

# A Short Survey on Upper and Lower Bounds for Multidimensional Zero Sums

C. Elsholtz

After giving some background on sums of residue classes we explained the following problem on multidimensional zero sums which is well known in combinatorial number theory:

Let  $f(n, d)$  denote the least integer such that any choice of  $f(n, d)$  elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$  contains a subset of size  $n$  whose sum is zero. Harborth [12] proved that  $(n - 1)2^d + 1 \leq f(n, d) \leq (n - 1)n^d + 1$ . The lower bound follows from the example in which there are  $n - 1$  copies of each of the  $2^d$  vectors with entries 0 or 1. The upper bound follows since any set of  $(n - 1)n^d + 1$  vectors must contain, by the pigeonhole principle,  $n$  vectors which are equivalent modulo  $n$ .

If  $d$  is fixed, the upper bound was improved considerably by Alon and Dubiner [2] to  $c_d n$ . Erdős, Ginzburg, and Ziv [6] proved that  $f(n, 1) = 2n - 1$  and Kemnitz conjectured that  $f(n, 2) = 4n - 3$ . There are partial results due to Kemnitz [14], as well as Gao [8], [9], [10], [11], Rónyai [16] and Thangadurai [17].

For example, Rónyai [16] proved that for primes  $p$  one has  $f(p, 2) \leq 4p - 2$ , which implies that  $f(n, 2) \leq 4.1 n$ . Gao [11] extended this to powers of primes:  $f(p^a, 2) \leq 4p^a - 2$ .

If  $n$  is fixed but  $d$  is increasing not very much is known.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| $f(2^a, d) = (2^a - 1)2^d + 1$                                      | see Harborth [12],                        |
| $f(3, 3) = 19$  | see Harborth [12], Brenner [3],           |
| $f(3, 4) = 41$  | see Brown and Buhler [4], Brenner [3],    |
|   | Kemnitz [14],                             |
| $91 \leq f(3, 5) \leq 121$  | see Kemnitz [13],                         |
| $f(3, 18) \geq 300 \times 2^{12}$                                   | see Frankl, Graham, Rödl [7],             |
| $f(3, d) \geq 2.179^d$ for $d \geq d'$                              | see Frankl, Graham, Rödl [7],             |
| $f(n, d) \geq (1.125)^{\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \rfloor} (n - 1)2^d + 1$ | for odd $n$ , see Elsholtz [5],           |
| $f(n, d) = o(n^d)$  | if $n$ is fixed and $d$ goes to infinity, |
|   | see Alon and Dubiner,                     |
| $f(3, d) = O(\frac{3^d}{d})$  | see Meshulam [15].                        |

The proof of our lower bound was the first nontrivial lower bound for any value of  $f(n, d)$  with  $n > 3$ . It is based on a set of 9 vectors considered by Harborth [12] to prove that  $f(3, 3) = 19$ , but we consider these in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^3$  (not only in  $\mathbb{Z}_3^3$ ).

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We proved:

Let  $n \geq 3$  be odd. If any  $n$  vectors taken from a multiset of the above 9 vectors add to  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n^3$ , then necessarily one has taken  $n$  times the very same vector. Therefore, taking  $n - 1$  copies of each vector only avoids zero sums of length  $n$ .

### Remarks

It seems conceivable that starting off from other examples for small fixed  $n$  and  $d$ , one might be able to improve the lower bound. But it is not at all obvious that this will work for any particular value of  $f(n, d)$ . The proof in Elsholtz [5] is in principle elementary, and might be accessible to generalization, possibly with the help of computers. Albeit, an exhaustive search to determine values of  $f(n, d)$  seems out of reach even for moderate sized  $n$  and  $d$ .

I hope that this survey of existing results convinces the reader that there is still a huge gap between the known upper and lower bounds.

### References

1. N. Alon and M. Dubiner, Zero-sum sets of prescribed size, *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is eighty*, Vol. 1, 33–50, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1993.
2. N. Alon and M. Dubiner, A lattice point problem and additive number theory. *Combinatorica* 15, 301–309, 1995.
3. J.L. Brenner, Problem 6298, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 89, 279–280, 1982.
4. T.C. Brown, J.P. Buhler, A density version of a geometric Ramsey theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 32, 20–34, 1982.
5. C. Elsholtz, Lower bounds for multidimensional zero sums, *Combinatorica* 24, No. 3, 351 - 358, 2004.
6. P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg, and A. Ziv, Theorem in the additive number theory, *Bull. Res. Council Israel* 10F, 41–43, 1961.
7. P. Frankl, R.L. Graham, and V. Rödl, On subsets of abelian groups with no three term arithmetic progression, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 45, 157–161, 1987.
8. W. Gao, On zero-sum subsequences of restricted size, *J. Number Theory*, 61, 97–102, 1996.
9. W. Gao, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups, *J. Number Theory*, 58, 100–103, 1996.
10. W. Gao, Two zero-sum problems and multiple properties, *J. Number Theory*, 81, 254–265, 2000.
11. W. Gao, Note on a zero-sum problem. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 95, 387–389, 2001.
12. H. Harborth, Ein Extremalproblem für Gitterpunkte. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 262/263, 356–360, 1973.
13. A. Kemnitz, Extremalprobleme für Gitterpunkte. Ph.D. Thesis, Technische Universität Braunschweig, 1982.
14. A. Kemnitz, On a lattice point problem. *Ars Combin.* 16, B, 151–160, 1983.
15. R. Meshulam, On subsets of finite abelian groups with no 3-term arithmetic progressions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 71, 168–172, 1995.
16. L. Rónyai, On a conjecture of Kemnitz, *Combinatorica* 20, 569–573, 2000.
17. R. Thangadurai, On a conjecture of Kemnitz, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* 23, no. 2, 39–45, 2001.