

On compactness with respect to countable extensions of ideals and the generalized Banach Category theorem*

Julian Dontchev

Department of Mathematics
University of Helsinki
PL 4, Yliopistonkatu 5
00014 Helsinki
Finland

Maximilian Ganster

Department of Mathematics
Graz University of Technology
Steyrergasse 30
A-8010 Graz
Austria

Abstract

We extend a theorem of Hamlett and Janković by proving that if a topological space (X, τ) is compact with respect to the countable extension of \mathcal{I} , then the local function $A^*(\mathcal{I})$ of every subset A of X with respect to τ and \mathcal{I} is a compact subspace with respect to the extension $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ in $A^*(\mathcal{I})$. We also give a generalized version of the Banach Category theorem.

1 Introduction

In 1990, Hamlett and Janković [4] improved a theorem of Rančin from 1972 [9] by showing that if a space is \mathcal{M} -compact (that is every open cover has a finite subfamily covering the space but a meager set), then X^* (this is the local function of X with respect to the ideal \mathcal{M}) is QHC (= quasi-H-closed) as a subspace. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be *quasi-H-closed* (= QHC) if for every open cover $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ of X , there exists a finite subset A_0 of A such that $X = \cup\{\text{Cl}(V_\alpha): \alpha \in A_0\}$.

A nonempty family \mathcal{I} of subsets on a topological space (X, τ) is called an *ideal* on X if it satisfies the following two conditions:

*1991 Math. Subject Classification — Primary: 54A05, 54D30; Secondary: 54A20 54D99.

Key words and phrases — topological ideal, \mathcal{I} -compact space, generalized Banach Category theorem.

(1) If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq A$, then $B \in \mathcal{I}$ (heredity).

(2) If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ (finite additivity).

A σ -ideal on a topological space (X, τ) is an ideal which satisfies:

(3) If $\{A_i: i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, then $\bigcup\{A_i: i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \in \mathcal{I}$ (countable additivity).

If $X \notin \mathcal{I}$, then \mathcal{I} is called a *proper* ideal. The collection of the complements of all elements of a proper ideal is a filter, hence proper ideals are sometimes called *dual filters*.

The following collections form important ideals on a topological space (X, τ) : the ideal of all finite sets \mathcal{F} , the ideal of all countable sets \mathcal{C} , the ideal of all closed and discrete sets \mathcal{CD} , the ideal of all nowhere dense sets \mathcal{N} , the ideal of all first category (= meager) sets \mathcal{M} , the ideal of all scattered sets \mathcal{S} (here X must be T_0) and the ideal of all Lebesgue null sets \mathcal{L} (here X stands for the real line).

By an *ideal topological space*, we mean a topological space (X, τ) with an ideal \mathcal{I} on X and we denote it by (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . For a subset $A \subseteq X$, $A^*(\mathcal{I}) = \{x \in X: \text{for every } U \in \tau(x), U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I}\}$ is called the *local function* of A with respect to \mathcal{I} and τ [5, 6]. We simply write A^* instead of $A^*(\mathcal{I})$ in case there is no chance for confusion.

Recall that a subset A of a space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is called \mathcal{I} -compact [4, 7, 9] if for each τ -open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of A there exists a finite subcollection (U_1, \dots, U_n) such that $A \setminus (U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n) \in \mathcal{I}$. An ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is \mathcal{I} -compact if X is an \mathcal{I} -compact set. Clearly, classical compactness coincides with compactness with respect to the minimal ideal $\{\emptyset\}$ (or with respect to \mathcal{F} if you prefer).

If \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} are ideals on (X, τ) , then the *extension of \mathcal{I} via \mathcal{J}* [5], denoted by $\mathcal{I} * \mathcal{J}$ is the collection $\{A \subseteq X: A^*(\mathcal{I}) \in \mathcal{J}\}$. The extension of \mathcal{I} over the ideal of nowhere dense sets \mathcal{N} is usually denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ [5]. Clearly, $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \{A \subseteq X: \text{Int} A^*(\mathcal{I}) = \emptyset\}$. The *countable extension of \mathcal{I}* [5], denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$, is the family $\{A \subseteq X: A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n, A_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}} \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

2 The generalized Banach Category theorem

An important property of ideals was first proved for the ideal of meager sets by Banach in 1930 [1]. Recall that the topology τ of an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is *compatible* with the ideal \mathcal{I} [8], denoted $\tau \sim \mathcal{I}$, if the following condition holds for every subset A of X : if

for every $x \in A$ there exists a $U \in \tau(x)$ such that $U \cap A \in \mathcal{I}$, i.e., if $A \cap A^*(\mathcal{I}) = \emptyset$, then $A \in \mathcal{I}$. If this condition holds, then the ideal \mathcal{I} is also sometimes said to be *local relative to the topology* [10] or to have the *strong Banach's localization property* [11]. For example, the σ -ideal of meager sets is always local and every topology is compatible with the ideal of meager subsets—this result is known as the *Banach category theorem* and was first proven by Banach [1] for metric spaces and extended to general topological spaces by Kuratowski [6].

In 1992, Janković and Hamlett [5] extended the results of Banach and Kuratowski by proving the following:

Theorem 2.1 [5] (Generalized Banach Category theorem) *For every ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) , we have $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma \sim \tau$.*

The generalized Banach Category theorem plays a central role in the proof of our next result which in turn extends the already mentioned theorem of Hamlett and Janković from [4].

Theorem 2.2 *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. If (X, τ) is compact with respect to the countable extension $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$ of \mathcal{I} , then the local function of every subset A of X with respect to τ and $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$ is a compact subspace with respect to the extension $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ in the subspace $(A^*, \tau|_{A^*})$.*

Proof. Throughout this proof, A^* will stand for $A^*(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma)$. Let $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ be a cover of A^* consisting of open subsets of $(A^*, \tau|_{A^*})$. For each $i \in I$, let V_i be open in (X, τ) such that $V_i \cap A^* = U_i$. Since A^* is closed in (X, τ) , the family $\{V_i : i \in I\} \cup \{X \setminus A^*\}$ is an open cover of (X, τ) . Due to the $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$ -compactness of (X, τ) , there exists a finite subset $F \subseteq I$ such that $X \setminus ((\cup_{i \in F} V_i) \cup (X \setminus A^*)) = S \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$. Hence, $(\cup_{i \in F} U_i) \cup S = A^*$ and $(\cup_{i \in F} V_i) \cap S = \emptyset$, in particular, S is closed in $(A^*, \tau|_{A^*})$. We now claim that $S \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}|_{A^*}$. Assuming the contrary, suppose there exists nonempty set $W \in \tau|_{A^*}$ such that $W \subseteq S^*(\mathcal{I}|_{A^*}) \subseteq \text{Cl}_{A^*} S = S$. Let $W' \in \tau$ such that $W' \cap A^* = W$. Clearly, if $x \in W$ then $x \in A^*$ and so $W' \cap A \notin \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$. On the other hand, $W' \cap A = (W' \cap (A^* \cap A)) \cup (W' \cap (A \setminus A^*))$. Set $F = W' \cap (A^* \cap A)$ and $G = W' \cap (A \setminus A^*)$. Since $F \subseteq S$ and $S \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$, we have $F \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$. If $x \in G$, then $x \notin A^*$

and so there exists $H \in \tau$ such that $H \cap A \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$. Since $G \subseteq A$, it follows immediately from the Generalized Banach Category theorem that $G \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$. Due to the finite additivity of $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$, we have $F \cup G = W' \cap A \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$, a contradiction. This shows that $(A^*, \tau|_{A^*})$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}|_{A^*}$ -compact. \square

Note that, if $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ then $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{N}$, so its countable extension is \mathcal{M} . Clearly, a space X is QHC if and only if X is \mathcal{N} -compact. An immediate consequence of the theorem above is the following result of Hamlett and Janković.

Corollary 2.3 [4] *If a topological space (X, τ) is \mathcal{M} -compact, then X^* is QHC as a subspace.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$, $A = X$ and apply Theorem 2.2. \square

Example 2.4 Let \mathbb{Q} be the space of all rationals with the usual topology (inherited from the real line). Clearly, \mathbb{Q} is \mathcal{M} -compact but not QHC. Thus, if a space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is compact with respect to the countable extension $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\sigma$ of an ideal \mathcal{I} , then not every subset A of X need to be a compact subspace with respect to the extension $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ in A .

A topological space (X, τ) is called *sporadic* [2] if the Cantor-Bendixson derivative of X is meager. Spaces without isolated points are usually called *dense-in-themselves* or *crowded*. Recall also that a space X is called a $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space if each singleton is open or closed.

Corollary 2.5 *If (X, τ) is a crowded sporadic $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space. Then the derived set A^d of every subset A of X is QHC.*

Proof. We will apply Theorem 2.2 for $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{F}$. First we note that X is T_1 (crowded + $T_{\frac{1}{2}} \Rightarrow T_1$). Thus $A^*(\mathcal{F}) = A^d$ for every subset A of X . Since $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{N}$ by [5, Theorem 5.2], we have that A^d is QHC $\Leftrightarrow A^d$ is \mathcal{N} -compact $\Leftrightarrow A^d$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -compact. Now, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{M}$ and since X^d is meager, $X^d = X$ is trivially $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\sigma$ -compact. By Theorem 2.2, A^d is QHC. \square

Recall that an ideal \mathcal{I} is called *codense* if each of its members is codense. Note that an ideal \mathcal{I} is codense if and only if $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$. Observe that a topological space (X, τ) is a Baire space if and only if \mathcal{M} is codense. If $\mathcal{I} \cap PO(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{I} \cap RO(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset\}$),

then \mathcal{I} is called *completely codense* [3] (resp. *regular*). Here, $PO(X, \tau)$ (resp. $RO(X, \tau)$) denotes the collection of all preopen (resp. regular open) subsets of (X, τ) , where a set A is *preopen* (resp. *regular open*) if $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$ (resp. $A = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$). We will say that \mathcal{I} is *normal* if \mathcal{I} is regular and $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.

Note that every codense ideal is regular, in particular, \mathcal{N} is regular. Most of the well-known ideals defined on the real line with the usual topology are regular, for example $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{CD}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{S}$. In hyperconnected (= irreducible) spaces every dual filter is regular. One can also show that \mathcal{I} is completely codense and normal if and only if $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$.

Example 2.6 Consider the density topology on the real line. Recall that a measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ has density d at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(E \cap [x - h, x + h])}{2h}$$

exists and is equal to d . Set $\phi(E) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : d(x, E) = 1\}$. The open sets of the density topology \mathcal{T} are those measurable sets E which satisfy the condition $E \subseteq \phi(E)$. Note that the density topology \mathcal{T} is finer than the usual topology on the real line. Now, it can be easily seen that $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{CD}, \mathcal{L}$ are all normal ideal in the density topology. Note, however, that (for example) \mathcal{CD} and \mathcal{L} are not normal in the usual topology.

Our next example will show that $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ does not necessarily coincide with the extension of \mathcal{I} over an arbitrary codense (and hence regular) ideal. However, we will show that $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ is precisely the extension of \mathcal{I} over an arbitrary normal ideal.

Example 2.7 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, X\}$, $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}$. Note that $\mathcal{N} = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. It is easily checked that $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{I} * \mathcal{N} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$ and $\mathcal{I} * \mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$.

Proposition 2.8 Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ coincides with the extension of \mathcal{I} via an arbitrary normal ideal \mathcal{J} .

Proof. Let \mathcal{J} be an arbitrary normal ideal. We claim that $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{I} * \mathcal{J}$. Assume first that $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$. Then $A^*(\mathcal{I}) \in \mathcal{N}$ and since \mathcal{J} is normal, we have $A^*(\mathcal{I}) \in \mathcal{J}$. This shows that $A \in \mathcal{I} * \mathcal{J}$. For the converse, assume that $A \in \mathcal{I} * \mathcal{J}$, i.e. $A^*(\mathcal{I}) \in \mathcal{J}$. Due to the heredity of \mathcal{J} , we have $\text{Int}A^*(\mathcal{I}) \in \mathcal{J}$. On the other hand, $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A^*(\mathcal{I}))) = \text{Int}A^*(\mathcal{I})$ is regular open in (X, τ) . Since \mathcal{J} is normal and since $\text{Int}A^*(\mathcal{I}) \in RO(X, \tau) \cap \mathcal{J}$, we have $\text{Int}A^*(\mathcal{I}) = \emptyset$. Thus $A^*(\mathcal{I})$ is codense and hence nowhere dense (being closed). This shows that $A^*(\mathcal{I}) \in \mathcal{N}$. Consequently, $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$. \square

Now, in the notion of Proposition 2.8, we can generalize the Banach Category theorem as follows:

Theorem 2.9 *Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then, the countable extension of every ideal \mathcal{I} via an arbitrary normal ideal \mathcal{J} is always compatible with the topology τ .*

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.8. \square

Question. Does Theorem 2.9 remain true if ‘normal’ is replaced with ‘regular’?

References

- [1] S. Banach, Théorème sur les ensembles de première catégorie, *Fund. Math.*, **16** (1930), 395–398.
- [2] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and D. Rose, α -scattered spaces II, *Houston J. Math.*, **23** (2) (1997), 231–246.
- [3] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and D. Rose, Ideal resolvability, *Topology Appl.*, **93** (1) (1999), 1–16.
- [4] T.R. Hamlett and D. Janković, Ideals in general topology, *General Topology and Applications*, (Middletown, CT, 1988), 115–125; *Lecture Notes in Pure & Appl. Math.*, **123** (1990), Dekker, New York.
- [5] D. Janković and T.R. Hamlett, Compatible extensions of ideals, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (7) B*, **6** (1992), 453–465.
- [6] K. Kuratowski, *Topologie I*, 2-ème éd., Warszawa, 1948.
- [7] R.L. Newcomb, Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal, *Ph.D. dissertation*, University of California at Santa Barbara, 1967.

- [8] O. Njåstad, Remarks on topologies defined by local properties, *Avh. Norske Vid.-Akad. Oslo I (N.S.)*, **8** (1966), 1–16.
- [9] D.V. Rančin, Compactness modulo an ideal, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **13** (1) (1972), 193–197.
- [10] D.A. Rose and D. Janković, On functions having the property of Baire, *Real Anal. Exchange*, **19** (2) (1993/94), 589–597.
- [11] Z. Semadeni, Functions with sets of points of discontinuity belonging to a fixed ideal, *Fund. Math.*, **52** (1963), 25–39.

e-mail: dontchev@cc.helsinki.fi, ganster@weyl.math.tu-graz.ac.at