## AN ANSWER TO A QUESTION OF DORSETT

Maximilian GANSTER<sup>1</sup> and Alev KANIBIR<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

We show that the topology generated by the semi-open sets of a given space need not be extremally disconnected. This answers a recent question of Charles Dorsett.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Graz University of Technology, Graz, AUSTRIA

<sup>2)</sup> Department of Mathematics, Zonguldak Karaelmas University, Zonguldak, TURKEY

AMS Math. Subject Classification : 54A10, 54G05

For a subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the closure of A and the interior of A will be denoted by  $cl_{\tau}A$  and  $int_{\tau}A$ , respectively. A subset S of  $(X, \tau)$  is called *semi-open* [4] if there exists an open set U such that  $U \subseteq S \subseteq cl_{\tau}U$ . We will denote the family of all semi-open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  by  $SO(X, \tau)$ . For  $A \subseteq X$ , the semi-interior of A is the largest semi-open set contained in A and is denoted by  $sint_{\tau}A$ . It is well known that  $sint_{\tau}A = A \cap cl_{\tau}(int_{\tau}A)$ . Following Eric van Douwen [2],  $x \in X$  will be called an e.d.-point of  $(X, \tau)$  if for every  $\tau$ -open set U, if  $x \in cl_{\tau}U$  then  $x \in int_{\tau}(cl_{\tau}U)$ . Otherwise we say that  $x \in X$  is a non-e.d.point of  $(X, \tau)$ . It is clear that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is extremally disconnected, i.e. the closure of every open set is open, if and only if every point of X is an e.d.-point.

In [1] Dorsett asked the following

**Question.** For a space  $(X, \tau)$  let  $\sigma$  be the topology on X having  $SO(X, \tau)$  as a subbase. Is  $(X, \sigma)$  extremally disconnected ? In our note we will provide a negative answer to this question. Also, there will be some overlap between our discussion and some results in [1]. We think, however, that our presentation makes these results in [1] more accessible to the reader.

For the following let  $(X, \tau)$  be any topological space. Then  $X = A \cup B$  where A (resp. B) denotes the set of e.d.-points (resp. non-e.d.-points) of  $(X, \tau)$ . The topology having  $SO(X, \tau)$  as a subbase is denoted by  $\sigma$ .

**Observation 1** [1] If  $x \in B$  then  $\{x\} \in \sigma$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in B$ . Then there is a  $\tau$ -open set U with  $x \in cl_{\tau}U \setminus int_{\tau}(cl_{\tau}U)$ . If  $S_1 = U \cup \{x\}$  and  $S_2 = (X \setminus cl_{\tau}U) \cup \{x\}$ , then  $S_1, S_2 \in SO(X, \tau)$  and  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{x\}$ , hence  $x \in \sigma$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2** Let  $W \subseteq X$ . Then  $int_{\sigma}W = (W \cap B) \cup (A \cap sint_{\tau}W)$ .

**Proof.** If  $x \in W \cap B$ , then  $\{x\} \in \sigma$  and so  $x \in int_{\sigma}W$ . If  $x \in A \cap sint_{\tau}W$ , then again  $x \in int_{\sigma}W$ , since  $sint_{\tau}W \in SO(X, \tau) \subseteq \sigma$ .

Conversely, let  $x \in int_{\sigma}W$ . If  $x \in B$  then  $x \in W \cap B$ . Now let  $x \in A$ . Then there exist  $S_1, S_2, \dots S_k \in SO(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in S_1 \cap S_2 \cap \dots \cap S_k \subseteq W$ . For each i, let  $U_i$  be  $\tau$ -open with  $U_i \subseteq S_i \subseteq cl_{\tau}U_i$ . Since  $x \in A$ , we have  $x \in int_{\tau}(cl_{\tau}U_i)$  for each i, and so there exists a  $\tau$ -open set H containing x with  $H \subseteq int_{\tau}(cl_{\tau}U_i)$  for each i. Suppose that  $x \notin sint_{\tau}W$ . Then  $x \notin cl_{\tau}(int_{\tau}W)$ , and so there exists a  $\tau$ -open set G containing x with  $G \cap int_{\tau}W = \emptyset$ . Consequently  $G \cap U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_k = \emptyset$ , and thus  $G \cap int_{\tau}(cl_{\tau}U_1) \cap \dots \cap int_{\tau}(cl_{\tau}U_k) = \emptyset$ . But then  $G \cap H$  has to be empty, a contradiction. So  $x \in sint_{\tau}W$ , and we are done.  $\Box$ 

By passing on to complements one easily proves

**Theorem 3** Let  $W \subseteq X$ . Then  $cl_{\sigma}W = W \cup (A \cap int_{\tau}(cl_{\tau}W))$ .

This result suggests a way of finding spaces  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $(X, \sigma)$  fails to be extremally disconnected.

**Theorem 4** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space where A and B are nonempty. If there is a decomposition of B into two disjoint  $\tau$ -dense subsets C and D, then  $(X, \sigma)$  is not extremally disconnected.

**Proof.** Let  $B = C \cup D$  with  $C \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $cl_{\tau}C = cl_{\tau}D = X$ . Now consider  $C \subseteq X$ . . Then  $C \in \sigma$  by Observation 1, and  $cl_{\sigma}C = C \cup A$  by Theorem 3. Since  $cl_{\tau}D = X$ , we have  $int_{\tau}(C \cup A) = \emptyset$ , and so  $sint_{\tau}(C \cup A) = \emptyset$ . Hence, if  $x \in A$  then  $x \in cl_{\sigma}C$  but  $x \notin int_{\sigma}(cl_{\sigma}C)$  by Theorem 2. So  $(X, \sigma)$  is not extremally disconnected.  $\Box$ 

Fortunately, Eric van Douwen [3] has proven the existence of spaces satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 4.

## **Example 5** (see [3], page 26, Example 10.4)

Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  denote the space of rationals and  $\beta\mathbb{Q}$  its Stone-Cech-compactification. In [3], van Douwen has pointed out that there is a point  $q \in \beta\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  such that q is the only e.d.-point of the subspace  $X = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{q\}$ . Let  $\tau$  denote the topology on X. So, in our notation, we have  $A = \{q\}$  and  $B = \mathbb{Q}$ . It is well known that  $\mathbb{Q}$  has two disjoint dense subsets, say Cand D, which clearly are also dense in  $(X, \tau)$ . By Theorem 4, the space  $(X, \sigma)$  where  $\sigma$ denotes the topology on X generated by  $SO(X, \tau)$  cannot be extremally disconnected. In addition,  $\{q\}$  is the only non-e.d.-point of  $(X, \sigma)$  and each  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  is isolated in  $(X, \sigma)$ . By Observation 1, if  $\rho$  denotes the topology on X having  $SO(X, \sigma)$  as a subbase, then  $(X, \rho)$ is discrete and so  $\sigma \neq \rho$ . This answers another question of Dorsett in [1].  $\Box$ 

Thus the topology generated by the semi-open sets of a given space need not be extremally disconnected.

## References

 Ch. Dorsett, The Semi Open Set Generated Topology, Q & A in General Topology 14 (1996), 3–7.

- [2] E. van Douwen, Existence and applications of remote points, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1978), 161–163.
- [3] E. van Douwen , *Remote points* , Dissertationes Math. 188 , Warszawa 1981 .
- [4] N. Levine , Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces , Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963) , 36–41 .