

ON THE PRODUCT OF LC-SPACES

Julian DONTCHEV^(*) and Maximilian GANSTER^(**)

Abstract

A topological space X is called an LC -space if every Lindelöf subset of X is closed. In this note we expand a result of Hdeib and Pareek by proving that the product of two Hausdorff LC -spaces is an LC -space. Moreover, we show that in general the product of an LC -space with itself need not be an LC -space.

(*) Department of Mathematics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, FINLAND.

(**) Department of Mathematics, Graz University of Technology, Graz, AUSTRIA.

1991 Math. Subj. Class. : 54D20, 54G10

For a subset A of a topological space X we will denote the closure of A by $cl A$. For two spaces X and Y , $\pi_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ will denote the canonical projections. The set of positive integers is denoted by ω .

A space X is called an LC -space (or L -closed [2]) if every Lindelöf subset of X is closed. Note that every LC -space is a KC -space [4], i.e. a space in which compact subsets are closed. Familiar examples of LC -spaces are Hausdorff P -spaces [2] and maximal Lindelöf spaces [1]. Clearly, every LC -space is cid [3], i.e. every countable subspace is closed and discrete, and thus T_1 . In [2], Hdeib and Pareek obtained the following result of which we will include a short proof.

Theorem 1 [2] If X and Y are LC -spaces and either X or Y is regular, then $X \times Y$ is an LC -space.

Proof. Suppose that X is regular. Let L be a Lindelöf subset of $X \times Y$ and let $(x_0, y_0) \notin L$. If $L_1 = (X \times \{y_0\}) \cap L$, then L_1 is a Lindelöf subset of $X \times Y$ and $x_0 \notin \pi_1(L_1)$. Clearly $\pi_1(L_1)$ is a Lindelöf subset of X and so there exists an open neighborhood $G \subseteq X$ of x_0 such that $cl G \cap \pi_1(L_1) = \emptyset$. If $L_2 = (cl G \times Y) \cap L$ then L_2 is a Lindelöf subset of $X \times Y$ and $y_0 \notin \pi_2(L_2)$. Since $\pi_2(L_2)$ is closed in Y , there exists an open neighborhood

$H \subseteq Y$ of y_0 such that $H \cap \pi_2(L_2) = \emptyset$. One easily checks that $(G \times H) \cap L = \emptyset$ and thus $X \times Y$ is an LC -space. ♣

In the following we will address the question whether this result holds for general LC -spaces. We will show that the product of two Hausdorff LC -spaces is again an LC -space. However, there exists an LC -space whose product with itself fails to be an LC -space. So the question above is resolved completely.

Theorem 2 If X and Y are Hausdorff LC -spaces, then $X \times Y$ is an LC -space.

Proof. Let L be a Lindelöf subset of $X \times Y$ and let $(x_0, y_0) \notin L$. For each $(x, y) \in L$ there exist open neighborhoods $U_x \subseteq X$ of x and $V_y \subseteq Y$ of y such that $(x_0, y_0) \notin cl U_x \times cl V_y$.

Since $L \subseteq \bigcup \{ U_x \times V_y : (x, y) \in L \}$ we have $L \subseteq \bigcup \{ U_{x_n} \times V_{y_n} : n \in \omega \}$ for some $(x_n, y_n) \in L$, $n \in \omega$. Now let $E_1 = \{n \in \omega : x_0 \notin cl U_{x_n}\}$ and $E_2 = \{n \in \omega : y_0 \notin cl V_{y_n}\}$. Then $E_1 \cup E_2 = \omega$, and if $L_1 = \bigcup \{ L \cap (cl U_{x_n} \times cl V_{y_n}) : n \in E_1 \}$ and $L_2 = \bigcup \{ L \cap (cl U_{x_n} \times cl V_{y_n}) : n \in E_2 \}$ then L_1 and L_2 are Lindelöf subsets of $X \times Y$ such that $L_1 \cup L_2 = L$. Clearly, $x_0 \notin \pi_1(L_1)$ and so there is an open neighborhood $G \subseteq X$ of x_0 with $G \cap \pi_1(L_1) = \emptyset$. In the same manner, since $y_0 \notin \pi_2(L_2)$, we obtain an open neighborhood $H \subseteq Y$ of y_0 with $H \cap \pi_2(L_2) = \emptyset$. We now claim that $(G \times H) \cap L = \emptyset$. If $(x, y) \in G \times H$ then $x \notin \pi_1(L_1)$ and so $(x, y) \notin L_1$. Also, $y \notin \pi_2(L_2)$ and so $(x, y) \notin L_2$, i.e. $(x, y) \notin L$. This proves that $X \times Y$ is an LC -space. ♣

Recall that a space X is said to be R_1 if x and y have disjoint neighborhoods whenever $cl \{x\} \neq cl \{y\}$. Clearly, a space is Hausdorff if and only if it is T_1 and R_1 , and so we have

Corollary 3 If X and Y are R_1 LC -spaces then $X \times Y$ is an LC -space.

Theorem 4 There exists an LC -space X such that $X \times X$ is not an LC -space.

Proof. In [1], Example 7.3, Cameron provides an example of a maximal Lindelöf space X which is not Hausdorff. Clearly X is an LC -space and also a P -space, i.e. G_δ -subsets are open. The diagonal $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ is homeomorphic to X , and therefore a Lindelöf subset of $X \times X$. However, Δ is not closed, since X is not Hausdorff. So $X \times X$ is not an LC -space (although it is a P -space). ♣

References

- [1] D.E. Cameron, *Maximal and minimal topologies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 160 (1971), 229–248.

- [2] H.Z. Hdeib and C.M. Pareek , *On spaces in which Lindelöf sets are closed*, Q & A in General Topology 4 (1986) , 3–13.
- [3] I.L. Reilly and M.K. Vamanamurthy , *On spaces in which every denumerable subspace is discrete*, Mat. Vesnik 38 (1986) , 97–102.
- [4] A. Wilansky , *Topology for Analysis* , R.E. Krieger Publishing Comp., Inc., 1983 .