Application of regular variation

Example 1: Let X_1 and X_2 be two continuous nonnegative i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F, $\bar{F} \in RV_{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let X_1 (X_2) represent the loss of a portfolio which consists of 1 unit of asset A_1 (A_2).

Application of regular variation

Example 1: Let X_1 and X_2 be two continuous nonnegative i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F, $\bar{F} \in RV_{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let X_1 (X_2) represent the loss of a portfolio which consists of 1 unit of asset A_1 (A_2).

Assumption: The prices of A_1 and A_2 are identical and their logreturns are i.i.d..

Application of regular variation

Example 1: Let X_1 and X_2 be two continuous nonnegative i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F, $\bar{F} \in RV_{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let X_1 (X_2) represent the loss of a portfolio which consists of 1 unit of asset A_1 (A_2).

Assumption: The prices of A_1 and A_2 are identical and their logreturns are i.i.d..

Consider a portfolio P_1 containing 2 units of asset A_1 and a portfolio P_2 containing one unit of A_1 and one unit of A_2. Let L_i represent the loss of portfolio P_i, $i = 1, 2$.

Application of regular variation

Example 1: Let X_1 and X_2 be two continuous nonnegative i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F, $\bar{F} \in RV_{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let X_1 (X_2) represent the loss of a portfolio which consists of 1 unit of asset A_1 (A_2).

Assumption: The prices of A_1 and A_2 are identical and their logreturns are i.i.d..

Consider a portfolio P_1 containing 2 units of asset A_1 and a portfolio P_2 containing one unit of A_1 and one unit of A_2. Let L_i represent the loss of portfolio P_i, $i = 1, 2$.

Compare the probabilities of high losses in the two portfolios by computing the limit

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \frac{Prob(L_2 > l)}{Prob(L_1 > l)}.$$

In which cases are the extreme losses of the diversified portfolio smaller then those of the non-diversified portfolio?

Application of regular variation (contd.)

Example 2: Let $X, Y \geq 0$ be two r.v. which represent the losses of two business lines of an insurance company (e.g. fire and car accidents).

Application of regular variation (contd.)

Example 2: Let $X, Y \geq 0$ be two r.v. which represent the losses of two business lines of an insurance company (e.g. fire and car accidents).

Assumptions

- $\bar{F} \in RV_{-\alpha}$, for some $\alpha > 0$, where F is the distribution function of X.
- $E(Y^k) < \infty$, $\forall k > 0$.

Application of regular variation (contd.)

Example 2: Let $X, Y \geq 0$ be two r.v. which represent the losses of two business lines of an insurance company (e.g. fire and car accidents).

Assumptions

- $\bar{F} \in RV_{-\alpha}$, for some $\alpha > 0$, where F is the distribution function of X.
- $E(Y^k) < \infty$, $\forall k > 0$.

Compute $\lim_{x \to \infty} P(X > x | X + Y > x)$.

Classical extreme value theory

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-degenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F. For $n \geq 1$ define $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $M_n := \max\{X_i \colon 1 \leq i \leq n\}$

Classical extreme value theory

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-degenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F. For $n \geq 1$ define $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ and $M_n := \max\{X_i \colon 1 \leq i \leq n\}$

Question: What are the possible (non-degenerate) limit distributions of appropriately normalized and centralized S_n and M_n?

Classical extreme value theory

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-degenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F. For $n \geq 1$ define $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ and $M_n := \max\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$
Question: What are the possible (non-degenerate) limit distributions of appropriately normalized and centralized S_n and M_n?

Consider first the limit distribution of S_n.

Question: What kind of non-degenerate r.v. Z are the limit distributions of $a_n^{-1}(S_n - b_n)$, for some sequences of reals $a_n > 0$ und $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$?

Classical extreme value theory

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-degenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F. For $n \geq 1$ define $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $M_n := \max\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$
Question: What are the possible (non-degenerate) limit distributions of appropriately normalized and centralized S_n and M_n?

Consider first the limit distribution of S_n.

Question: What kind of non-degenerate r.v. Z are the limit distributions of $a_n^{-1}(S_n - b_n)$, for some sequences of reals $a_n > 0$ und $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$?

Notation: $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(S_n - b_n) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} Z$

Classical extreme value theory

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-degenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F. For $n \geq 1$ define $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $M_n := \max\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$
Question: What are the possible (non-degenerate) limit distributions of appropriately normalized and centralized S_n and M_n?

Consider first the limit distribution of S_n.

Question: What kind of non-degenerate r.v. Z are the limit distributions of $a_n^{-1}(S_n - b_n)$, for some sequences of reals $a_n > 0$ und $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$?

Notation: $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(S_n - b_n) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} Z$

Definition: A r.v. X is called *stable, (α-stable, Lévy-stable)*, iff for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and the i.i.d. copies X_1 and X_2 of X, there exist constantes $a(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $c_1 X_1 + c_2 X_2$ und $a(c_1, c_2)X + b(c_1, c_2)$ are identically distributed.
Notation: $c_1 X_1 + c_2 X_2 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} a(c_1, c_2)X + b(c_1, c_2)$

Classical extreme value theory

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-degenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F. For $n \geq 1$ define $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ and $M_n := \max\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$
Question: What are the possible (non-degenerate) limit distributions of appropriately normalized and centralized S_n and M_n?

Consider first the limit distribution of S_n.

Question: What kind of non-degenerate r.v. Z are the limit distributions of $a_n^{-1}(S_n - b_n)$, for some sequences of reals $a_n > 0$ und $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$?

Notation: $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(S_n - b_n) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} Z$

Definition: A r.v. X is called *stable, (α-stable, Lévy-stable)*, iff for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and the i.i.d. copies X_1 and X_2 of X, there exist constantes $a(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $c_1X_1 + c_2X_2$ und $a(c_1, c_2)X + b(c_1, c_2)$ are identically distributed.
Notation: $c_1X_1 + c_2X_2 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} a(c_1, c_2)X + b(c_1, c_2)$

Theorem

The family of stable distributions coincides whith the limit distributions of appropriately normalized and centralized sums of i.i.d. r.v..

Proof e.g. in Rényi, 1962.

Stable distributions (contd.)

Theorem: The characteristic function of a stable distribution X is given as:

$$\varphi_X(t) = E[\exp\{iXt\}] = \exp\{i\gamma t - c|t|^\alpha(1 + i\beta \mathrm{signum}(t) z(t,\alpha))\}\,, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $c > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 2]$, $\beta \in [-1, 1]$ and

$$z(t,\alpha) = \begin{cases} \tan(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) & \text{wenn } \alpha \neq 1 \\ -\frac{2}{\pi} \ln|t| & \text{wenn } \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof: Lévy 1954, Gnedenko und Kolmogoroff 1960.

Stable distributions (contd.)

Theorem: The characteristic function of a stable distribution X is given as:

$$\varphi_X(t) = E[\exp\{iXt\}] = \exp\{i\gamma t - c|t|^\alpha(1 + i\beta \mathrm{signum}(t) z(t,\alpha))\}\,, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $c > 0$, $\alpha \in (0,2]$, $\beta \in [-1,1]$ and

$$z(t,\alpha) = \begin{cases} \tan(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) & \text{wenn } \alpha \neq 1 \\ -\frac{2}{\pi}\ln|t| & \text{wenn } \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof: Lévy 1954, Gnedenko und Kolmogoroff 1960.

Definition: The parameter α in (1) is called *the form parameter or characteristical exponent*, the corresponding distribution is called α-stable and its distribution function is denoted by G_α.

Stable distributions (contd.)

Theorem: The characteristic function of a stable distribution X is given as:

$$\varphi_X(t) = E[\exp\{iXt\}] = \exp\{i\gamma t - c|t|^\alpha(1 + i\beta \mathrm{signum}(t) z(t,\alpha))\}\,, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $c > 0$, $\alpha \in (0,2]$, $\beta \in [-1,1]$ and

$$z(t,\alpha) = \begin{cases} \tan(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) & \text{wenn } \alpha \neq 1 \\ -\frac{2}{\pi}\ln|t| & \text{wenn } \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof: Lévy 1954, Gnedenko und Kolmogoroff 1960.

Definition: The parameter α in (1) is called *the form parameter or characteristical exponent*, the corresponding distribution is called α-stable and its distribution function is denoted by G_α.

Definition: Let X be a r.v. with distribution function F. Assume that there exists two sequences of reals $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(S_n - b_n) = G_\alpha$, for some α-stable distribution G_α. Then we say that "F belongs to the domain of attraction of G_α".
Notation: $F \in DA(G_\alpha)$.

Stable distributions (contd.)

Remark: $X \sim G_2 \iff \varphi_X(t) = \exp\{i\gamma t - \frac{1}{2}t^2(2c)\} \iff X \sim N(\gamma, 2c)$

Stable distributions (contd.)

Remark: $X \sim G_2 \iff \varphi_X(t) = \exp\{i\gamma t - \frac{1}{2}t^2(2c)\} \iff X \sim N(\gamma, 2c)$

Exercise: Show that $F \in DA(G_2) \iff F \in DA(\phi)$, where ϕ is the standard normal distribution $N(0, 1)$.
Hint: The Convergence to Types Theorem could be used.

Stable distributions (contd.)

Remark: $X \sim G_2 \iff \varphi_X(t) = \exp\{i\gamma t - \frac{1}{2}t^2(2c)\} \iff X \sim N(\gamma, 2c)$

Exercise: Show that $F \in DA(G_2) \iff F \in DA(\phi)$, where ϕ is the standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$.
Hint: The Convergence to Types Theorem could be used.

Definition: The r.v. Z and $\tilde{Z}$ *are of the same type* if there exist the constants $\sigma > 0$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\tilde{Z} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} (Z - \mu)/\sigma$, i.e. $\tilde{F}(x) = F(\mu + \sigma x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, where F and $\tilde{F}$ are the distribution functions of Z and $\tilde{Z}$, respecitvely.

The Convergence to Types Theorem

Let Z, $\tilde{Z}$, Y_n, $n \geq 1$, be not almost surely constant r.v.
Let $a_n, \tilde{a}_n, b_n, \tilde{b}_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be sequences of reals with $a_n, \tilde{a}_n > 0$.

(i) If

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(Y_n - b_n) = Z \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{a}_n^{-1}(Y_n - \tilde{b}_n) = \tilde{Z} \tag{2}$$

then there exist $A > 0$ und $B \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\tilde{a}_n}{a_n} = A \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\tilde{b}_n - b_n}{a_n} = B \tag{3}$$

and

$$\tilde{Z} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} (Z - B)/A. \tag{4}$$

(ii) Assume that (3) holds. Then each of the two relations in (2) implies the other and also (4) holds.

The Convergence to Types Theorem

Let Z, $\tilde{Z}$, Y_n, $n \geq 1$, be not almost surely constant r.v.
Let $a_n, \tilde{a}_n, b_n, \tilde{b}_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be sequences of reals with $a_n, \tilde{a}_n > 0$.

(i) If

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(Y_n - b_n) = Z \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{a}_n^{-1}(Y_n - \tilde{b}_n) = \tilde{Z} \qquad (2)$$

then there exist $A > 0$ und $B \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\tilde{a}_n}{a_n} = A \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\tilde{b}_n - b_n}{a_n} = B \qquad (3)$$

and

$$\tilde{Z} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} (Z - B)/A. \qquad (4)$$

(ii) Assume that (3) holds. Then each of the two relations in (2) implies the other and also (4) holds.

Proof: See Resnick 1987, Prop. 0.2, Seite 7.

Characterization of the domain of attraction

(i) Let ϕ be the standard normal distribution function. The equivalence

$$F \in DA(\phi) \Longleftrightarrow \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{x^2 \int_{[-x,x]^C} dF(y)}{\int_{[-x,x]} y^2 dF(y)} = 0$$

holds, where $[-x, x]^C$ is the complement of $[-x, x]$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

Characterization of the domain of attraction

(i) Let ϕ be the standard normal distribution function. The equivalence

$$F \in DA(\phi) \Longleftrightarrow \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{x^2 \int_{[-x,x]^C} dF(y)}{\int_{[-x,x]} y^2 dF(y)} = 0$$

holds, where $[-x,x]^C$ is the complement of $[-x,x]$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

(ii) For $\alpha \in (0,2)$ the equivalence

$$F \in DA(G_\alpha) \Longleftrightarrow F(-x) = \frac{c_1 + o(1)}{x^\alpha} L(x), \bar{F}(x) = \frac{c_2 + o(1)}{x^\alpha} L(x)$$

holds, where L is a slowly varying function around infinity and $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$.

Characterization of the domain of attraction

(i) Let ϕ be the standard normal distribution function. The equivalence

$$F \in DA(\phi) \iff \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{x^2 \int_{[-x,x]^c} dF(y)}{\int_{[-x,x]} y^2 dF(y)} = 0$$

holds, where $[-x,x]^C$ is the complement of $[-x,x]$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

(ii) For $\alpha \in (0,2)$ the equivalence

$$F \in DA(G_\alpha) \iff F(-x) = \frac{c_1 + o(1)}{x^\alpha} L(x), \bar{F}(x) = \frac{c_2 + o(1)}{x^\alpha} L(x)$$

holds, where L is a slowly varying function around infinity and $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$.

This theorem is also known as Theorem of Lévy, Feller and Chintschin. Proof in Rényi, 1962.

Characterization of the domain of attraction

(i) Let ϕ be the standard normal distribution function. The equivalence

$$F \in DA(\phi) \Longleftrightarrow \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{x^2 \int_{[-x,x]^c} dF(y)}{\int_{[-x,x]} y^2 dF(y)} = 0$$

holds, where $[-x,x]^C$ is the complement of $[-x,x]$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

(ii) For $\alpha \in (0,2)$ the equivalence

$$F \in DA(G_\alpha) \Longleftrightarrow F(-x) = \frac{c_1 + o(1)}{x^\alpha} L(x), \bar{F}(x) = \frac{c_2 + o(1)}{x^\alpha} L(x)$$

holds, where L is a slowly varying function around infinity and $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$.

This theorem is also known as Theorem of Lévy, Feller and Chintschin. Proof in Rényi, 1962.

Remark: Let $F \in DA(G_\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in (0,2)$. Then $E(|X|^\delta) < \infty$ for $\delta < \alpha$ and $E(|X|^\delta) = \infty$ for $\delta > \alpha$ hold.

Characterization of the domain of attraction

(i) Let ϕ be the standard normal distribution function. The equivalence

$$F \in DA(\phi) \iff \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{x^2 \int_{[-x,x]^C} dF(y)}{\int_{[-x,x]} y^2 dF(y)} = 0$$

holds, where $[-x,x]^C$ is the complement of $[-x,x]$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

(ii) For $\alpha \in (0,2)$ the equivalence

$$F \in DA(G_\alpha) \iff F(-x) = \frac{c_1 + o(1)}{x^\alpha} L(x), \bar{F}(x) = \frac{c_2 + o(1)}{x^\alpha} L(x)$$

holds, where L is a slowly varying function around infinity and $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$.

This theorem is also known as Theorem of Lévy, Feller and Chintschin. Proof in Rényi, 1962.

Remark: Let $F \in DA(G_\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in (0,2)$. Then $E(|X|^\delta) < \infty$ for $\delta < \alpha$ and $E(|X|^\delta) = \infty$ for $\delta > \alpha$ hold.

Proof: See Resnick 1987 (or a demanding homework!)

Limit distributions of normalized and centered maxima

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-gegenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F.

For $n \geq 1$, set $M_n := \max\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$

Limit distributions of normalized and centered maxima

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-gegenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F.

For $n \geq 1$, set $M_n := \max\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$

Question: What are the possible (non-degenerate) distributions of normalized and centered M_n?

Limit distributions of normalized and centered maxima

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-gegenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F.

For $n \geq 1$, set $M_n := \max\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$

Question: What are the possible (non-degenerate) distributions of normalized and centered M_n?

Consider $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(a_n^{-1}(M_n - b_n) \leq x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} P(M_n \leq u_n)$, where $u_n = a_n x + b_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Limit distributions of normalized and centered maxima

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-gegenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F.

For $n \geq 1$, set $M_n := \max\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$

Question: What are the possible (non-degenerate) distributions of normalized and centered M_n?

Consider $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(a_n^{-1}(M_n - b_n) \leq x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} P(M_n \leq u_n)$, where $u_n = a_n x + b_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem: (Poisson Approximation)
Let $\tau \in [0, \infty]$ and a sequence of reals $u_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following holds

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\bar{F}(u_n) = \tau \Longleftrightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty} P(M_n \leq u_n) = exp\{-\tau\}.$$

Limit distributions of normalized and centered maxima

Let (X_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be non-gegenerate i.i.d. r.v. with distribution function F.

For $n \geq 1$, set $M_n := \max\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$

Question: What are the possible (non-degenerate) distributions of normalized and centered M_n?

Consider $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(a_n^{-1}(M_n - b_n) \leq x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} P(M_n \leq u_n)$, where $u_n = a_n x + b_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem: (Poisson Approximation)
Let $\tau \in [0, \infty]$ and a sequence of reals $u_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following holds

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\bar{F}(u_n) = \tau \Longleftrightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty} P(M_n \leq u_n) = exp\{-\tau\}.$$

Remark: The convergence to types theorem implies that H and $\tilde{H}$ are of the same type, if
$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(M_n - b_n) = H$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{a}_n^{-1}(M_n - \tilde{b}_n) = \tilde{H}$.

Max-stable distributions and the Fischer-Tippet theorem

Max-stable distributions and the Fischer-Tippet theorem

Definition: A non-degenarate r.v. X is called *max-stable* iff for any $n \geq 2$ $\max\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} a_n X + b_n$ for indepedent copies X_1,X_2, …,X_n of X and appropriate constants $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Max-stable distributions and the Fischer-Tippet theorem

Definition: A non-degenarate r.v. X is called *max-stable* iff for any $n \geq 2$ $\max\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} a_n X + b_n$ for indepedent copies X_1,X_2, …,X_n of X and appropriate constants $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem: (Proof in McNeil, Frey und Embrechts, 2005.)
The class of max-stable distributions coincides with the class of non-degenerate limit distributions of normalized and centered maxima of i.i.d. r.v.

Max-stable distributions and the Fischer-Tippet theorem

Definition: A non-degenarate r.v. X is called *max-stable* iff for any $n \geq 2$ $\max\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} a_n X + b_n$ for indepedent copies X_1,X_2, ...,X_n of X and appropriate constants $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem: (Proof in McNeil, Frey und Embrechts, 2005.)
The class of max-stable distributions coincides with the class of non-degenerate limit distributions of normalized and centered maxima of i.i.d. r.v.

Max-stable distributions and the Fischer-Tippet theorem

Definition: A non-degenarate r.v. X is called *max-stable* iff for any $n \geq 2$ $\max\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} a_n X + b_n$ for indepedent copies X_1,X_2, ...,X_n of X and appropriate constants $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem: (Proof in McNeil, Frey und Embrechts, 2005.)
The class of max-stable distributions coincides with the class of non-degenerate limit distributions of normalized and centered maxima of i.i.d. r.v.

Theorem: (Fischer-Tippet Theorem, Proof in Resnick 1987, page 9-11)
Let (X_k) be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.. If the constants $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_n > 0$, and a non-degenerate disribution H exist, such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(M_n - b_n) = H$, then H is of the same type as one of the following three distributions:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\text{Fréchet} & \Phi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ \exp\{-x^{-\alpha}\} & x > 0 \end{cases} & \alpha > 0 \\
\text{Weibull} & \Psi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-(-x)^{\alpha}\} & x \leq 0 \\ 1 & x > 0 \end{cases} & \alpha > 0 \\
\text{Gumbel} & \Lambda(x) = \exp\{-e^{-x}\} & x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{array}$$

Extreme value distributions

The distributions Φ_α, Ψ_α and Λ are called *standard extreme value distributions (standard evd)*. The distributions which are of the same type as the standard evd are called *extreme value distributions* (evd).

Extreme value distributions

The distributions Φ_α, Ψ_α and Λ are called *standard extreme value distributions (standard evd)*. The distributions which are of the same type as the standard evd are called *extreme value distributions* (evd).

Definition: We say that the r.v. X (or the corresponding distribuion) belongs to the *maximum domain of attraction* of the evd H iff there exist constants $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(M_n - b_n) = H$ holds. Notation: $X \in MDA(H)$ ($F \in MDA(H)$).

Extreme value distributions

The distributions Φ_α, Ψ_α and Λ are called *standard extreme value distributions (standard evd)*. The distributions which are of the same type as the standard evd are called *extreme value distributions* (evd).

Definition: We say that the r.v. X (or the corresponding distribuion) belongs to the *maximum domain of attraction* of the evd H iff there exist constants $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(M_n - b_n) = H$ holds. Notation: $X \in MDA(H)$ ($F \in MDA(H)$).

Theorem: (Characterisation of MDA, proof is left as an exercise) $F \in MDA(H)$ with normalizing and centering constants $a_n > 0$ snd $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ holds, iff

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\bar{F}(a_n x + b_n) = -\ln H(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $-\ln H(x)$ is replaced by ∞ if $H(x) = 0$.

Extreme value distributions

The distributions Φ_α, Ψ_α and Λ are called *standard extreme value distributions (standard evd)*. The distributions which are of the same type as the standard evd are called *extreme value distributions* (evd).

Definition: We say that the r.v. X (or the corresponding distribuion) belongs to the *maximum domain of attraction* of the evd H iff there exist constants $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(M_n - b_n) = H$ holds. Notation: $X \in MDA(H)$ ($F \in MDA(H)$).

Theorem: (Characterisation of MDA, proof is left as an exercise) $F \in MDA(H)$ with normalizing and centering constants $a_n > 0$ snd $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ holds, iff

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\bar{F}(a_n x + b_n) = -\ln H(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $-\ln H(x)$ is replaced by ∞ if $H(x) = 0$.

Hint for the proof: apply the theorem about the Poisson approximation.

Extreme value distributions

The distributions Φ_α, Ψ_α and Λ are called *standard extreme value distributions (standard evd)*. The distributions which are of the same type as the standard evd are called *extreme value distributions* (evd).

Definition: We say that the r.v. X (or the corresponding distribuion) belongs to the *maximum domain of attraction* of the evd H iff there exist constants $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}(M_n - b_n) = H$ holds. Notation: $X \in MDA(H)$ ($F \in MDA(H)$).

Theorem: (Characterisation of MDA, proof is left as an exercise) $F \in MDA(H)$ with normalizing and centering constants $a_n > 0$ snd $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ holds, iff

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\bar{F}(a_n x + b_n) = -\ln H(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $-\ln H(x)$ is replaced by ∞ if $H(x) = 0$.

Hint for the proof: apply the theorem about the Poisson approximation.

There exist distributions which do not belong to the MDA of any evd!
Example: (The Poisson distribution)
Let $X \sim P(\lambda)$, i.e. $P(X = k) = e^{-\lambda}\lambda^k/k!$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\lambda > 0$. Show that there exist no evd Z such that $X \in MDA(Z)$.