

**Ex. 34**

Using a standard result from Ramsey theory prove: There exists an  $N$  so that: Whenever  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  is a sequence of distinct integers, then the sequence contains an increasing subsequence of length 100 or a decreasing subsequence of length 100.

Hint: consider pairs  $(i, j)$  with  $i < j$  and  $x_i > x_j$ . Define a suitable colouring of  $K_N$  and ...

**Ex. 35**

(Using a standard result from Ramsey theory prove: There exists an  $N$  so that: Whenever  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  is a sequence of distinct integers, then the sequence contains an increasing subsequence of length 100 or a decreasing subsequence of length 100.

Hint: consider pairs  $(i, j)$  with  $i < j$  and  $x_i > x_j$ . Define a suitable colouring of  $K_N$  and ...)

Now prove a best possible result on this question: Whenever  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  is a sequence of  $N = n^2 + 1$  distinct integers, then the sequence contains an increasing subsequence of length  $n + 1$  or a decreasing subsequence of length  $n + 1$ .

Hint: these numbers look like applying a pigeonhole principle with an  $n \times n$  square. One way to do this is to prove that the following algorithm works. Place the  $N$  elements in columns and in these columns on top of each other as follows:

1)  $x_1$  is in the first column.

Now for  $i = 2, \dots, N$ :

2) if  $x_i$  is larger than the top value of an already used column, place  $x_i$  on top of the first such column.

3) Otherwise start a new column.

Also, study the following sequence with this algorithm: 7,1,9,14,5,8,16,11,4,2,12,15,6,13,17,3,10

**Ex. 36**

One can get multicolour Ramsey numbers such as  $R(k, k, k)$ , with three colours, by combining two colours to one colour and iterating a Ramsey argument on  $R(k, l)$  with  $l = R(k, k)$ . Which upper bound does one get on  $R(k, k, k)$ ? Can you do better than this?

Try to use the probabilistic method to get a lower bound on  $R(k, k, k)$

**Ex. 37**

Let the integers of the interval  $[1, n]$  be coloured red and blue, assume that  $n$  is large). Show that there *many* monochromatic Schur-triples, ( $\geq cn^2$ ). What is the worst case colouring, i.e. for which colouring is  $c$  as small as possible, i.e. for all colourings the number of Schur-triples is  $S(n) \geq (c + o(1))n^2$ . (Maybe you cannot fully prove that it's the worst case).

**Ex. 38**

According to van der Waerden's theorem, for any positive integers  $r$  and  $k$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that if the integers  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  are  $r$ -coloured, (an  $r$ -colouring is a map  $\chi : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ ), then there is a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length (at least)  $k$ . The van der Waerden number  $W(r, k)$  is the least integer  $N$  with this property. Determine the least integer  $N = W(2, 4)$  such that every 2-colouring of  $[1, N]$  contains a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length 4.

Update: you can find patterns of length 34 without 4-progressions, on the internet, or by computation. If you are good with programming try to prove that for length 35 there is always a 4-progression. Otherwise give an upper bound for length of intervals without 4-progressions, (even if this bound is quite weak), for example by following the general proof strategy of van der Waerden. Here you can use (without further proof) that  $W(r, 3)$  is finite. (see also the next exercise).

**Ex. 39**

Give an explicit upper bound for  $W(3, 3)$ , (following the proof in class). Try to improve it.

**Ex. 40**

Let  $S_{l,k} \subset [1, N]$  with  $N = 5^k$  be the set of integers using in a base 5 presentation only digits 0, 1, 2, and using exactly  $l$  "ones". Show that the set  $S_{l,k}$  does not contain three integers in arithmetic progression. For very large  $N = 5^k$  optimize the parameter  $l$  to give a set which contains more integers than were used by Erdős-Turán (or Szekeres) (who used in base three representations of integers with digits 0 and 1).

**Ex. 41**

Let  $S_{d,k,r} \subset [1, N]$  with  $N = (2d-1)^k$  be the set of integers using in a base  $2d-1$  presentation only digits  $0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1$ , lying on a sphere:

$$S_{d,k,r} = \{n = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i (2d-1)^i : 0 \leq a_i \leq d-1 \text{ and } \sum_i a_i^2 = r.\}$$

Show that the set  $S_{d,k,r}$  does not contain three integers in arithmetic progression. For very large  $N$  optimize the parameter  $d, k, r$  to give a set which contains as many integers as you can find in this way. (Hint: you can try  $d \sim c(\log N)^\alpha$ .)

**Ex. 42**

Let  $N$  be a huge integer, and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  be a real constant. Let  $S \subset [1, N]$  with  $|S| \geq \alpha N$ . Prove that there is a Hilbert cube  $H(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_d) \subset S$  with  $d \geq c_\alpha \log \log N$ , (even  $d \geq \log \log N + O_\alpha(1)$  might work).

(Hint: forget about the colours of the lecture notes. Rather try to understand how one can choose good values  $a_1, a_2$  etc. Try:  $a_1$  is the most frequent difference between any two elements in  $S$ . Now, choose  $a_2$  in a similar “greedy” way. How many copies of a small cube with  $a_1, a_2$  does one have? How can one continue this?)