

Review

1. Scientific / scholarly success of the project

Contribution and importance of the work to the further development of the discipline, any importance for related disciplines (transdisciplinary questions and methods), quality of the dissemination of the results (publications, activities at conferences and so on)

Comments

The work resolved some important questions and advanced our understanding of a vital research area.

2. Development of human resources in the course of the project

Improvement of the project leader's standing in the relevant scientific community; involvement of young researchers in the project work; development of international contacts

Comments

The project has played a key role in the development of young researchers, who now have very bright futures.

3. Effects of the project beyond the research field

(in the sense of applications in or impacts on social, cultural, ecological, medical, economic and / or technological areas)

Comments

I am not qualified to say.

4. Project performance (in the sense of efficient use of available resources)

Were the goals achieved? Were the changes to the original plan sensible? What is the relation between the results obtained, the duration of the project and the resources available?

Comments

The project is a model of efficient use of resources. The amount of work and professional development done with limited funding is amazing.

5. Future perspectives of the research work

Should the topic be pursued or should the researchers involved be advised to switch their attention to a different area? What goals does the referee feel should be set for future work?

Comments

I believe that the field of research of the project is still important and growing. I would not advise a switch to a different area.