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#### Abstract

This paper deals with sequences $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots$ of symbols 0 and 1 with the property that they contain no arbitrary long blocks of the form $a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+k}=w w$. The behaviour of this class of sequences with respect to some operations is examined. Especially the following is shown: Let be $a_{i}^{(0)}=a_{i}, a_{i}^{(n+1)}=(1 / i) \sum_{k=1}^{i} a_{k}^{(n)}$, then there exists a sequence without arbitrary long adjacent identical blocks such that no $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{k}^{(n)}$ exists. Let be $\alpha \in(0,1)$, then there exists such a sequence with $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{k}^{(1)}=\alpha$. Furthermore a class of sequences appearing in computer graphics is considered.


## 1. Introduction

In this section first the basic definitions are given, followed by a short survey of the remaining sections.

An alphabet $\Sigma$ is a finite nonempty set, the elements of $\Sigma$ are called symbols. $\Sigma^{*}$ denotes the free monoid gererated by $\Sigma$. The elements of $\Sigma^{*}$ are called words. The unit in $\Sigma^{*}$ is denoted by $\varepsilon$. The length of a word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ is denoted by $|w|$ and is 0 if $w=\varepsilon$ and $n$ if $w=a_{1} \cdots a_{n}, a_{i} \in \Sigma$.

The mirror image of a word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ is denoted by $w^{\mathbf{R}}$ and is $\varepsilon$ if $w=\varepsilon$ and $a_{n} \cdots a_{1}$ if $w=a_{1} \cdots a_{n}, a_{i} \in \Sigma$.

An infinite sequence $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots, a_{i} \in \Sigma$ is called $\Sigma$-sequence.
A substitution is a mapping $\tau: \Sigma_{1}^{*} \rightarrow \mathfrak{\beta}\left(\Sigma_{2}^{*}\right)$ such that the following conditions hold: $\tau(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon$ and for each $a \in \Sigma_{1}$ there exists $L_{a} \subseteq \Sigma_{2}^{*}$, such that $\tau\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right)=$ $L_{a_{1}} \cdots L_{a_{n}}$ for all $a_{1} \cdots a_{n} \in \Sigma_{1}^{*}$. Let $\tau$ be a substitution such that for each $a \in \Sigma_{1}$ $\varepsilon \notin L_{a}$ holds. Then to each $\Sigma_{1}$-sequence $\omega=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots$ corresponds the set $\tau(\omega)=\left\{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} \cdots \mid w_{i} \in L_{a_{i}}\right\}$ of $\Sigma_{2}$-sequences.

Let $\omega=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots$ be a $\Sigma$-sequence, $a \in \Sigma, k \in \mathbf{N}$, then $n_{a}^{(\omega)}(k)$ denotes the number of symbols $a$ in $a_{1} \cdots a_{k}$.

A word $x \in \Sigma^{*}$ is called subword of a word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ (of a $\Sigma$-sequence $\omega$ ), if there are words $y, z \in \Sigma^{*}$ (a word $y \in \Sigma^{*}$ and a $\Sigma$-sequence $\eta$ ), such that $w=y x z$ ( $\omega=y x n$ ).

For $\Sigma=\{0,1\}, \tau(0)=1, \tau(1)=0, \tau(w)(\tau(\omega))$ are abbreviated by $\bar{w}(\bar{\omega})$.
A $\{0,1\}$-sequence $\omega$ has arbitrary long adjacent identical blocks (is of unbounded repetition) provided that for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists a sulword $w w$ of $\omega$ where $|w| \geqslant n$. A sequence not of this type is called sequence of bounded repetition.

The existence of sequences of unbounded repetition is evident. The second section contains a historical remark concerning the existence of sequences of bounded repetition; a special one is discussed in detail in Section 3, these examinations bring up some interesting arithmetical identities.

The relative frequencies of symbols 1 in sequences with bounded repetition are examined in Section 4.

Section 5 contains some results about operations on sequences of (un-) bounded repetition.
In the last section a class of sequences with unbounded repetition is related to a problem appearing in computer graphics.

## 2. Historical remark

It is well-known (Thue [12], Arshon [1], Hedlund and Morse [6]), that there are $\{0,1,2\}$-sequences containing no subword of the form ww. Such $\{0,1,2\}$ sequences can be used in order to construct sequences of bounded repetition.

Entringer, Jackson and Schatz [4] have shown that there are $\{0,1\}$-sequences having only subwords $w w$ with $|\boldsymbol{w}| \leqslant 2$ and that this constant cannot be improved. The construction is based on a $\{0,1,2\}$-sequence containing no subword of the form $w w$ and the substitution $\tau(0)=1010, \tau(1)=1100, \tau(2)=0111$.
It is remarked that the substitution $\tau(0)=0000, \tau(1)=0101, \tau(2)=1111$ is also possible.

A further sequence of bounded repetition can be constructed as in Section 3: The sequence $0000 \cdots$ is written down. Between every two symbols a gap is left. Now the sequence $1111 \cdots$ is filled in the gaps, where gaps of odd index are left free. In the remaining (infinitely many) gaps the sequence $000 \cdots$ is written, where again gaps of odd index are left free. This process (inserting 0 's and 1 's) is repeated ad infinitum. The $n$th element of this sequence can be obtained in the following way: if $n=2^{k+1} i+2^{k}$, then $a_{n} \equiv k(\bmod 2)$.

## 3. A special sequence with bounded revetition

Let be $\omega=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots$, where $a_{n} \in\{0,1\}, a_{n} \equiv i(\bmod 2)$ if $n=2^{k+1} i+2^{k}$. Since each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ can be uniquely written as $n=2^{k+1} i+2^{k}, \omega$ is well defined. (If the binary representation of $n$ is $w \sigma 10 \cdots 0, \sigma \in\{0,1\}$, then $\left.a_{n}=\sigma\right)$. $\omega$ can be defined as follows (see Jacobs and Keane [7]):

The sequence $0101 \cdots$ is written down, leaving a gap between every two symbols:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5} & a_{6} & a_{7} & a_{8} & a_{9} & a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\
0 & & 1 & & 0 & & 1 & & 0 & & 1 & & 0 &
\end{array}
$$

Now the sequence $0101 \cdots$ is filled in the gaps, leaving free every second gap:

| $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{6}$ | $a_{7}$ | $a_{8}$ | $a_{9}$ | $a_{10}$ | $a_{11}$ | $a_{12}$ | $a_{13}$ | $a_{14}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |

The remaining gaps are again filled by the sequence $0101 \cdots$, leaving free every second gap:

| $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{6}$ | $a_{7}$ | $a_{8}$ | $a_{9}$ | $a_{10}$ | $a_{11}$ | $a_{12}$ | $a_{13}$ | $a_{14}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |

This process is repeated ad infinitum.

## Theorem 3.1. $\omega$ is a sequence of bounded repetition.

Proof. It will be shown by induction on $n \geqslant 6$, that $\omega$ contains no word of the form $x x$ with $|x|=n$. (A separate discussion of the cases $n=6,7,8,9$ and 10 is necessary.)
(i) $n=6$. In a set of 6 consecutive natural numbers there is always a $k$ with $k \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ or $k \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. The binary representation of $k$ ends in both cases with 01 , therefore $a_{k}=0$. Thus the binary representation of $k+6$ ends with 11, and so $a_{k+6}=1$. It follows that two consecutive words of length 6 in $\omega$ differ at least at one position.

Similar arguments are used in the following cases:
(ii) $n=7$. In a set of 7 consecutive natural numbers there is always a $k$ with $k \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ or $k \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$. Therefore $a_{k}=1$, but $a_{k+7}=0$.
(iii) $n=8$. In a set of 8 consecutive natural numbers there is always a $k$ with $k \equiv 4(\bmod 16)$ or $k \equiv 12(\bmod 16)$. In the first case $a_{k}=0$ and $a_{k+8}=1$, in the second case $a_{k}=1$ and $a_{k+8}=0$.
(iv) $n=9$. For $k \equiv 5,13(\bmod 16) a_{k}=0$ and $a_{k+9}=1$.
(v) $n=10$. For $k \equiv 4,13(\bmod 16) a_{k}=0$ and $a_{k+10}=1$.
(vi) Since $a_{2} a_{4} a_{6} \cdots=\omega=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots, \omega$ contains to each subword $x x$, where $\mid x_{i}=2 k$ already a subword $y y$, where $|y|=k$ ( $y y$ is obtained by erasing all symbols of $x \boldsymbol{x}$ with odd index). Therefore the statement holds for even $n$.
(vii) Let be $n \geqslant 11$ an odd number and $a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+n} a_{i+n+1} \cdots a_{i+2 n}$ a subword of $\omega$ of the form $\boldsymbol{x x}$. Let $k \in\{i+1, i+2\}$ be odd. Then

$$
a_{k} a_{k+1} \cdots a_{k+8}=\sigma a_{k+1} \bar{\sigma} a_{k+3} \sigma a_{k+5} \bar{\sigma} a_{k+7} \sigma=\alpha
$$

Since $k+n+1$ is odd, in the same way it can be concluded that

$$
a_{k+n} a_{k+n+1} \cdots a_{k+n+8}=a_{k+n} \tau a_{k+n+2} \bar{\tau} a_{k+n+4} \tau a_{k+n+6} \bar{\tau} a_{k+n+8}=\beta
$$

and $\alpha=\beta$ must hold. Therefore $\alpha=\sigma \tau \bar{\sigma} \bar{\tau} \tau \overline{\sigma \tau} \sigma=\beta$. Without loss of generality let
be $\sigma=\tau$ (otherwise $\sigma$ is replaced by $\tau$ and $\tau$ by $\bar{\sigma}$ ). $a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+n}$ contains a subword

$$
\gamma=\sigma \sigma \overline{\sigma \sigma} \sigma \sigma \overline{\sigma \sigma}=a_{j+1} \cdots a_{j+8} .
$$

Then there is a unique $r, j+1 \leqslant r \leqslant j+4$, such that $r \equiv 2(\bmod 8)$ or $r \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$. Like in (i)-(v) it can be concluded, that $a_{r} \neq a_{r+4}$, which is impossible because of the form of $\gamma$. (This reasoning also excludes, that $\omega$ contains a subword $x x$, where $|x|=4$.)

In Theorem 3.3 it will be shown, that $\omega$ can be defined recursively (similar to Hedlund and Morse [6]).
The following lemma will then be used:
Lemma 3.2. $a_{1} \cdots a_{2^{n-1}}=\overline{a_{2^{n}+1} \cdots a_{2^{n+1}-1}} \mathrm{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.
Proof. Let be $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{n}-1$ and $w \sigma 10^{k}$ the binary representation of $i$. Then $1 \bar{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} 10^{k}$ is the binary representation of $2^{n+1}-i$ and therefore $a_{i}=\overline{a_{2^{n+1}-i}}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let be $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}, n \geqslant 1$ recursively defined as follows:

$$
\alpha_{1}=0, \quad \beta_{1}=1, \quad \alpha_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} 0 \beta_{n}, \quad \beta_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} 1 \beta_{n} ; \quad n \geqslant 1 .
$$

Then $a_{1} \cdots a_{2^{n}-1}=\alpha_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.
Proof. First, by induction on $n$, it is shown that $\alpha_{n}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}{ }^{\mathbf{R}}$ :
(ii)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}=0=\overline{1}^{\mathrm{R}}=\bar{\beta}_{1}^{\mathrm{R}},  \tag{i}\\
& \alpha_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} 0 \beta_{n}=\bar{\beta}_{n}^{\mathrm{R}} \overline{1}^{\mathrm{R}} \alpha_{n}^{\mathrm{R}}={\overline{\alpha_{n}} 1 \beta_{n}}_{\mathrm{R}}=\bar{\beta}_{n+1}^{\mathrm{R}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now the statement of the theorem is proved by induction on $n$ :
(ii)

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=0=\alpha_{1},  \tag{i}\\
& a_{1} \cdots a_{2^{n}-1} a_{2^{n}} a_{2^{n+1}} \cdots a_{2^{n+i}-1}=a_{1} \cdots a_{2^{n}-1} a_{2^{n}} \overline{a_{1} \cdots a_{2^{n}-1}} R \\
& =\alpha_{n} 0 \alpha_{n}^{-R}=\alpha_{n} 0 \beta_{n}=\alpha_{n+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the rest of this section the numbers $n_{1}^{(\omega)}(k)$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}^{(\omega)}(k) / k$ are examined. ©Since there is no dangei of confusion, $n_{1}(k)$ will be written instead of $n_{1}^{\left(\omega_{1}^{(\omega)}\right.}(k)$.)

Definition 3.4. Let be $k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$. The variation $v(k)$ of $k$ is defined recursively as follows: $v(0)=0, v(2 j+i)=v(j)+\delta$, where $i, \delta \in\{0,1\}, \delta \equiv i+j(\bmod 2)$.

Roughly spoken, $v(k)$ denotes the number of changes of consecutive digits in the binary represertation of $k$, where the leftmost digit 1 counts as a change.

The following len ma shows a property of $v(k)$ which is used in the sequel.
Lemma 3.5. Let be $2^{n} \leqslant k<2^{n+1}$. Then $v(k)=v\left(2^{n+1}-k-1\right)+1$.

Proof. By induction on $n$ :
(i) If $n=0$, then only $k=1$ is possible and $v(1)=1=v(0)+1$.
(ii) Let be $n \geqslant 1$ and $2^{n} \leqslant k<2^{n+1}$. Then $k=2 j+1, i \in\{0,1\}$ and $2^{n-1} \leqslant j<2^{n}$.

Let be $\delta, \delta^{\prime} \in\{0,1\}, \delta \equiv i+j(\bmod 2), \delta^{\prime} \equiv 2^{n}-j-i(\bmod 2)$. Then $\delta \equiv \delta^{\prime}(\bmod 2)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(k) & =v(2 j+i)=v(j)+\delta=v\left(2^{n}-j-1\right)+1+\delta \\
& =v\left(2^{n}-j-1\right)+\delta^{\prime}+1=v\left(2\left(2^{n}-j-1\right)+1-i\right)+1 \\
& =v\left(2^{n+1}-2 j-i-1\right)+1=v\left(2^{n+1}-k-1\right)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the numbers $n_{1}(k)$ and $v(k)$ can be related:
Theorem 3.6. $n_{1}(k)=\frac{1}{2}(k-v(k))$.
Proof. Let be $2^{n} \leqslant k<2^{n+1}$. The statement is proved by induction on $n$ :
(i) If $n=0$, then $k=1$ and $n_{1}(1)=0=\frac{1}{2}(1-v(1))$.
(ii) Let be $n \geqslant 1$. The number of symbols 1 in $a_{1} \cdots a_{2^{n-1}} a_{2^{n}} \cdots a_{k}$ can be determined in the following manner (it should be remembered that $a_{2^{n}}=0$ ): In $a_{1} \cdots a_{2^{n}-1}$ occur exactly $n_{1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(2^{n}-1-v\left(2^{n}-1\right)\right)$ symbols 1 . To this number the number $m$ of symbols 1 in $a_{2^{n+1}} \cdots a_{2^{n+1}-1}$ is added, and the number $m^{\prime}$ of symbols 1 in $a_{k+1} \cdots a_{2^{n+1}-1}$ is subtracted. Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$
m=n_{0}\left(2^{n}-1\right)=2^{n}-1-n_{1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)
$$

and

$$
m^{\prime}=n_{0}\left(2^{n+1}-k-1\right)=2^{n+1}-k-1-n_{1}\left(2^{n+1}-k-1\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{1}(k) & =n_{1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)+m-m^{\prime} \\
& =n_{1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)+2^{n}-1-n_{1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)-2^{n+1}+k+1+n_{1}\left(2^{n+1}-k-1\right) \\
& =-2^{n}+k+\frac{1}{2}\left(2^{n+1}-k-1-v\left(2^{n+1}-k-1\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(k-1-(v(k)-1)) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(k-v(k)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it can be shown that the sequence $n_{1}(k) i k$ of the relative frequencies of symbols 1 in $\omega$ converges:

Theorem 3.7. $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}(k) / k=\frac{1}{2}$.
?roof. Since $0 \leqslant v(k) \leqslant 1+$ ld kalways hold, it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{2}(k-1-\operatorname{ld} k) \leqslant n_{1}(k) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} k .
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1+l d k}{k}\right) \leqslant \frac{n_{1}(k)}{k} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} .
$$

Since $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(1+\mathrm{ld} k) / k=0$, the proof is finished.

Another way to compute $n_{1}(k)$ shows

Theorem 3.8. $n_{1}(k)=\sum_{i \geqslant 0}\left[\left(k+2^{i}\right) / 2^{i+2}\right]$.
Proof. First it should be noted that $a_{s}=1$ if and only if there exists a number $i$, such that $s \equiv 3 \cdot 2^{i}\left(\bmod 2^{i+2}\right)$. (The binary representation of $s$ must be of the form $w 110^{i}$.) Let $i$ be fixed. Then there are $\left[\left(k-3 \cdot 2^{i}\right) / 2^{i+2}\right]+1$ numbers $s$, such that $s \leqslant k$ and $s \equiv 3 \cdot 2^{i}\left(\bmod 2^{i+2}\right)$. (The following fact was used: For given $n, r, m$, $0 \leqslant r<m$, there are exactly $[(n-r) / m]+1$ numbers $t$, such that $0 \leqslant t \leqslant n$ and $t \equiv r$ $(\bmod m)$.

Furthermore

$$
\left[\frac{k-3 \cdot 2^{i}}{2^{i+2}}\right]+1=\left[\frac{k-3 \cdot 2^{i}+2^{i+2}}{2^{i+2}}\right]=\left[\frac{k+2^{i}}{2^{i+2}}\right] .
$$

A summation over $i$ completes the proof.

Using Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.8 an interesting identity can be proved:

Corollary 3.9. $\sum_{i \geqslant 0}\left[\left(k+2^{i}\right) / 2^{i+2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}(k-v(k))$.

In a similar way an other identity can be easily proved.

Theorem 3.10. $\sum_{i \geqslant 0}\left[\left(k+2^{i}\right) / 2^{i+1}\right]=k$.

Proof. Leet $\omega^{\prime}=b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdots$ be defined as $\omega$, but using $1111 \cdots$ instead of $0101 \cdots$. Then clearly $n_{1}^{\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)}(k)=k$ holds for all $k$.
$n_{1}^{\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)}(k)$ can be determined as in the proof of Theorem 3.8: $b_{s}=1$ if and only if there exists a number $i$, such that $s \equiv 2^{i}: \bmod 2^{i+1}$ ). (The binary representation of $s$ must be of the form $w 10^{i}$.)

Let $i$ be fixed. Then there are $\left[\left(k-2^{i}\right) / 2^{i+1}\right]+1$ numbers $s$ such that $s \leqslant k$ and $s \equiv 2^{1}\left(\bmod 2^{i+1}\right)$. Since

$$
\left[\frac{k-2^{i}}{2^{i+1}}\right]+1=\left[\frac{k+2^{i}}{2^{i+1}}\right]
$$

a summation over $i$ completes the proof.

## 4. Some properties of sequences with (un-)bounded repetition

In the sequel it will be shown, that for each $\alpha \in(0,1)$ there exists a sequence with bounded repetition $\omega=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}^{(\omega)}(k) / k=\alpha$. To obtain this, it is necessary to make some preparations.

In the following $\tau$ denotes the substitution $\tau(0)=\{00,01\}, \tau(1)=\{11\}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\omega$ be a sequence with bounded repetition. Then $\uparrow(\omega)$ contains only sequences with bounded repetition.

Proof. Assume $k$ to be a number such that $\omega$ does not contain a subword $w w$, where $|w| \geqslant k$.

Assume that there is a sequence with unbounded repetition $\eta \in \tau(\omega)$. Then $\eta$ contains a subword $a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+m} a_{i+m+1} \cdots a_{i+2 m}$, where $m \geqslant 2 \mathrm{k}$.

It is necessary to distinguish the following cases:
(i) $i \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $m \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Then there is a subword $w w$ in $\omega,|w| \geqslant k$, corresponding by $\tau$ to the subword $a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+2 m}$.
(ii) $i \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $m \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. If $a_{i+m} a_{i+m+1}=0 x$, then $a_{i+2 m}=0$ and therefore $a_{i+2 m-1}=0$, and therefore $a_{i+m-1}=0$, etc. Because of this $\omega$ contains a subword $0^{r} 0^{r}$, where $r \geqslant k$. If $a_{i+m} a_{i+m+1}=11$, then $a_{i+1}=1$, and therefore $a_{i+2}=1$, and therefore $a_{i+m+2}=1$, etc. Because of this $\omega$ contains a subword $1^{\prime} 1^{\prime}$, where $r \geqslant k$.
(iii) $i \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $m \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. In this case $\omega$ contains a subword of the form $\sigma_{1} w \sigma_{2} w \sigma_{3}$, where $|w| \geqslant k-1$. From this it follows that $\sigma_{1} \neq \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{2} \neq \sigma_{3}$ must hold. If $\sigma_{2}=0$, then $\sigma_{3}=1$ and therefore $a_{i+m}=0$ and $a_{i+2 m}=1$; this is impossible. If $\sigma_{2}=1$, then $\sigma_{3}=0$ and therefore $a_{i+m}=1$ and $a_{i+2 m}=0$; this is also impossible.
(iv) $i \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $m \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. In this case $\omega$ contains a subword of the form $\sigma_{1} w \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} w \sigma_{4}$, where $|w| \geqslant k-1$. Therefore $\sigma_{1} \neq \sigma_{3}$ or $\sigma_{2} \neq \sigma_{4}$ must hold. Without loss of generality one can assume that $\sigma_{1} \neq \sigma_{3}$. If $\sigma_{1}=0$, then $\sigma_{3}=1$ and therefore $a_{i+m+1}=a_{i+m+2}=1$, etc. Because of this $\omega$ contains a subword $0^{r} 0^{r}$, where $r \geqslant k$. The case $\sigma_{1}=1, \sigma_{3}=0$ can be discussed with similar arguments.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\omega$ be $a\{0,1\}$-sequence and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}^{(\omega)}(k) / k=c$. Then for each $\beta \in\left[\alpha, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)\right]$ there is a $\eta \in \tau(\omega)$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}^{(\eta)}(k) / k=\beta$.

Proof. If $\beta=\alpha, \eta$ is obtained from $\omega$ by replacing each 0 by 00 and each 1 by 11 .
Now it is assumed, that $\beta>\alpha$. Then it exists a $k_{0}$, such that $n_{1}^{(\omega)}(k) / k \leqslant \beta$ holds for all $k \geqslant k_{0}$. All symbols 0 in $\omega$ are replaced by 00 until $k_{0}$ is reached. Then all symbols 0 are replaced by 01 , until a minimal $k_{1}$ is found, such that $n_{1}^{(n)}\left(2 k_{1}\right) / 2 k_{1} \geqslant \beta$. (This is possible: if all but finitely many symbols in $\omega$ are replaced by 01 , then the sequence of relative frequencies of this new sequence
converges to $\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)$.) Beginning with index $k_{1}+1$ all symbols 0 are again replaced by 00 , until a minimal $k_{2}$ is found, such that $n_{1}^{(\eta)}\left(2 k_{2}\right) / 2 k_{2} \leqslant \beta$. This process is repeated. Clearly, the so constructed sequence $\eta$ has the desired property. (Compare this construction with Knopp [8; p. 329].)

Lemma 4.3. Let $\omega$ be a $\{0,1\}$-sequence and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}^{(\omega)}(k) / k=\alpha$. Then for each $\beta \in[\alpha, 1)$ there exists a $n$ and $a \eta \in \tau^{n}(\omega)$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}^{(\eta)}(k) / k=\beta$.

Proof. Since the sequence $\left(\alpha+2^{k}-1\right) / 2^{k}$ increases strictly monotonously and converges to 1 , there is a unique $n$, such that

$$
\frac{\alpha+2^{n}-1}{2^{n}} \leqslant \beta<\frac{\alpha+2^{n+1}-1}{2^{n+1}}=\left(\frac{\alpha+2^{n}-1}{2^{n}}+1\right) / 2 .
$$

Then there is a $\eta^{\prime} \in \tau^{n}(\omega)$, such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow x} \frac{n_{1}^{\left(n^{\prime}\right)}(k)}{k}=\frac{\alpha+2^{n}-1}{2^{n}} .
$$

Then, because of Lemma 4.2, there is a $\eta \in \tau\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)\left(\subseteq \tau^{n+1}(\omega)\right.$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}^{(\eta)}(k) / k=\beta$.

Theorem 4.4. For each $\beta \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ there is a sequence with bounded repetition $\eta$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}^{(\eta)}(k) / k=\beta$.

Proof. Let $\omega$ be the sequence of Section 3. Then the statement is evident applying Lemma 4.3 to $\omega$.

Theorem 4.5. For each $\beta \in(0,1)$ there is a sequence with bounded repetition $\eta$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}^{(n)}(k) / k=\beta$.

Proof. The statement must be proved only for $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Let $\omega$ be a sequence with bounded repetition and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n_{1}^{(\omega)}(k) / k=1-\beta$. Then for $\eta=\bar{\omega}$ the statement is true.

Corollary 4.6. The set of sequences with bounded repetition has cardinality $2^{\aleph_{0}}$.
This statement can be seen also in that way: From the work of Kakutani (cf. Gottschalk and Hedlund [5; p. 109]) there are $2^{\mathrm{K}_{0}}$ square-free $\{0,1,2\}$-sequences. This can be found also in Bean, Ehrenfeucht and McNulty [2]. Then a substitution as in Section 2 gives the result.

By $\omega=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots \mapsto \Phi(\omega)=\sum a_{i} / 2^{i}$, each $\{0,1\}$-sequence can be associated with a real number in $[0,1]$. Each real namber which corresponds to a sequence with bounded repetition is non-normal in accordance to Borel [3]. (See Niven
[10].) Since the set of non-normal numbers is of measure 0 , the following theorem holds:

Theorem 4.7. Let $M$ be the set of all sequences with bounded repetition. Then $\Phi(M)$ is of measure 0 .

Finally, it is shown, that there are sequences with bounded repetition, for which the sequences of the " $n$th averages" do not converge.

Definition 4.8. Let $\omega=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots$ be a $\{0,1\}$-sequence and the sequences $a_{1}^{(n)}$, $a_{2}^{(n)}, a_{3}^{(n)}, \ldots$ of the $n$th averager ( $n \geqslant 0$ ) defined by:

$$
a_{1}^{(n)}=a_{i}, \quad a_{1}^{(n+1)}=\frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^{1} a_{k}^{(n)} .
$$

Then $a_{k}^{(1)}=n_{1}(k) / k$.
Theorem 4.9. There is a sequence with bounded repetition $\eta$, such that no $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{k}^{(n)}$ exists.

Proof. Let $\omega$ be the sequence of Section 3 . $\eta$ will be constructed by applying the substitution $\tau$ to $\omega$ step by step. For this purpose let $\alpha, \beta$ be so that $\frac{1}{2}<\alpha<\beta<\frac{3}{4}$.
First step: Symbols 0 are replaced by 01 until $a_{n}^{(1)} \geqslant \beta$. Then symbols 0 are replaced by 00 until $a_{m}^{(1)} \leqslant \alpha$.
$k$ th step: Symbols 0 are replaced by 01 until $a_{n_{k}}^{(1)} \geqslant \beta$ and $a_{n_{k}}^{(2)} \geqslant \beta$ and $\cdots$ and $a_{m_{k}}^{(k)} \geqslant \beta$. Then syinbols' 0 are replaced by 00 sutil $a_{m_{k}}^{(1)} \leqslant \alpha$ and $\cdots$ and $a_{m_{k}}^{(k)} \leqslant \alpha$.
For each $k$ the sequence $a_{1}^{(k)}, a_{2}^{(k)}, a_{3}^{(k)}, \ldots$ contains infinitely many numbers $\leqslant a$ and $\geqslant \beta$ and therefore it does not converge.

## 5. Operations on sequences with (un-) bounded repetition

The behaviour of sequences with (un-) bounded repetition is examined for the following operations: changes of finite character, mixing, addition mod 2 .

Lemma 5.1. Let $\omega$ be a $\{0,1\}$-sequence and $\sigma \in\{0,1\}$. Then $\omega$ is a sequence with bounded repeti: $: n n$ if and only if $\sigma \omega$ is a sequence with bounded repetition.

Proof. If $\sigma \omega$ is a sequence with bounded repetition, then there is a $k$, such that $\tau \omega$ contains no subword $\omega \boldsymbol{w}$, where $|\boldsymbol{\omega}| \geqslant k$. Then $\omega$ does not contain such a subword and is therefore a sequence with bounded repetition.

Let conversely $\omega$ be a sequence with bounded repetition. Then there is a $k$, such that $\omega$ contains no subword $\omega w$, where $|\boldsymbol{w}| \geqslant k$. Assuming $\sigma \omega$ to be a
sequence with unbounded repetition yields $\boldsymbol{\sigma \omega}=\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{m} \eta_{1}=y y \eta_{2}$, where $|\boldsymbol{x}| \geqslant k$ and $|y| \geqslant g|x|$ : Then $y=u \# y$, and $\omega$ would contain $x \neq$ as a subword.

Theorem 5.2, Let $\omega$ be a $\{0,1\}=$ sequence and $x, y \in\{0,1\}^{*}$. Then $\boldsymbol{x} \omega$ is a sequence with bounded repetition if and only if $y \omega$ is a sequence with bounded repetition.

Proof, It follows from Lemma 5.1 by induction, that $\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ is a sequence with bounded repetition if and only if $\omega$ is a sequence with bounded repetition. By a similar argument it can be concluded, that $\omega$ is a sequence with bounded repetition if and only if $y \omega$ is a sequence with bounded repctition.

Remark. Theorem 5.2 shows, that by changes of finite character (deleting and inserting of finitely many symbols) of sequences with bounded repetition again sequences with bounded repetition are obtained.

Let $\omega_{2}$ be oblained from $\omega_{1}$ by changes of finite character, and $k_{i}(i=1,2)$ minimal, such that $\omega_{i}$ contains no subword $\omega w,|\omega| \geqslant k_{i}$. Then $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ can be quite different.

Definition 5.3. For $\{0,1\}$-sequences $\omega=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots$ and $\eta=b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdots$ let

$$
\omega \square \eta=a_{1} b_{1} a_{2} b_{2} a_{3} b_{3} \cdots .
$$

Theorem 5.4. The sequences with unbounded repetition are not closed under $\square$.

Proof. Let $\omega$ be a sequence with bounded repetition, $\tau_{1}(0)=00, \tau_{1}(1)=11$, $\tau_{2}(0)=01, \tau_{2}(1)=11$. Then according to Lemma $4.1 \tau_{1}(\omega)=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots$ and $\tau_{2}(\omega)=b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdots$ are sequences with bounded repetition. Let the sequences $\eta_{1}=a_{1}^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime} a_{3}^{\prime} \cdots$ and $\eta_{2}=b_{1}^{\prime} b_{2}^{\prime} b_{3}^{\prime} \cdots$ be constructed as follows:

Fre all $n \geqslant 0$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2^{n}}^{\prime} \cdots a_{2^{n+1}-1}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}0^{2^{n}} & \text { if } n \text { is even, } \\
a_{2^{n}} \cdots a_{2^{n+1}-1} & \text { if } n \text { is odd, }\end{cases} \\
& b_{2^{n}}^{\prime} \cdots b_{2^{n+1}-1}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}b_{2^{n}} \cdots b_{2^{n+1}-1} & \text { if } n \text { is even, } \\
1^{n} & \text { if } n \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ contain subwords $0^{k} 0^{k}$ and $1^{k} 1^{k}$ for infinitely many $k$, they are sequences with unbounded repetition.

Now it is shown that $\eta_{1} \square \eta_{2}$ is a sequence with bounded repetition. Assuming the contrary the following cases are possible:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{r+1}^{\prime} b_{r+1}^{\prime} \cdots a_{r+n}^{\prime} b_{r+n}^{\prime}=a_{r+n+1}^{\prime} b_{r+n+1}^{\prime} \cdots a_{r+2 n}^{\prime} b_{r+2 n}^{\prime} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $a_{r+1}^{\prime} \cdots a_{r+n}^{\prime}=a_{r+n+1}^{\prime} \cdots a_{r+2 n}^{\prime}$ and $b_{r+1}^{\prime} \cdots b_{r+n}^{\prime}=b_{r+n+1}^{\prime} \cdots b_{r+2 n}^{\prime}$, which is possible only for finitely many $n$.
(ii)

$$
b_{r+1}^{\prime} a_{r+2}^{\prime} \cdots b_{r+n}^{\prime} a_{r+n+1}^{\prime}=b_{r+n+1}^{\prime} a_{r+n+2}^{\prime} \cdots b_{r+2 n}^{\prime} a_{r+2 n+1}^{\prime}
$$

is discussed similaf to (i).
(iii)

$$
a_{r+1}^{\prime} b_{r+1}^{\prime} \cdots b_{r+n}^{\prime} a_{r+n+1}^{\prime}=b_{r+n+1}^{\prime} a_{r+n+2}^{\prime} \cdots a_{r+2 n+1}^{\prime} b_{r+2 n+1}^{\prime}
$$

For a sufficiently large $n$ there is a $i(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$, such that $a_{r+i}^{\prime} a_{r+i+1}^{\prime}=00$ and therefore $b_{r+i+n}^{\prime} b_{r+i+n+1}^{\prime}=00$, which is excluded by the construction of $\eta_{2}$.
(iv) $b_{r+1}^{\prime} a_{r+2}^{\prime} \cdots a_{r+n+1}^{\prime} b_{r+n+1}^{\prime}=a_{r+n+2}^{\prime} b_{r+n+2}^{\prime} \cdots b_{r+2 n+1}^{\prime} a_{r+2 n+2}^{\prime}$
is discussed similar to (iii).
If $\omega$ and $\eta$ are sequences with bounded repetition, then it is quite possible, that $\omega \square \eta$ is a sequence with bounded repetition. (An example: $\omega \square \omega=\tau_{1}(\omega)$, $\tau_{1}$ from Thecrem 5.4.) It could not be found out, whether or not this holds in general. however the following can be shown:

Theorem 5.5. For each sequence with bounded repetition $\omega$ there is a $\{0,1\}$ sequence $\eta$, such that $\omega \square \eta$ is a sequence with unbounded repetition.

Proof. Let $\omega=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots$ be a sequence with bounded repetition and $\eta=$ $b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdots$ be constructed as follows: for all $n \geqslant 0$ let be $b_{2^{n}}$ be anyhow and

$$
b_{2^{n+1}} \cdots b_{2^{n+1}-1}=a_{2^{n+2^{n-1}+1}} \cdots a_{2^{n+1}-1} a_{2^{n+1}} \cdots a_{2^{n+2^{n-1}}} .
$$

Then $\omega \square \boldsymbol{\eta}$ contains for all $\boldsymbol{n}$ the subword

$$
a_{2^{n+1}} a_{2^{n+2^{n-1}+1}} \cdots a_{2^{n+1}-1} a_{2^{n+2 n-1}} a_{2^{n+1}} a_{2^{n+2^{n-1}+1}} \cdots a_{2^{n+1}-1} a_{2^{n}-2^{n-1}}
$$

of the form $w w$, the length of which is $2\left(2^{n}-1\right)$.
Interpreting 0 and 1 as the elements of $\boldsymbol{G F}(2)$, and defining the addition of $\{0,1\}$-sequences elementwise, it can be shown that neither the sequences of unbounded repetition nor the sequences with bounded repetition are closed under addition.

Theorem 5.6. There are sequences of bounded repetition $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$ and sequences with unbounded repetition $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}$, such that
(i) $\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}=\omega_{3}$,
(iv) $\omega_{1}+\eta_{2}=\eta_{3}$,
(ii) $\omega_{1}+\omega_{1}=\eta_{1}$,
(v) $\eta_{2}+\eta_{3}=\omega_{1}$,
(iii) $\omega_{1}+\eta_{1}=\omega_{1}$,
(vi) $\eta_{1}+\eta_{1}=\eta_{1}$.

Proof. Let be $\eta_{i}=0000 \cdots$. Then (ii), (iii) and (vi) are true. Let $\omega$ be a sequence with bounded repetition, $\omega_{1}=\tau_{2}(\omega), \omega_{2}=1 \omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{3}=\tau_{1}(\bar{\omega})$ ( $\tau_{i}$ from Theorem 5.4). Since $\tau_{2}(\omega)+1 \tau_{2}(\omega)=\tau_{1}(\bar{\omega})$, (i) holds.

Let be $\omega_{1}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots$. Then $\eta_{2}=b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdots$ and $\eta_{3}=c_{1} c_{2} c_{3} \cdots$ can be constructed in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{2^{n}} \cdots b_{2^{n+1}-1}= \begin{cases}0^{3 H} & \text { if } n \text { is event, } \\
a_{2^{n}} \cdots a_{2^{n+1}-1} & \text { if } n \text { is odd, }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (iv) and (v) are true:

## 6. A clags ol sequences wh unbounded repetition and aroblom in computer grephies

(Aiven a line $y \equiv a x, 0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1$, which is to be drawn 既proximately for $x \geqslant 0$ by an s-difectional=plotere in a way that the effers measured along the ordinate afe minimal, the points ( $n$, $\left[\right.$ an $\left.=\frac{1}{2}\right]$ ) must be conneeted: The numbers $\boldsymbol{b}_{n} \equiv$
 plotef (in the $n \neq 1=$ th step a line between the points $(n, m)$ and $\left(n \neq 1, m \neq b_{n}\right)$ is dfawn): (Cf, Predinger et al: [11]:)

The sequence $b_{1} \boldsymbol{b}_{z} \boldsymbol{b}_{3}: \cdot$ is periodie with period $q$, if and only if $a \equiv p / q$ is fational. Far iffational $a$, the sequenee $b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdots$ is not periodie, but the following theorem holds:

Thesprem 6, $1_{2}$ For each $a \in[0,1]$ the sequence $b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdots$ is a sequence with ur bounded repetition.

Promf, If $a$ is rational, the statement is evident. Let $a$ be irrational. The sequence $\alpha k(\bmod 1)$ is dense in $[0,1)$ (Kuipers and Niederreiter [9; p, 23]),
leet be $n^{\prime}>0$. It will be shown that there is a $n \geqslant n^{\prime}$, such that the sequence $b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} \cdots$ contains a subword $w w$, where $|w|=n$. Since $\alpha k(\bmod 1)$ is dense in $[0,1)$, there is al minimal $n \geqslant n^{\prime}$, such that

$$
0<\alpha n(\bmod 1)<\lim _{1=i \leqslant n^{\prime}}(\alpha i(\bmod 1)) .
$$

Let be $\varepsilon=\alpha n(\bmod 1)$,

$$
\delta_{1}:=\min _{\substack{1<i<n \\ \alpha i<1 / 2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-(\alpha i(\bmod 1))\right), \quad \delta_{2}=\min _{\substack{1<i<n \\ \alpha i>1 / 2}}\left(\alpha i(\bmod 1)-\frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

Since $n$ was chosen minimal, $\varepsilon<\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}$ holds. Therefore there is a $\delta$, where $\varepsilon<\delta<\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}$ and $\delta \geqslant \delta_{1}$. Since $1-\delta_{2}<1-\left(\delta-\delta_{1}\right)$ and $\alpha k$ is dense, there exisis a $s$, such that $\alpha s(\bmod 1) \in\left(1-\delta_{2}, 1-\left(\delta-\delta_{1}\right)\right)$.

Now it will be shown, that $b_{s+1} \cdots b_{s+n}=b_{s+n+1} \cdots b_{s+2 n}$ holds: First, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$,

$$
\alpha(s+n+1)(\bmod 1)=(\alpha(s+i)+\varepsilon)(\bmod 1)
$$

holds: Since $(\alpha(s+i))(\bmod 1) \notin\left[\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ it follows

$$
\left(\alpha(s \neq i)+\frac{1}{2}\right)(\bmod 1) \notin[1-\varepsilon, 1]
$$

Let $i$ be chosen arbitfarily ( $1 \leqslant 1 \leqslant n$ ): Let be

$$
n_{1} \equiv\left[a(g \neq i=1) \neq \frac{1}{z}\right] \quad \text { and } n_{z} \equiv\left[a(g \neq n \neq i=1) \neq \frac{1}{2}\right] \text {. }
$$

If $\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbf{3}+1} \equiv 1$, then

$$
a(s \neq i) \neq \frac{1}{z} \in\left(n_{1} \neq 1, n_{1} \neq 2\right) \text { and } a(s \neq n \neq i) \neq \frac{1}{z} \in\left(n_{z} \neq 1, n_{z} \neq 2\right) \text {; }
$$

Therefore $\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{z} \neq n+i} \equiv 1$ holds: If $\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{s}+1} \equiv 0$, then $\alpha(\boldsymbol{a} \neq i) \neq \frac{1}{z} \in\left(n_{1}, n_{1} \neq 1=\varepsilon\right)$ and there $=$ fore $a(8 \neq n \neq i) \neq \frac{1}{z} \in\left(n_{z} \neq \varepsilon_{3} n_{z} \neq 1\right)$; from this $\boldsymbol{b}_{\xi \neq A \neq i} \equiv 0$.

 sequenee of unbounded fepetition:
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