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## What is this talk about?

- A "new method" to get asymptotic behavior of certain recurrences
- ... without generating function (gasp!)
- ... illustrated with compacted trees as example
- ... and some progress for generalization.


## Compacting binary trees



We try to compress a binary tree ...
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## Compacting binary trees



The compacted trees are trees with pointers obtained in this way.

## Compacted trees



A compacted tree is a binary tree such that

- every leaf (except the first one) is a pointer ...
- ... towards a node preceding it in postfix order,
- and each node has a distinct "decompressed" sub-tree.


## Relaxed trees



A relaxed tree is a binary tree such that

- every leaf (except the first one) is a pointer ...
- ... towards a node preceding it in postfix order,
- and each node has a distinct "decompressed" sub-tree.


## What we know, and what we want to know

- (Flajolet, Sipala, Steyaert 1990)
- Linear algorithm to "compactify" a binary tree of size $n$
- Average size of the compacted tree : $O(n / \log n)$
- (Genitrini, Gittenberger, Kauers, Wallner 2019)
$n$ nodes, with right height $\leq k$
- Relaxed trees :

$$
\gamma_{k} n!\left(4 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{k+3}\right)\right)^{n} n^{-k / 2}
$$

- compacted trees :

$$
\gamma_{k} n!\left(4 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{k+3}\right)\right)^{n} n^{-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{k+3}-\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{k+3}\right) \frac{1}{\cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{k+3}\right)}}
$$

And without any restrictions?

## Our result

- $c_{n}$ : the number of compacted trees with $n$ nodes
- $r_{n}$ : the number of relaxed trees with $n$ nodes


## Theorem (Elvey Price, F., Wallner 2021)

When $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
c_{n}=\Theta\left(n!4^{n} e^{3 a_{1} n^{1 / 3}} n^{3 / 4}\right), \quad r_{n}=\Theta\left(n!4^{n} e^{3 a_{1} n^{1 / 3}} n\right)
$$

Here, $a_{1}$ is the largest root of the Airy function $\operatorname{Ai}(x)$, solution of $\mathrm{Ai}^{\prime \prime}(x)=x \mathrm{Ai}(x)$ with $\mathrm{Ai}(x) \rightarrow 0$ when $x \rightarrow+\infty$.

We don't have the multiplicative constant !
Stretched exponential: $e^{3 a_{1} n^{1 / 3}}$
Probability for a relaxed tree of size $n$ to be compacted : $\Theta\left(n^{-1 / 4}\right)$.

## How do we do that?



From Geek3 at Wikimedia Commons, CC-BY 3.0

- Bijection with decorated Dyck paths
- Recurrence with two parameters
- Heuristics for typical behaviors
- Truncation of the heuristics $\Rightarrow$ proof of the bounds

Solely based on the recurrence, the method is relatively simple.

## Encoding by decorated Dyck paths (relaxed version)

First we deal with relaxed trees:


From relaxed tree to decorated Dyck paths:

- Label the nodes in postfix order, detach the pointers
- Draw the Dyck path : $\rightarrow$ for pointer, $\uparrow$ for finishing a node
- Put pointer labels on horizontal steps


## A recurrence for relaxed trees

Weight $m+1$ for step $\rightarrow$ on height $m$.


## Proposition

Let $r_{n, m}$ be the weighted sum of paths ending at $(n, m)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{n, m} & =(m+1) r_{n-1, m}+r_{n, m-1}, & & \text { for } n \geq m \\
r_{n, m} & =0, & & \text { for } n<m \\
r_{n, 0} & =1, & & \text { for } n \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of relaxed trees with $n$ nodes is $r_{n, n}$.

## A transformation

Change of coordinates: $(n, m) \rightarrow(n+m, n-m)$
We take $d_{n+m, n-m}=r_{n, m} / n!$, as labeled structure.


Recurrence :

$$
d_{n, m}=\frac{n-m+2}{n+m} d_{n-1, m-1}+d_{n-1, m+1}
$$

The number of size $n$ relaxed trees: $r_{n}=n!d_{2 n, 0}$.

## Some observations

$$
d_{n, m}=\frac{n-m+2}{n+m} d_{n-1, m-1}+d_{n-1, m+1}
$$

Recurrence $\Rightarrow$ diff. eq. in two variables, hard to solve.
Numerical observations:

$$
d_{2 n, 0}=\Theta\left(4^{n} \rho^{n^{1 / 3}} n\right)
$$

- $4^{n}$ from Dyck paths.
- Why a stretched exponential?

A higher up step has a lower weight!

## A first heuristics

Consider Dyck paths of length $2 n$ and maximal height $\leq n^{\alpha}, \alpha<1 / 2$.

## Proposition (Kousha 2012)

A uniformly random path has height $n^{\alpha}(\alpha<1 / 2)$ with probability

$$
\log \left(\mathbb{P}\left[\text { height } \leq n^{\alpha}\right]\right) \sim-\pi^{2} n^{1-2 \alpha}
$$

Weight of a typical up step:

$$
\frac{\Theta(n)-\Theta\left(n^{\alpha}\right)}{\Theta(n)+\Theta\left(n^{\alpha}\right)}=1-\Theta\left(n^{\alpha-1}\right)
$$

Typically $\Theta(n)$ such steps, thus a total weight

$$
\left(1-\Theta\left(n^{\alpha-1}\right)\right)^{\Theta(n)}=\exp \left(-\Theta\left(n^{\alpha}\right)\right)
$$

Total contribution

$$
\exp \left(-\Theta\left(n^{\alpha}\right)-\Theta\left(n^{1-2 \alpha}\right)\right)
$$

maximized at $\alpha=1 / 3$, giving a stretched exponential $\exp \left(-\Theta\left(n^{1 / 3}\right)\right)$.

## The correct scaling

Too heuristic... But this shows that the correct height is $n^{1 / 3}$ !
Ansatz:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{n, m} & \sim h(n) f\left(n^{-1 / 3}(m+1)\right), \\
s(n) & =\frac{h(n)}{h(n-1)}=2+c n^{-2 / 3}+O\left(n^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $h(n)$ : general growth in $n$, around $2^{n} \rho^{n^{1 / 3}}$ for some $\rho$
- $f(x)$ : scaling with typical height $n^{1 / 3}$

Suppose that $m=\kappa n^{1 / 3}-1$.
Ansatz + recurrence :

$$
f(\kappa) s(n)=\frac{n-\kappa n^{1 / 3}+1}{n+\kappa n^{1 / 3}-1} f\left(\frac{\kappa n^{1 / 3}-2}{(n-1)^{1 / 3}}\right)+f\left(\frac{\kappa n^{1 / 3}}{(n-1)^{1 / 3}}\right)
$$

Approximately,

$$
0=(c+2 \kappa) f(\kappa)-f^{\prime \prime}(\kappa)+O\left(n^{-1 / 3}\right) .
$$

## The first estimation

$$
0=(c+2 \kappa) f(\kappa)-f^{\prime \prime}(\kappa)+O\left(n^{-1 / 3}\right)
$$

Roughly the equation of the Airy function !
As $f(\kappa) \rightarrow 0$ for $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
f(\kappa) \approx b \mathrm{Ai}\left(\frac{c+2 \kappa}{2^{2 / 3}}\right)
$$

$f(\kappa) \rightarrow 0$ for $\kappa \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow c=2^{2 / 3} a_{1}$.
Asymptotic behavior of $\operatorname{Ai}(x)$ near $x \rightarrow a_{1}$ implies

$$
r_{n}=n!d_{2 n, 0}=n!4^{n} \exp \left(3 a_{1} n^{1 / 3}+\ldots\right)
$$

## Refined heuristics

Ansatz of order 2 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{n, m} & \sim h(n)\left(f\left(n^{-1 / 3}(m+1)\right)+n^{-1 / 3} g\left(n^{-1 / 3}(m+1)\right)\right), \\
s(n) & =2+c n^{-2 / 3}+d n^{-1}+O\left(n^{-4 / 3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We get the polynomial term:

$$
r_{n}=n!d_{2 n, 0} \approx n!4^{n} \exp \left(3 a_{1} n^{1 / 3}\right) n
$$

Ansatz in general :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{n, m} & \approx h(n) \sum_{j=0}^{k} f_{j}\left(n^{-1 / 3}(m+1)\right) n^{-j / 3} \\
s(n) & =2+\gamma_{2} n^{-2 / 3}+\gamma_{3} n^{-1}+\ldots+\gamma_{k} n^{-k / 3}+o\left(n^{-k / 3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A truncation suffices, but still heuristics.

## Sandwiching the asymptotics

If there are positive $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left(X_{n, m}\right)_{n \geq m \geq 0}$ such that

$$
X_{n, m} s_{n} \leq \frac{n-m+2}{n+m} X_{n-1, m-1}+X_{n-1, m+1}
$$

for all $m$ for large enough $n$.
Let $h_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_{n}$, then $X_{n, m} h_{n} \leq b_{0} d_{n, m}$ for some constant $b_{0}$.
Lower bound!
Reversing the inequality give an upper bound!

## Lower bound - ansatz and expansion

We take

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{n, m} & =\left(1-\frac{2 m^{2}}{3 n}+\frac{m}{2 n}\right) \operatorname{Ai}\left(a_{1}+\frac{2^{1 / 3}(m+1)}{n^{1 / 3}}\right) \\
s_{n} & =2+\frac{2^{2 / 3} a_{1}}{n^{2 / 3}}+\frac{8}{3 n}-\frac{1}{n^{7 / 6}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference is

$$
P_{n, m}=-X_{n, m} s_{n}+\frac{n-m+2}{n+m} X_{n-1, m-1}+X_{n-1, m+1}
$$

Only need to prove $P_{n, m} \geq 0$ for $m<n^{2 / 3-\varepsilon}$. The other zone negligible. By substitution and asymptotic expansion near $n$, we have

$$
P_{n, m}=p_{0}(n, m) \operatorname{Ai}(\alpha)+p_{1}(n, m) \operatorname{Ai}^{\prime}(\alpha), \text { with } \alpha=a_{1}+\frac{2^{1 / 3} m}{n^{1 / 3}} .
$$

$p_{0}(n, m), p_{1}(n, m)$ : series in $n^{-1 / 6}$ with polynomial coeffs in $m$.

## Lower bound - Newton polygon

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n, m}= & \operatorname{Ai}(\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{n^{7 / 6}}-\frac{2^{5 / 3} a_{1} m}{3 n^{5 / 3}}-\frac{41 m^{2}}{9 n^{2}}-\frac{2^{8 / 3} a_{1} m^{3}}{3 n^{8 / 3}}-\frac{34 m^{4}}{9 n^{3}}+\ldots\right)+ \\
& \operatorname{Ai}^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(\frac{2^{1 / 3}}{n^{3 / 2}}-\frac{8 a_{1} m}{9 n^{2}}-19 \frac{2^{1 / 3} m^{2}}{9 n^{7 / 3}}-\frac{2^{13 / 3} m^{3}}{9 n^{7 / 3}}+\ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Lower bound - case analysis

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n, m}= & \operatorname{Ai}(\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{n^{7 / 6}}-\frac{2^{5 / 3} a_{1} m}{3 n^{5 / 3}}-\frac{41 m^{2}}{9 n^{2}}-\frac{2^{8 / 3} a_{1} m^{3}}{3 n^{8 / 3}}-\frac{34 m^{4}}{9 n^{3}}+\ldots\right)+ \\
& \operatorname{Ai}^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(\frac{2^{1 / 3}}{n^{3 / 2}}-\frac{8 a_{1} m}{9 n^{2}}-19 \frac{2^{1 / 3} m^{2}}{9 n^{7 / 3}}-\frac{2^{13 / 3} m^{3}}{9 n^{7 / 3}}+\ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $m \leq x_{0}(n / 2)^{1 / 3}$, where $\mathrm{Ai}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}+x\right)$ changes sign,
- $x_{0}(n / 2)^{1 / 3}<m \leq n^{7 / 18}$,
- $n^{7 / 18}<m<n^{2 / 3-\varepsilon}$.

All cases are positive using properties of the Airy function.

## Upper bound

It is the same, with a different ansatz:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{X}_{n, m} & =\left(1-\frac{2 m^{2}}{3 n}+\frac{m}{2 n}+\frac{3 m^{4}}{10 n^{2}}\right) \mathrm{Ai}\left(a_{1}+\frac{2^{1 / 3}(m+1)}{n^{1 / 3}}\right), \\
\hat{s}_{n} & =2+\frac{2^{2 / 3} a_{1}}{n^{2 / 3}}+\frac{8}{3 n}+\frac{1}{n^{7 / 6}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Yet another case analysis ...

$$
r_{n}=\Theta\left(n!4^{n} e^{3 a_{1} n^{1 / 3}} n\right) .
$$

## Cherry lemma

On compacted trees:

## Lemma

For a relaxed tree $T$, if no cherry reproduces a node that has appeared, then $T$ is compacted.
$T$ not compacted $\Rightarrow$ two nodes with the same decompressed trees
The same holds for their children.
Descend until reaching a cherry

## Encoding by decorated Dyck paths (compacted version)

Cherry lemma $\wedge$ avoid certain $\rightarrow$.


## Proposition

Let $e_{n, m}$ be the number of "strict" decorated paths to $(n, m)$. Then

$$
e_{n, m}=(m+1) e_{n-1, m}+e_{n, m-1}-(m-1) e_{n-2, m-1}, \text { for } n \geq m \geq 1
$$

The number of compacted trees with $n$ nodes is $c_{n}=e_{n, n}$.

## Compacted trees

Recurrence for compacted trees:
$e_{n, m}=\frac{n-m+2}{n+m} e_{n-1, m-1}+e_{n-1, m+1}-\frac{2(n-m-2)}{(n+m)(n+m-2)} e_{n-3, m-1}$.
Negative terms
Sandwich it by two positive recurrences.
With two appropriate Ansätze, we have

$$
c_{n}=\Theta\left(n!4^{n} e^{3 a_{1} n^{1 / 3}} n^{3 / 4}\right)
$$

## A change in the polynomial factor

Ansatz for lower bound :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{X}_{n, m} & =\left(1-\frac{2 m^{2}}{3 n}+\frac{m}{4 n}\right) \mathrm{Ai}\left(a_{1}+\frac{2^{1 / 3}(m+1)}{n^{1 / 3}}\right) \\
\hat{s}_{n} & =2+\frac{2^{2 / 3} a_{1}}{n^{2 / 3}}+\frac{13}{6 n}-\frac{1}{n^{7 / 6}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The only difference in $\hat{s}_{n} \Rightarrow$ change the polynomial factor

## An application on automata

## Theorem (Elvey Price, F., Wallner 2020)

The number $m_{2, n}$ of minimal automata for finite languages in $A=\{a, b\}$ with $n$ states is

$$
m_{2, n}=\Theta\left(n!8^{n} e^{3 a_{1} n^{1 / 3}} n^{7 / 8}\right) .
$$

- Similar "compression": minimal automata as compressed trie
- Encoding by decorated Dyck paths, similar recurrence
- A "cherry lemma"
- Exactly the same method, can do any fixed alphabet size


## Summing up

What is good:

- Using only a (quite simple) recurrence;
- Without looking at the generating function;
- Relatively simple, so possible to generalize.
- Sometimes negative terms are not a problem.


## Still need work :

- Which type of recurrence? Which type of diff. eq.?
- We still need to start from some heuristics...
- And we miss the multiplicative constant.

Already some other applications!
Michael Fuchs, Guan-Ru Yu, Louxin Zhang, On the Asymptotic Growth of the Number of Tree-Child Networks, European J. Combin., 2021.

Yu-Sheng Chang, Michael Fuchs, Hexuan Liu, Michael Wallner, Guan-Ru Yu, Enumerative and Distributional Results for d-combining Tree-Child Networks, arXiv:2209.03850, 2022.

## Ongoing work

- With Baptiste Louf, we are trying to apply the method to maps.
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- stretched exponential $\rho^{n^{1 / 3}}$,
- macroscopic limit,
- ... maybe more?
- General theorem for stretched exponential other than the Airy type
- Whittaker type: $\rho^{n^{1 / 2}}$,
- ... and further types like $\rho^{\frac{p}{p+2}}$.

Any recurrences in two parameters for asymptotics?
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## Thank you for your attention!

## Automata



Deterministic automaton $Q$ on alphabet $A$ :

- States and transitions,
- Initial state $q_{0}$ and some final states,
- Recognizing $w \Leftrightarrow$ the walk from $q_{0}$ reading $w$ arrives at a final state.

Example: aab recognized, but aaba not.

## Minimal automata of a finite language

A language $=$ a set of words $\Rightarrow$ a unique minimal automaton
An automaton is

- accessible: all states reachable from the initial one,
- acyclic: no oriented cycle,
- reduced: no redundant state for language recognition.

These three conditions $\Leftrightarrow$ minimal automaton of some finite language
Question: How many such automata with $n$ states?
Quite "compacted trees" !

## Minimize a trie



Take a trie and compactify it ...
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## Minimize a trie


... while exhausting all possibilities ...

Minimize a trie

... and we get a minimal automaton. $\geq$ Back $<$

