

On S_i -metacompact Spaces *

M. Sarsak, M. Ganster and M. Steiner

Abstract

The primary purpose of this paper is to introduce and study new variations of metacompactness by utilizing semi-open sets.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let (X, τ) be a topological space. We shall denote the closure and the interior of a subset A of (X, τ) by $\text{cl}A$ and $\text{int}A$, respectively. A subset A of (X, τ) is called α -open (or an α -set [6]), if $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}A))$, *semi-open* [4] if $A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}A)$, *preopen* [5] if $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}A)$ and *regular closed* if $A = \text{cl}(\text{int}A)$. Clearly, α -sets and regular closed sets are semi-open. Njastad [6] pointed out that the family of all α -sets of (X, τ) , denoted by τ^α , is a topology on X finer than τ . Reilly and Vamanamurthy observed in [7] that a subset A of (X, τ) is an α -set if and only if it is semi-open and preopen. We denote the family of all semi-open (resp. preopen, regular closed) sets in (X, τ) by $SO(X, \tau)$ (resp. $PO(X, \tau)$, $RC(X, \tau)$).

Remark 1.1 [3] Let (X, τ) be a space. Then $(\tau^\alpha)^\alpha = \tau^\alpha$, $SO(X, \tau^\alpha) = SO(X, \tau)$, $PO(X, \tau^\alpha) = PO(X, \tau)$ and $RC(X, \tau^\alpha) = RC(X, \tau)$.

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{R} denote the set of natural numbers and the set of real numbers, respectively. The Stone-Cech compactification of \mathbb{N} is denoted by $\beta\mathbb{N}$. The cardinality of a set A will be denoted by $|A|$. No separation axioms are assumed unless stated explicitly. For concepts not defined in our paper we refer the reader to [2].

*2000 Math. Subject Classification — 54D20.

Key words and phrases — S_1 -metacompact, S_2 -metacompact, S_3 -metacompact, α -open, semi-open.

2 S_i -metacompact spaces

Definition 1 A space (X, τ) is called

- (i) S_1 -metacompact if every semi-open cover has a point-finite open refinement,
- (ii) S_2 -metacompact if every semi-open cover has a point-finite semi-open refinement,
- (iii) S_3 -metacompact if every open cover has a point-finite semi-open refinement.

Definition 2 A space (X, τ) is called

- (i) *globally disconnected* [1] if every semi-open set is open,
- (ii) *nodec* [10] (or an α -space) if every nowhere dense subset is closed, or equivalently (see [6]), if $\tau = \tau^\alpha$.

Clearly, if (X, τ) is globally disconnected then all the notions in Definition 1 coincide and are equivalent to metacompactness. In general, we have the following obvious implications:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_1\text{-metacompact} & \Rightarrow & S_2\text{-metacompact} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \text{metacompact} & \Rightarrow & S_3\text{-metacompact} \end{array}$$

It will be seen, however, that none of the implications above can be reversed, and that S_2 -metacompactness and metacompactness are independent of each other. We shall first characterize globally disconnected spaces and S_1 -metacompact spaces.

Proposition 2.1 For a space (X, τ) the following are equivalent:

- 1) (X, τ) is globally disconnected,
- 2) every semi-open cover of (X, τ) has an open refinement.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) is clear. To show that 2) \Rightarrow 1) let $A \in SO(X, \tau)$ and let $U = \text{int}A$. Then $\mathcal{U} = \{U \cup \{x\} : x \in \text{cl}U\} \cup \{X \setminus \text{cl}U\}$ is a semi-open cover of (X, τ) . By assumption, there exists an open refinement of \mathcal{U} , say \mathcal{V} . Suppose that A is not open, i.e. there is an $x \in A \setminus U$. Pick $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $x \in V$. Then $V \subseteq U \cup \{x\} \subseteq A$ and thus $x \in U$, a contradiction. Hence A is open.

Corollary 2.2 A space (X, τ) is S_1 -metacompact if and only if it is metacompact and globally disconnected.

Recall that a space (X, τ) is said to be *extremally disconnected* if the closure of each open set is open, or equivalently, if each regular closed set is clopen. Jankovic [3] observed that (X, τ) is extremally disconnected if and only if $SO(X, \tau) \subseteq PO(X, \tau)$. The next (known) result follows easily from the fact that $\tau^\alpha = SO(X, \tau) \cap PO(X, \tau)$ [7] and from Remark 1.1.

Remark 2.3 For a space (X, τ) the following are equivalent:

- (i) (X, τ) is extremally disconnected,
- (ii) (X, τ^α) is extremally disconnected,
- (iii) $SO(X, \tau) \subseteq \tau^\alpha$,
- (iv) (X, τ^α) is globally disconnected.

Remark 2.4 (i) A space (X, τ) is globally disconnected if and only if it is extremally disconnected and nodec.

(ii) If (X, τ) is extremally disconnected then the concepts of (X, τ^α) being metacompact, S_1 -metacompact, S_2 -metacompact and S_3 -metacompact coincide.

Remark 2.5 The notions of (X, τ) being metacompact and of (X, τ^α) being metacompact are, in general, independent of each other. In Corollary 2.10 we provide an example of a compact, thus metacompact, space (X, τ) such that (X, τ^α) fails to be metacompact. On the other hand, if (X, τ) denotes the space in Theorem 2.14(2) then (X, τ) fails to be metacompact while (X, τ^α) is metacompact.

Clearly, every discrete space is S_1 -metacompact. To provide an example of a non-discrete S_1 -metacompact space, we consider $X = \mathbb{N} \cup \{p\}$ where p denotes a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . We take as a base for the topology τ all sets of the form $U \cup \{p\}$ where $U \in p$, together with all singletons $\{n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is very well known (see e.g. [9], Ex. 114) that (X, τ) is perfectly normal, extremally disconnected and metacompact. Clearly every nowhere dense set is closed, so (X, τ) is nodec and thus globally disconnected. Hence (X, τ) is S_1 -metacompact by Corollary 2.2.

Remark 2.6 Spaces which fail to be S_1 -metacompact exist in profusion. The usual space \mathbb{R} of reals is metacompact but not S_1 -metacompact. $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is a compact T_2 space which is not S_1 -metacompact as $\mathbb{N} \cup \{p\}$ with $p \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ is a semi-open subset of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ that is not open.

We shall now consider S_2 -metacompact spaces and S_3 -metacompact spaces. First of all, by Remark 1.1 we have that (X, τ) is S_2 -metacompact if and only if (X, τ^α) is S_2 -metacompact.

Example 2.7 Let $X^* = X \cup \{p\}$ denote the 1-point-compactification of an infinite discrete space X , where $p \notin X$. Let \mathcal{U} be a semi-open cover of X^* . Pick $S \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $p \in S$. Then $S = \{p\} \cup A$ where A is an infinite subset of X . Clearly, $\mathcal{V} = \{S\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in X \setminus A\}$ is a disjoint semi-open refinement of \mathcal{U} . Thus X^* is a compact Hausdorff S_2 -metacompact space. In addition, it is easily seen that X^* fails to be globally disconnected, hence cannot be S_1 -metacompact.

Next we recall the following set-theoretic construction that has been observed in [8].

Lemma 2.8 [8] Let X be an infinite set with $|X| = \mu$ and let $X = A \cup B$ where $|A| = \mu$, $|B| = \nu < \mu$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. For each $x \in A$ let $C_x = B \cup \{x\}$. Then $\mathcal{C} = \{C_x : x \in A\}$ is a cover of X . Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a refinement of \mathcal{C} . For each $x \in A$ there exists $D_x \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $x \in D_x \subseteq C_x$. If $|D_x| > 1$ for each $x \in A$ then there exists an element $z \in B$ such that z lies in at least μ elements of the refinement \mathcal{D} .

Theorem 2.9 Let (X, τ) be an infinite space possessing a dense open subset D such that $|D| < |X|$. Then (X, τ) fails to be S_2 -metacompact.

Proof. Let $A = X \setminus D$ and $B = D$. Utilizing Lemma 2.8 we obtain a semi-open cover \mathcal{C} that does not have a semi-open point finite refinement. Thus (X, τ) fails to be S_2 -metacompact.

Corollary 2.10 (i) $\beta\mathbb{N}$ fails to be S_2 -metacompact.

(ii) Let σ denote the topology of $\kappa\mathbb{N}$, the Katetov extension of \mathbb{N} , and let τ be the topology of $\beta\mathbb{N}$. It is well known that $\sigma = \tau^\alpha$. Clearly $\kappa\mathbb{N}$ fails to be S_2 -metacompact. Since $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is known to be extremally disconnected, by Remark 2.4, $\kappa\mathbb{N}$ even fails to be S_3 -metacompact.

Theorem 2.11 Let (X, τ) be an infinite space possessing a closed nowhere dense subset N and a dense subset B such that $|B| < |N| \geq \aleph_0$. Then (X, τ) fails to be S_2 -metacompact.

Proof. For each $x \in N$ let $S_x = (X \setminus N) \cup \{x\}$. Then $\mathcal{U} = \{S_x : x \in N\}$ is a semi-open cover of X . Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a semi-open refinement of \mathcal{U} . For each $x \in N$ there exists $T_x \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $x \in T_x$. Clearly $T_x \subseteq S_x$ for $x \in N$, and $T_x \neq T_y$ for distinct points $x, y \in N$. Now, $\{\text{int}T_x : x \in N\}$ is a family of $|N|$ nonempty open subsets of (X, τ) . Since B is dense and $|B| < |N| \geq \aleph_0$, there must be a point $z \in B$ which is contained in infinitely many sets T_x . Thus \mathcal{V} cannot be point-finite and (X, τ) fails to be S_2 -metacompact.

Corollary 2.12 The usual space \mathbb{R} fails to be S_2 -metacompact (let N be the Cantor set, B the set of rationals and apply Theorem 2.11).

In the following we exhibit a useful method for generating new topological spaces from given spaces. Let (Y, σ) be a topological space, Z be an infinite set disjoint from Y and let $X = Y \cup Z$. We define a topology τ on X in the following way: every set $S \subseteq Y$ with $S \in \sigma$ is open in (X, τ) and a basic neighbourhood of $z \in Z$ is of the form $\{z\} \cup Y$. Clearly, (Y, σ) is a dense and open subspace of (X, τ) . It is easily checked that for $S \neq \emptyset$ we have that $S \in SO(X, \tau)$ if and only if $S \cap Y$ is a nonempty semi-open subset of (Y, σ) .

Proposition 2.13 Let (Y, σ) be a topological space, Z be an infinite set and let (X, τ) be as defined above. Then

- (a) (X, τ) fails to be metacompact,
- (b) (X, τ) is extremally disconnected if and only if (Y, σ) is hyperconnected (i.e. every nonempty open set in (Y, σ) is dense in (Y, σ)),
- (c) if (Y, σ) has a nonempty finite open set, then (X, τ) is not S_2 -metacompact,
- (d) if Z is countable and (Y, σ) is countably infinite, T_1 and dense-in-itself then (X, τ) is S_2 -metacompact,
- (e) Let Z be countable. Then (X, τ) is S_3 -metacompact if and only if (Y, σ) has a countably infinite point-finite family of nonempty semi-open sets.

Proof. (a) First observe that the open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{Y \cup \{z\} : z \in Z\}$ cannot have a point-finite open refinement. Thus (X, τ) fails to be metacompact.

(b) Suppose that (X, τ) is extremally disconnected and let $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq Y$ be open in (Y, σ) . Then $U \in \tau$ and $Z \subseteq \text{cl}U$. By assumption, $\text{cl}U$ is open in (X, τ) and thus $\text{cl}U = X$. Hence U is dense in (Y, σ) , i.e. (Y, σ) is hyperconnected. To prove the converse, suppose that (Y, σ) is hyperconnected and let $U \neq \emptyset$ be open in (X, τ) . Then $V = U \cap Y$ is nonempty and open in (Y, σ) . Since $\text{cl}V = \text{cl}_Y V \cup Z$, we have, by assumption, that $\text{cl}V = X$ and thus $\text{cl}U = X$, i.e. (X, τ) is extremally disconnected. Observe that we have also shown that (X, τ) is hyperconnected if and only if (Y, σ) is hyperconnected.

(c) Now let $U \neq \emptyset$ be a finite open subset of (Y, σ) . We may assume that U is minimal open, i.e. U does not properly contain a nonempty open set. Let \mathcal{V} be a semi-open refinement of the semi-open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{Y\} \cup \{U \cup \{z\} : z \in Z\}$. For each $z \in Z$ there is $T_z \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $z \in T_z$, and $T_z \neq T_{z'}$ whenever $z \neq z'$. Since U is minimal open we have $T_z = U \cup \{z\}$. This shows that \mathcal{V} cannot be point-finite, i.e. (c) holds.

(d) Let $Z = \{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $Y = \{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and suppose that (Y, σ) is T_1 and dense-in-itself. Let $C_1 = Y$ and $C_n = Y \setminus \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ for each $n > 1$. By assumption, each C_n is open and dense in (Y, σ) . Suppose that \mathcal{U} is a semi-open cover of (X, τ) . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we pick $U_n, V_n \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $y_n \in U_n$ and $z_n \in V_n$. Let $S_n = U_n \cap C_n$ and $T_n = \{z_n\} \cup (V_n \cap C_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that S_n and $V_n \cap C_n$ are always nonempty semi-open subsets of (Y, σ) . Clearly we have $y_n \in S_n \subseteq U_n$ and $z_n \in T_n \subseteq V_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $\mathcal{V} = \{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a semi-open refinement of \mathcal{U} . Moreover, it is easily checked that \mathcal{V} is point-finite, thus (d) holds.

(e) Let $Z = \{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. First suppose that (X, τ) is S_3 -metacompact. Then $\mathcal{U} = \{\{z_n\} \cup Y : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an open cover of (X, τ) . By assumption, there exists a point-finite semi-open refinement \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ pick $V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $z_n \in V_n$. Then $\{V_n \cap Y : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countably infinite point-finite family of nonempty semi-open subsets of (Y, σ) . To prove the converse, let $\{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a point-finite family of nonempty semi-open subsets of (Y, σ) . Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of (X, τ) . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $z_n \in \{z_n\} \cup Y \subseteq U_n$. If $T_n = \{z_n\} \cup S_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$\mathcal{V} = \{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{Y\}$ is a point-finite semi-open refinement of \mathcal{U} . Hence (X, τ) is S_3 -metacompact.

As an application of Proposition 2.13 we have the following result which concludes our discussion of S_i -metacompact spaces.

Theorem 2.14 (1) There is an S_3 -metacompact space that is neither S_2 -metacompact nor metacompact.

(2) There is an S_2 -metacompact space that is not metacompact.

Proof. (1) Let Z be a countably infinite set and let (Y, σ) be an infinite discrete space such that $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$. By applying Proposition 2.13(e),(c) and (a), the resulting space (X, τ) is S_3 -metacompact but neither S_2 -metacompact nor metacompact.

(2) Let Y and Z be two disjoint countably infinite sets and let σ be the cofinite topology on Y . By applying Proposition 2.13(d) and (a), the resulting space (X, τ) is S_2 -metacompact but not metacompact. In addition, (Y, σ) is hyperconnected so (X, τ) is extremally disconnected by Proposition 2.13 (b). Since (X, τ^α) is also S_2 -metacompact, (X, τ^α) is metacompact by Remark 2.4.

References

- [1] A.G. El'kin, *Decomposition of spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 10 (1969), 521-525.
- [2] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann, Berlin (1989).
- [3] D. Jankovic, *A note on mappings of extremally disconnected spaces*, Acta Math. Hung. 46(1-2) (1985), 83-92.
- [4] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semicontinuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [5] A.S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd El-Monsef and S.N. El-Deeb, *On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings*, Proc. Math. and Phys. Soc. Egypt 53(1982), 47-53.
- [6] O. Njastad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965), 961-970.

- [7] I. Reilly and M.K. Vamanamurthy, *On α -continuity in topological spaces*, Acta Math. Hung. 45(1-2) (1985), 27-32.
- [8] M. Sarsak and M. Ganster, *On P_i -metacompact spaces*, International J. of Pure and Appl. Math., to appear.
- [9] L.A. Steen and J.A. Seebach, *Counterexamples in topology*, Springer Verlag (1978).
- [10] E. van Douwen, *Applications of maximal topologies*, Topology & Appl. 51 (1993), 125-139.

Mohammad S. Sarsak
Department of Mathematics, The Hashemite University,
P.O. Box 150459, Zarqa 13115; JORDAN.

Maximilian Ganster and Markus Steiner
Department of Mathematics, Graz University of Technology
Steyrergasse 30, A-8010 Graz; AUSTRIA.