we get: $X = \delta + \gamma Z \sim St(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$.

Goal: Determine a copula and the marginal distributions to model a given multi-dimensional data set.

Goal: Determine a copula and the marginal distributions to model a given multi-dimensional data set.

Input: A sample $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d\}$ of a c.d.f. F with continuous marginal distributions F_1, F_2, \dots, F_d .

Goal: Determine a copula and the marginal distributions to model a given multi-dimensional data set.

Input: A sample $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d\}$ of a c.d.f. F with continuous marginal distributions F_1, F_2, \dots, F_d .

Output: A copula C_{θ} and an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for the parameter vector θ of the copula C_{θ} such which $F(x) \approx C_{\hat{\theta}}(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d))$ holds.

Goal: Determine a copula and the marginal distributions to model a given multi-dimensional data set.

Input: A sample $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d\}$ of a c.d.f. F with continuous marginal distributions F_1, F_2, \dots, F_d .

Output: A copula C_{θ} and an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for the parameter vector θ of the copula C_{θ} such which $F(x) \approx C_{\hat{\theta}}(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d))$ holds.

Question 1: Which family of (known) copulas to use?



Goal: Determine a copula and the marginal distributions to model a given multi-dimensional data set.

Input: A sample $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d\}$ of a c.d.f. F with continuous marginal distributions F_1, F_2, \dots, F_d .

Output: A copula C_{θ} and an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for the parameter vector θ of the copula C_{θ} such which $F(x) \approx C_{\hat{\theta}}(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d))$ holds.

Question 1: Which family of (known) copulas to use?

Answer: Selection of a suitable family of copulas based on (a) the visual comparison of the graphical representations of the data set on one side and of known copulas on the other, and (b) the empirical tail dependence coefficients.

Goal: Determine a copula and the marginal distributions to model a given multi-dimensional data set.

Input: A sample $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d\}$ of a c.d.f. F with continuous marginal distributions F_1, F_2, \dots, F_d .

Output: A copula C_{θ} and an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for the parameter vector θ of the copula C_{θ} such which $F(x) \approx C_{\hat{\theta}}(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d))$ holds.

Question 1: Which family of (known) copulas to use?

Answer: Selection of a suitable family of copulas based on (a) the visual comparison of the graphical representations of the data set on one side and of known copulas on the other, and (b) the empirical tail dependence coefficients.

Question 2: What are the parameters of the prespecified family of copulas used for the modelling?

$$C_R^{Ga} = \phi_R^d(\phi^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \phi^{-1}(u_d))$$
 $R_{ij} = \sin(\pi(\rho_\tau)_{ij}/2)$

$$C_R^{Ga} = \phi_R^d(\phi^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \phi^{-1}(u_d))$$

$$R_{ij} = \sin(\pi(\rho_{\tau})_{ij}/2)$$

$$C_{\nu,R}^t = t_{\nu,R}^d(t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_1),\ldots,t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_d))$$

$$R_{ij} = \sin(\pi(\rho_{\tau})_{ij}/2)$$

$$C_R^{Ga} = \phi_R^d(\phi^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \phi^{-1}(u_d))$$
 $R_{ij} = \sin(\pi(\rho_\tau)_{ij}/2)$

$$C_{
u,R}^t = t_{
u,R}^d(t_{
u}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, t_{
u}^{-1}(u_d))$$
 $R_{ij} = \sin(\pi(\rho_{\tau})_{ij}/2)$

$$C^{Gu}_{\theta}(u) = \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^{\theta} + \ldots + (-\ln u_d^{\theta}]^{1/\theta}\right) \quad \theta = 1/(1-(\rho_{\tau})_{ij})$$

$$\begin{split} C_R^{Ga} &= \phi_R^d(\phi^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \phi^{-1}(u_d)) \\ C_{\nu,R}^t &= t_{\nu,R}^d(t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_d)) \\ C_{\theta}^{Gu}(u) &= \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^{\theta} + \dots + (-\ln u_d^{\theta}]^{1/\theta}\right) \quad \theta = 1/(1 - (\rho_{\tau})_{ij}) \\ C_{\theta}^{Gl}(u) &= (u_1^{-\theta} + \dots + u_d^{-\theta} - d + 1)^{-1/\theta} \qquad \theta = 2(\rho_{\tau})_{ii}/(1 - (\rho_{\tau})_{ij}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} C_R^{Ga} &= \phi_R^d(\phi^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \phi^{-1}(u_d)) & R_{ij} &= \sin(\pi(\rho_\tau)_{ij}/2) \\ C_{\nu,R}^t &= t_{\nu,R}^d(t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_d)) & R_{ij} &= \sin(\pi(\rho_\tau)_{ij}/2) \\ C_{\theta}^{Gu}(u) &= \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^\theta + \dots + (-\ln u_d^\theta]^{1/\theta}) & \theta &= 1/(1 - (\rho_\tau)_{ij}) \\ C_{\theta}^{Cl}(u) &= (u_1^{-\theta} + \dots + u_d^{-\theta} - d + 1)^{-1/\theta} & \theta &= 2(\rho_\tau)_{ij}/(1 - (\rho_\tau)_{ij}) \end{split}$$

where

$$(\rho_{\tau})_{ij} = \rho_{\tau}(X_{k,i}, X_{k,j})$$

$$= P((X_{k,i} - X_{l,i})(X_{k,j} - X_{l,j}) > 0) - P((X_{k,i} - X_{l,i})(X_{k,j} - X_{l,j}) < 0)$$

$$= E(sign((X_{k,i} - X_{l,i})(X_{k,j} - X_{l,j}))).$$

$$\begin{split} C_R^{Ga} &= \phi_R^d(\phi^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \phi^{-1}(u_d)) & R_{ij} &= \sin(\pi(\rho_\tau)_{ij}/2) \\ C_{\nu,R}^t &= t_{\nu,R}^d(t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_d)) & R_{ij} &= \sin(\pi(\rho_\tau)_{ij}/2) \\ C_{\theta}^{Gu}(u) &= \exp\left(-[(-\ln u_1)^{\theta} + \dots + (-\ln u_d^{\theta}]^{1/\theta}\right) & \theta &= 1/(1 - (\rho_\tau)_{ij}) \\ C_{\theta}^{Cl}(u) &= (u_1^{-\theta} + \dots + u_d^{-\theta} - d + 1)^{-1/\theta} & \theta &= 2(\rho_\tau)_{ij}/(1 - (\rho_\tau)_{ij}) \end{split}$$

where

$$(\rho_{\tau})_{ij} = \rho_{\tau}(X_{k,i}, X_{k,j})$$

$$= P((X_{k,i} - X_{l,i})(X_{k,j} - X_{l,j}) > 0) - P((X_{k,i} - X_{l,i})(X_{k,j} - X_{l,j}) < 0)$$

$$= E(sign((X_{k,i} - X_{l,i})(X_{k,j} - X_{l,j}))).$$

Standard empirical estimator of Kendalls Tau:

$$\widehat{\rho_{\tau}}_{ij} = \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} sign((X_{k,i} - X_{l,i})(X_{k,j} - X_{l,j})).$$



It may happen that $\hat{R} = (\hat{R}_{ij})$, $\hat{R}_{ij} = \sin(\pi \widehat{\rho_{\tau}}_{ij}/2)$, is not positive definite.

It may happen that $\hat{R}=(\hat{R}_{ij}),~\hat{R}_{ij}=\sin(\pi\widehat{\rho_{\tau}}_{ij}/2)$, is not positive definite. Replace \hat{R} by a correlation matrix R^* , selected such the "distance" between R^* and \hat{R} is "small".

It may happen that $\hat{R}=(\hat{R}_{ij}),~\hat{R}_{ij}=\sin(\pi\widehat{\rho_{\tau}}_{ij}/2)$, is not positive definite. Replace \hat{R} by a correlation matrix R^* , selected such the "distance" between R^* and \hat{R} is "small".

Eigenvalue approach (Rousseeuw and Molenberghs 1993)

► Compute the spectral decomposition $\hat{R} = \Gamma \Lambda \Gamma^T$ of \hat{R} , where Λ is a diagonal matrix, containing the eigenvalues of \hat{R} on the diagonal, and Γ is an orthogonal matrix with the eigenvectors of \hat{R} in its columns.

It may happen that $\hat{R}=(\hat{R}_{ij}),~\hat{R}_{ij}=\sin(\pi\widehat{\rho_{\tau}}_{ij}/2)$, is not positive definite. Replace \hat{R} by a correlation matrix R^* , selected such the "distance" between R^* and \hat{R} is "small".

Eigenvalue approach (Rousseeuw and Molenberghs 1993)

- ► Compute the spectral decomposition $\hat{R} = \Gamma \Lambda \Gamma^T$ of \hat{R} , where Λ is a diagonal matrix, containing the eigenvalues of \hat{R} on the diagonal, and Γ is an orthogonal matrix with the eigenvectors of \hat{R} in its columns.
- ▶ Replace the negative eigenvalues in Λ by some small number $\delta > 0$ to obtain $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

It may happen that $\hat{R}=(\hat{R}_{ij}),~\hat{R}_{ij}=\sin(\pi\widehat{\rho_{\tau}}_{ij}/2)$, is not positive definite. Replace \hat{R} by a correlation matrix R^* , selected such the "distance" between R^* and \hat{R} is "small".

Eigenvalue approach (Rousseeuw and Molenberghs 1993)

- ► Compute the spectral decomposition $\hat{R} = \Gamma \Lambda \Gamma^T$ of \hat{R} , where Λ is a diagonal matrix, containing the eigenvalues of \hat{R} on the diagonal, and Γ is an orthogonal matrix with the eigenvectors of \hat{R} in its columns.
- ▶ Replace the negative eigenvalues in Λ by some small number $\delta > 0$ to obtain $\tilde{\Lambda}$.
- ► Compute $\tilde{R} = \Gamma \tilde{\Lambda} \Gamma^T$. \tilde{R} is symmetric and positive definite but not necessarily a correlation matrix; the diagonal elements \hat{R}_{ii} might be unequal 1.

It may happen that $\hat{R}=(\hat{R}_{ij}),~\hat{R}_{ij}=\sin(\pi\widehat{\rho_{\tau}}_{ij}/2)$, is not positive definite. Replace \hat{R} by a correlation matrix R^* , selected such the "distance" between R^* and \hat{R} is "small".

Eigenvalue approach (Rousseeuw and Molenberghs 1993)

- ► Compute the spectral decomposition $\hat{R} = \Gamma \Lambda \Gamma^T$ of \hat{R} , where Λ is a diagonal matrix, containing the eigenvalues of \hat{R} on the diagonal, and Γ is an orthogonal matrix with the eigenvectors of \hat{R} in its columns.
- ▶ Replace the negative eigenvalues in Λ by some small number $\delta > 0$ to obtain $\tilde{\Lambda}$.
- ► Compute $\tilde{R} = \Gamma \tilde{\Lambda} \Gamma^T$. \tilde{R} is symmetric and positive definite but not necessarily a correlation matrix; the diagonal elements \hat{R}_{ii} might be unequal 1.
- ▶ Set $R^*:=D\tilde{R}D$ where D is a diagonal matrix with $D_{k,k}=1/\sqrt{\tilde{R}_{k,k}}.$

Estimation of the number of the degrees of freedom ν for t-copulas

Estimation of the number of the degrees of freedom ν for $t\text{-}\mathrm{copulas}$

1. Let $\hat{F}_1, \ldots, \hat{F}_d$ be the estimated marginal distributions.

- 1. Let $\hat{F}_1, \ldots, \hat{F}_d$ be the estimated marginal distributions.
- 2. Generate a pseudo-sample of the copula

$$\hat{U}_k = (\hat{U}_{k,1}, \hat{U}_{k,2}, \dots, \hat{U}_{k,d}) := \Big(\hat{F}_1(X_{k,1}), \dots, \hat{F}_d(X_{k,d})\Big),$$

for k = 1, 2, ..., n (see Genest und Rivest 1993).

- 1. Let $\hat{F}_1, \ldots, \hat{F}_d$ be the estimated marginal distributions.
- 2. Generate a pseudo-sample of the copula

$$\hat{U}_k = (\hat{U}_{k,1}, \hat{U}_{k,2}, \dots, \hat{U}_{k,d}) := (\hat{F}_1(X_{k,1}), \dots, \hat{F}_d(X_{k,d})),$$

for k = 1, 2, ..., n (see Genest und Rivest 1993).

 \hat{F}_k can be generated by :

a parametric estimation method; \hat{F}_k is assumed to be a certain parametric distribution and the parameter is estimated by a maximum likelihood (ML) approach

- 1. Let $\hat{F}_1, \ldots, \hat{F}_d$ be the estimated marginal distributions.
- 2. Generate a pseudo-sample of the copula

$$\hat{U}_k = (\hat{U}_{k,1}, \hat{U}_{k,2}, \dots, \hat{U}_{k,d}) := (\hat{F}_1(X_{k,1}), \dots, \hat{F}_d(X_{k,d})),$$

for k = 1, 2, ..., n (see Genest und Rivest 1993).

\hat{F}_k can be generated by :

- \hat{F}_k is assumed to be a certain parametric distribution and the parameter is estimated by a maximum likelihood (ML) approach
- ▶ a non-parametric estimation method; \hat{F}_i is the empirical distribution function $\hat{F}_i(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{t=1}^n I_{\{X_{t,i} \leq x\}}, 1 \leq i \leq d.$

Maximum likelihood estimator of ν : $\nu = \arg \max_{\xi} \ln L(\xi; \hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2, \dots, \hat{U}_n)$

Maximum likelihood estimator of ν : $\nu = \arg\max_{\xi} \ln L(\xi; \hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2, \dots, \hat{U}_n)$ where

$$L(\xi; \hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2, \dots, \hat{U}_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n c_{\xi,R}^t(\hat{U}_k)$$

and $c_{\xi,R}^t$ is the density of the t-copula $C_{\xi,R}^t$.

This implies

In
$$L(\xi; \hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2, \dots, \hat{U}_n) =$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \ln g_{\xi,R}(t_\xi^{-1}(\hat{U}_{k,1}),\ldots,t_\xi^{-1}(\hat{U}_{k,d})) - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^d \ln g_\xi(t_\xi^{-1}(\hat{U}_{k,j})),$$

Maximum likelihood estimator of ν : $\nu = \arg\max_{\xi} \ln L(\xi; \hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2, \dots, \hat{U}_n)$ where

$$L(\xi; \hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2, \dots, \hat{U}_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n c_{\xi,R}^t(\hat{U}_k)$$

and $c_{\xi,R}^t$ is the density of the t-copula $C_{\xi,R}^t$.

This implies

In
$$L(\xi; \hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2, \dots, \hat{U}_n) =$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \ln g_{\xi,R}(t_\xi^{-1}(\hat{U}_{k,1}),\ldots,t_\xi^{-1}(\hat{U}_{k,d})) - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d \ln g_\xi(t_\xi^{-1}(\hat{U}_{k,j})),$$

where $g_{\xi,R}$ is the cumulative density function of a d-dimensional t-distribution with expectation 0 ξ degrees of freedom and correlation matrix R, and g_{ξ} is the density function of a univariate standard t-distribution with ξ degrees of freedom.